

On a class of $l(x)$ -biharmonic Kirchhoff-type problem

Maryam Mirzapour

Department of Mathematics Education, Farhangian University, P.O. Box 14665-889, Tehran, Iran

(Communicated by Abdolrahman Razani)

Abstract

In this paper we deal with the multiplicity of solutions for the following Kirchhoff type problem with Navier boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{K}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right) \Delta(|\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)-2} \Delta \varphi) = \theta |\varphi|^{r(x)-2} \varphi + \eta |\varphi|^{t(x)-2} \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\varphi = \Delta \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and $\mathcal{K}$ is a continuous Kirchhoff type function, $l(x)$, $r(x)$ and $t(x)$ are continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega}$, and θ and η are parameters. We show the existence of infinitely many solutions for this problem by using the variational methods.

Keywords: Kirchhoff type problem; Fourth-order; Variable exponent; Critical points; Variational methods
 2020 MSC: 35J60, 35B30, 35B40

1 Introduction

Recently, the exploration of variational problems and differential equations with $l(x)$ -growth conditions has transformed the subject from nonlinear electrorheological fluids to an interesting area of study. We refer the eager readers about this subject to Ruzicka [25], Zhikov [32] and the reference therein and also see [14, 16, 17, 19].

Fourth order equations are present in various contexts. Applied mathematics and physics have different problems that theses can address, for instance Micro Electro-Mechanical systems, surface diffusion on solids, and flow in Hele-Shaw cells (see [20]). Moreover, these equations can specify the static outcome of a beam's change or the movement of rigid body parts.

The authors in [13] consider the following $p(x)$ -biharmonic problem

$$\Delta(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u) = \lambda |u|^{p(x)-2} u + f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

with bounded domains Ω , and $\lambda \leq 0$. Under some restrictions on the Caratheodory function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, they acquired the presence and variety of solutions. In [1] G.A. Afrouzi et al. have considered problem

$$\begin{cases} M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\Delta u|^{p(x)} dx\right) \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p(x)-2} \Delta u) = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Email address: m.mirzapour@cfu.ac.ir (Maryam Mirzapour)

in two cases when the nonlinearity f has special forms. They have demonstrated the existence of multiple solutions to the problem by utilizing variational methods. Moreover, the interested reader can see [3, 26, 28], in which, by variational approaches some existence results are given.

The works mentioned above have inspired us to explore this topic in the current paper: the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions of the following fourth order elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right) \Delta(|\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)-2} \Delta \varphi) = \theta |\varphi|^{r(x)-2} \varphi + \eta |u|^{t(x)-2} \varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $\mathcal{K} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $l(x)$, $r(x)$ and $t(x)$ are continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} l(x) > 1$, $\inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} r(x) > 1$, $\inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} t(x) > 1$, and θ and η are parameters. Throughout the paper, our assumption is that $\theta^2 + \eta^2 \neq 0$.

The nonlocality of (1.1) is attributed to the existence of $\mathcal{K}$, which means that the equation in (1.1) is not local, indicating that it lacks pointwise identities. The problem is a source of fascination for some mathematic problems.

It's miles well worth bringing up that Kirchhoff in 1883 offered a desk bound version of differential equation, the so-called Kirchhoff equation:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

which generalizes the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by taking into account the impact of the string length change during vibration. (1.2) contains the nonlocal coefficient $\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$ which depends on the average $\frac{1}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$, thus, this equation has been discarded as a pointwise identity. After the work of Lions [23], a great deal hobby has been focused on combining this model with many styles of issues due to its nonlocal nature, see e.g. [5]-[12].

2 Notations and preliminaries

We need to obtain some results for $l(x)$ -Laplacian starting from the spaces $L^{l(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,l(x)}(\Omega)$. For a bounded domain Ω , let

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{s(x); s(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega}), s(x) > 1, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

For any $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, set

$$s^+ = \max\{s(x); x \in \overline{\Omega}\}, \quad s^- = \min\{s(x); x \in \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

For any given $l \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, we define the *variable exponent Lebesgue space*

$$L^{l(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ \varphi; \varphi \text{ is a real-valued function that can be measured } \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)|^{l(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

endorsed with the so-called *Luxemburg norm*

$$|\varphi|_{l(x)} = \inf \left\{ \zeta > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\varphi(x)}{\zeta} \right|^{l(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

then, $(L^{l(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{l(x)})$ is a Banach space. By [18], we see that the space $(L^{l(x)}(\Omega), |\cdot|_{l(x)})$ is separable, uniformly convex, reflexive and its conjugate space is $L^{n(x)}(\Omega)$ where $n(x)$ is the conjugate function of $l(x)$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{l(x)} + \frac{1}{n(x)} = 1,$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Also, the Hölder inequality hold: for $\varphi \in L^{l(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in L^{n(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi \psi dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{l^-} + \frac{1}{n^-} \right) |\varphi|_{l(x)} |\psi|_{n(x)} \leq 2 |\varphi|_{l(x)} |\psi|_{n(x)}.$$

Define the variable exponent Sobolev space

$$W^{k,l(x)}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in L^{l(x)}(\Omega) : D^{\alpha} \varphi \in L^{l(x)}(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq k \},$$

where $D^{\alpha} \varphi = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_N^{\alpha_N}} \varphi$, with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a multi-index and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$. Then, the space $W^{k,l(x)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{k,l(x)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |D^{\alpha} \varphi|_{l(x)},$$

is a separable and reflexive Banach space. To learn more about this space, the reader can see [15, 18, 24, 29]. Now, set

$$l_k^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Nl(x)}{N-kl(x)} & \text{if } kl(x) < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } kp(x) \geq N \end{cases}$$

for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.1 ([18]). If $l, \sigma \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\sigma(x) \leq l_k^*(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous embedding

$$W^{k,l(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\sigma(x)}(\Omega).$$

Moreover, by replacing $\leq$ with $<$, it turns to a compact embedding.

Now, let $W_0^{k,l(x)}(\Omega)$, the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{k,l(x)}(\Omega)$. As we know, the generalized Sobolev

$$X = W^{2,l(x)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{k,l(x)}(\Omega)$$

encompasses the weak solutions to problem (1.1), which is equipped with the following norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \inf \left\{ \zeta > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\Delta \varphi(x)}{\zeta} \right|^{l(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. According to [30], the norms $\|\cdot\|_{2,l(x)}$ and $|\Delta \cdot|_{l(x)}$ are equivalent. Therefore, we have these equivalent norms $\|\cdot\|_{2,l(x)}$, $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\Delta \cdot|_{l(x)}$.

Proposition 2.3 ([13]). If we denote $\rho(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx$, then for $\varphi \in X$, we have

- (1) $\|\varphi\| < 1$ (respectively $= 1; > 1$) $\iff \rho(\varphi) < 1$ (respectively $= 1; > 1$);
- (2) if $\|\varphi\| > 1$, then $\|\varphi\|^{l^-} \leq \rho(\varphi) \leq \|\varphi\|^{l^+}$;
- (3) if $\|\varphi\| < 1$, then $\|\varphi\|^{l^+} \leq \rho(\varphi) \leq \|\varphi\|^{l^-}$;
- (4) $\|\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\rightarrow \infty$) $\iff \rho(\varphi) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\rightarrow \infty$).

Note that the energy functional related to problem (1.1) is defined by the following

$$I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) = \widehat{\mathcal{K}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx \right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx.$$

with $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(\tau) d\tau$. Now, consider the well defined, even, and C^1 functional $J(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx$. Then, the operator $L = J' : X \rightarrow X^*$ defined by

$$\langle L(\varphi), \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)-2} \Delta \varphi \Delta \psi dx$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in X$, is continuous, bounded and strictly monotone, homeomorphism and also a mapping of (S_+) type, namely: $\varphi_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} L(\varphi_n)(\varphi_n - \varphi) \leq 0$, implies $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$.

Throughout this paper, the letter c_i indicates the positive constant and also, we impose the following conditions on $\mathcal{K}$:

- (**M**₁) There exist $m_2 \geq m_1 > 0$ and $\beta \geq \alpha > 1$ so that for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $m_1 \tau^{\alpha-1} \leq \mathcal{K}(\tau) \leq m_2 \tau^{\beta-1}$.
- (**M**₂) For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\tau) \geq \mathcal{K}(\tau)t$.

3 Main results and proofs

First, we state the main result of this paper and then, we prove the multiplicity results.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $r(x), t(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, with $(l^+)^{\alpha} < r^- \leq r(x) < l_2^*(x)$, $t^+ < \alpha l^-$ and $\beta l^+ < r^-$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then we have

- (i) If $\theta > 0$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions $(\pm\varphi_k)$ with $I_{\theta,\eta}(\pm\varphi_k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (ii) If $\eta > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many solutions $(\pm\psi_k)$ with $I_{\theta,\eta}(\pm\psi_k) < 0$ and $I_{\theta,\eta}(\pm\psi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

We will use the Fountain and the Dual Fountain theorem (see Willem [27] and El Amrouss et al. [13]) to prove Theorem 3.1. Since X is a reflexive and separable Banach space, then there exist $\{\varrho_j\} \subset X$ and $\{\varrho_j^*\} \subset X^*$ (see Zhao [31]) such that

$$X = \overline{\text{span} \{\varrho_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}}, \quad X^* = \overline{\text{span} \{\varrho_j^* : j = 1, 2, \dots\}},$$

and

$$\langle \varrho_i, \varrho_j^* \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

Now, we define the following spaces which used in the Fountain theorem and the Dual fountain theorem.

$$X_j = \text{span} \{\varrho_j\}, \quad Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k X_j, \quad Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_j}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1

We apply the Fountain theorem to prove conclusion (i) in Theorem 3.1.

- (i) First, we check the (PS) condition for the functional $I_{\theta,\eta}$. Suppose that $(\varphi_n) \subset X$ is (PS) sequence, i.e.,

$$|I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_n)| \leq c_9, \quad I'_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is evident that $I'_{\theta,\eta}$ is of type (S_+) . Thus, we just need to verify that (φ_n) is bounded. For $\|\varphi_n\| > 1$ with n large enough, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} c_9 + 1 + \|\varphi_n\| &\geq I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_n) - \frac{1}{r^-} \langle I'_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_n), \varphi_n \rangle \\ &= \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx \right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi_n|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi_n|^{t(x)} dx \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r^-} \left[\mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{t(x)} dx \right] \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{l^+} - \frac{1}{r^-} \right) \mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{l^+} - \frac{1}{r^-} \right) \frac{m_1}{(l^+)^{\alpha-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi_n|^{l(x)} dx \right)^{\alpha} - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_n|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{l^+} - \frac{1}{r^-} \right) \frac{m_1}{(l^+)^{\alpha-1}} \|\varphi_n\|^{\alpha l^-} - c_{10} \|\varphi_n\|^{t^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Considering $r^- > l^+$ and $\alpha l^- > t^+$, we obtain $\{\varphi_n\}$ is bounded in X . Next, we will establish that when k is sufficiently large, we can opt $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ so that the two conditions in the Fountain theorem ((A2) and (A3) as in Lemma 3.4 in [2]) are true.

(A2) For $\varphi \in Z_k$ with $\|\varphi\| = r_k > 1$ (r_k will be specified below):

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &= \widehat{\mathcal{K}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right)^{\alpha} - \frac{\theta}{r^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \frac{c_{11}|\eta|}{t^-} \|\varphi\|^{t^+} \\ &\geq \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^-} - \frac{\theta}{r^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \frac{c_{11}|\eta|}{t^-} \|\varphi\|^{t^+} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha l^- > t^+$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ large enough such that $\frac{c_{11}|\eta|}{t^-} \|\varphi\|^{t^+} \leq \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^-}$ as $r = \|\varphi\| \geq r_0$. If $|\varphi|_{r(x)} \leq 1$ then $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx \leq |\varphi|_{r(x)}^{r^-} \leq 1$. However, if $|\varphi|_{r(x)} > 1$ then $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx \leq |\varphi|_{r(x)}^{r^+} \leq (\beta_k \|\varphi\|)^{r^+}$. So, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^-} - \frac{\theta c_{12}}{r^-} & \text{if } |\varphi|_{r(x)} \leq 1, \\ \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^-} - \frac{\theta}{r^-} (\beta_k \|\varphi\|)^{r^+} & \text{if } |\varphi|_{r(x)} > 1. \end{cases} \\ &\geq \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^-} - \frac{\theta}{r^-} (\beta_k \|\varphi\|)^{r^+} - c_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

choose $r_k = \left(\frac{2\theta}{m_1 r^-} r^+ \beta_k^{r^+}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha p^- - r^+}}$, we have

$$I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) = \frac{m_1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(l^+)^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{r^+}\right) r_k^{\alpha p^-} - c_{13} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $(l^+)^{\alpha} < r^- \leq r^+$ and $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ (Lemma 3.3 in [2]).

(A3) If $\varphi \in Y_k$ with $\|\varphi\| = \rho_k > r_k > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &= \widehat{\mathcal{K}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{m_2}{\beta} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right)^{\beta} - \frac{\theta}{r^+} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx + \frac{|\eta|}{t^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{m_2}{\beta(l^-)^{\beta}} \|\varphi\|^{\beta l^+} - \frac{\theta}{r^+} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx + \frac{|\eta|}{t^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that all norms are equivalent in Y_k , due to $\dim Y_k < \infty$, it follows

$$I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) \leq \frac{m_2}{\beta(l^-)^{\beta}} \|\varphi\|^{\beta l^+} - \frac{\theta}{r^+} \|\varphi\|^{r^-} + \frac{|\eta|}{t^-} \|\varphi\|^{t^+}.$$

We get that $I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|\varphi\| \rightarrow +\infty$, since $r^- > \beta l^+$ and $t^+ < \alpha l^-$. Considering (A2) and (A3), the option to choose $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ is available for us. Also, $I_{\theta,\eta}$ is even and the first assertion in Theorem 3.1 is completed.

(ii) The Dual Fountain theorem is applied to establish the claim (ii). Now we show, when k is large, we can choose $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ so that if k is large enough, the three conditions in the Dual Fountain theorem ((B1), (B2) and (B3) as in Lemma 3.5 in [2]) are satisfied.

(B1) Let $\varphi \in Z_k$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &= \widehat{\mathcal{K}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta\varphi|^{l(x)} dx\right)^{\alpha} - \frac{|\theta|}{r^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \frac{\eta}{t^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^{\alpha}} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^+} - \frac{c_{14}|\theta|}{r^-} \|\varphi\|^{r^-} - \frac{\eta}{t^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

Considering $r^- > \alpha l^+$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ small enough such that $\frac{c_{14}|\theta|}{r^-} \|\varphi\|^{r^-} \leq \frac{m_1}{2\alpha(l^+)^\alpha} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^+}$ as $0 < \rho = \|\varphi\| \leq \rho_0$. As discussed above, we get

$$I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^\alpha} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^+} - \frac{\eta c_{15}}{t^-} & \text{if } |\varphi|_{t(x)} \leq 1, \\ \frac{m_1}{\alpha(l^+)^\alpha} \|\varphi\|^{l^+} - \frac{\eta}{t^-} (\theta_k \|\varphi\|)^{t^+} & \text{if } |\varphi|_{t(x)} > 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Choose $\rho_k = \left(\frac{2\eta}{m_1 t^-} (l^+)^\alpha \theta_k^{t^+} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha l^+ - t^+}}$, then

$$I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) = \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^\alpha} (\rho_k)^{\alpha l^+} - \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^\alpha} (\rho_k)^{\alpha l^+} = 0.$$

Due to $\alpha l^- > t^+$, $\theta_k \rightarrow 0$ (Lemma 3.3 in [2]), we have $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

(B2) If $\varphi \in Y_k$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &= \widehat{\mathcal{K}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx \right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{m_2}{\beta(l^-)^\beta} \left(\frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi|^{l(x)} dx \right)^\beta + \frac{|\theta|}{r^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \frac{\eta}{t^+} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{m_2}{\beta(l^-)^\beta} \|\varphi\|^{\beta l^-} + \frac{|\theta|}{r^-} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{r(x)} dx - \frac{\eta}{t^+} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{t(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By $\dim Y_k = k$, relations $t^+ < \alpha l^- < \beta l^- < \beta(l^-)^\beta$ and $\beta l^+ < r^-$ then, there exists a $r_k \in (0, \rho_k)$ such that $I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) < 0$ when $\|\varphi\| = r_k$. So we conclude

$$\max_{\varphi \in Y_k, \|\varphi\| = r_k} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) < 0,$$

i.e., **(B2)** is true.

(B3) Due to $Y_k \cap Z_k \neq \emptyset$ and $r_k < \rho_k$, we get

$$d_k = \inf_{\varphi \in Z_k, \|\varphi\| \leq \rho_k} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) \leq b_k = \max_{\varphi \in Y_k, \|\varphi\| = r_k} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) < 0.$$

Using (3.3), for $\varphi \in Z_k$, $\|\varphi\| \leq \rho_k$ small enough we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi) &\geq \frac{m_1}{2(l^+)^\alpha} \|\varphi\|^{\alpha l^+} - \frac{\eta}{t^-} \theta_k^{t^+} \|\varphi\|^{t^+} \\ &\geq -\frac{\eta}{t^-} \theta_k^{t^+} \|\varphi\|^{t^+}, \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\theta_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, **(B3)** is true. Finally, we establish the $(PS)_c^*$ condition (Definition 3.6 in [2]). Let $\{\varphi_{n_j}\} \subset X$ with

$$n_j \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \varphi_{n_j} \in Y_{n_j}, \quad I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_{n_j}) \rightarrow c_{16} \quad \text{and} \quad (I_{\theta,\eta}|_{Y_{n_j}})'(\varphi_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\theta \geq 0$, similar to (3.2), we have the boundedness of $\|\varphi_{n_j}\|$. Suppose $\|\varphi_{n_j}\| \geq 1$. When $\theta < 0$, if n is large enough, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{16} + 1 + \|\varphi_{n_j}\| &\geq I_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_{n_j}) - \frac{1}{r^+} \langle I'_{\theta,\eta}(\varphi_{n_j}), \varphi_{n_j} \rangle \\ &= \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_{n_j}|^{l(x)} dx \right) - \theta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{r(x)} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t(x)} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{t(x)} dx \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r^+} \left[\mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_{n_j}|^{l(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l(x)} |\Delta \varphi_{n_j}|^{l(x)} dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{t(x)} dx \right] \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{l^+} - \frac{1}{r^+} \right) \frac{m_1}{(l^+)^{\alpha-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi_{n_j}|^{l(x)} dx \right)^\alpha - \theta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{r(x)} dx - \eta \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_{n_j}|^{t(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{l^+} - \frac{1}{r^+} \right) \frac{m_1}{(l^+)^{\alpha-1}} \|\varphi_{n_j}\|^{\alpha l^-} - c_{17} \|\varphi_{n_j}\|^{t^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\alpha l^- > t^+$ and $l^+ < (l^+)^\alpha < r^-$, we deduce $\{\varphi_{n_j}\}$ is bounded in X .

Similar to the proof in [2], we can obtain that $I_{\theta,\eta}$ satisfies the $(PS)_c^*$ condition.

References

- [1] G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, and N.T. Chung, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Kirchhoff type problems involving $p(x)$ -biharmonic operators*, Z. Anal. Anwend. **33** (2014), no. 3, 289–303.
- [2] G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, and N.T. Chung, *Existence and non-existence of solutions for a $p(x)$ -biharmonic problems*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2015** (2015), 1–8.
- [3] Z.E. Allali, M.K. Hamdani, and S. Taarabti, *Three solutions to a Neumann boundary value problem driven by $p(x)$ -biharmonic operator*, J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ., **2024** (2024).
- [4] A. Ambrosetti and P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical points theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [5] A. Arosio and S. Panizzi, *On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 305–330.
- [6] M.M. Cavalcanti, V.N. Domingos Cavalcanti, and J.A. Soriano, *Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **6** (2001), 701–730.
- [7] F.J.S.A. Corrêa and G.M. Figueiredo, *On an elliptic equation of p -Kirchhoff type via variational methods*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 263–277.
- [8] F.J.S.A. Corrêa and G.M. Figueiredo, *On a p -Kirchhoff equation via Krasnoselskii's genus*, Appl. Math. Lett. **22** (2009), 819–822.
- [9] G. Dai, R. Hao, *Existence of solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff-type equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** (2009), 275–284.
- [10] G. Dai and R. Ma, *Solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff-type equation with Neumann boundary data*, Nonlinear Anal. **12** (2011), 2666–2680.
- [11] M. Dreher, *The Kirchhoff equation for the p -Laplacian*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **64** (2006), 217–238.
- [12] M. Dreher, *The wave equation for the p -Laplacian*, Hokkaido Math. J. **36** (2007), 21–52.
- [13] A. El Amrouss, F. Moradi, and M. Moussaoui, *Existence of solutions for fourth-order PDEs with variable exponents*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **153** (2009), 1–13.
- [14] A. El Hamidi, *Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **300** (2004), 30–42.
- [15] X.L. Fan and X. Fan, *A Knobloch-type result for $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **282** (2003), 453–464.
- [16] X.L. Fan and X.Y. Han, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **59** (2004), 173–188.
- [17] X.L. Fan and Q.H. Zhang, *Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **52** (2003), 1843–1852.
- [18] X.L. Fan and D. Zhao, *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), 424–446.
- [19] X.L. Fan, *Solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems with singular coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005), 464–477.
- [20] A. Ferrero and G. Warnault, *On a solutions of second and fourth order elliptic with power type nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **70** (2009), 2889–2902.
- [21] G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [22] L. Li and C.L. Tang, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of $p(x)$ -Biharmonic equations*, Acta Math. Sci. **33** (2013), 155–170.
- [23] J.L. Lions, *On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics*, Proc. Int. Symp. Continuum Mech. Partial Differ. Equ., Rio de Janeiro 1977, in: de la Penha, Medeiros (Eds.), Math. Stud., North-Holland

- 30** (1978), 284–346.
- [24] M. Mihăilescu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a Neumann problem involving the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **67** (2007), 1419–1425.
 - [25] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
 - [26] S. Taarabt, Z. El Allali, and K.B. Haddouch, *On the $p(X)$ -Kirchhoff-type equation involving the $p(X)$ -biharmonic operator via the genus theory*, Ukr. Math. J., **72** (2020).
 - [27] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
 - [28] Y. Wu, S. Taarabt, Z. El Allali, K. Ben Haddouch, and J. Zuo, *A class of fourth-order symmetrical Kirchhoff type systems*, Symmetry **14** (2022).
 - [29] J. Yao, *Solutions for Neumann boundary value problems involving $p(x)$ -Laplace operators*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **68** (2008), 1271–1283.
 - [30] A. Zang and Y. Fu, *Interpolation inequalities for derivatives in variable exponent Lebesgue- Sobolev spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **69** (2008), 3629–3636.
 - [31] J.F. Zhao, *Structure Theory of Banach Spaces*, Wuhan University Press, Wuhan, 1991. [In Chinese]
 - [32] V.V. Zhikov, *Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory*, Math. USSR. Izv. **9** (1987), 33–66.