Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 5 (2014) No. 2, 96-103

ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://www.ijnaa.semnan.ac.ir



Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham type results for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces

Stojan Radenović^{a,*}

^a University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11 120 Beograd, Serbia.

(Communicated by M. Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

In this paper, coupled fixed point results of Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham type [T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Analysis 65 (2006) 1379-1393] are extend, generalized, unify and improved by using monotone mappings instead mappings with mixed monotone property. Also, an example is given to support these improvements.

Keywords: coupled fixed point, uniqueness of the coupled fixed point, monotone mappings, partially ordered set.

2010 MSC: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

It is well known that the metric fixed point theory is still very actual, important and useful in all area of Mathematics. It can be applied, for instance in variational inequalities, optimization, dynamic programing, approximation theory, etc.

The fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces play a major role to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for some differential, integral equations or matrix equations ([4], [10]). One of the most interesting fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces was investigated by T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham [1] applied their result to the existence and uniqueness of solution for a periodic boundary value problem. For some questions from linear and nonlinear differential equations as well as matrix equations the reader can see the recent papers of J. Nieto and R. R. Lopez [4] and Ran and Reurings [10]. Then many authors obtained several interesting results in ordered metric spaces.

Email address: radens@beotel.rs (Stojan Radenović)

Received: February 2013 Revised: April 2014

^{*}Corresponding author

We start out with listing some notation and preliminaries that we shall need to express our results. In this paper (X, d, \preceq) denotes a partially ordered metric space where (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and (X, d) is a metric space.

In this paper we do not use mappings with the mixed monotone property as in [1]. Therefore, similar as in the [2], we introduce:

Definition 1.1. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and let $F: X \times X \to X$. We say that F is a monotone if F(x, y) is monotone nondecreasing in x and y, that is, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y),$$

and

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \leq F(x, y_2)$$
.

An element $(x,y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of F if F(x,y) = x, F(y,x) = y. It is clear that (x,y) is a coupled fixed point of F if and only if (y,x) is a coupled fixed point of F.

Definition 1.2. [1] Let (X, \preceq) be an ordered set and d be a metric on X. We say that (X, d, \preceq) is regular if it has the following properties:

- (i) if for non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ holds $d(x_n, x) \to 0$, then $x_n \leq x$ for all n,
- (i) if for non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\}$ holds $d(x_n, x) \to 0$, then $y_n \succeq y$ for all n.

The proof of the following Lemma is immediately.

Lemma 1.3. (1) Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space. If relation \sqsubseteq is defined on $X^2 = X \times X$ by

$$Y \sqsubset V \Leftrightarrow x \prec u \land y \prec v, Y = (x, y), V = (u, v) \in X^2,$$

and $d_+: X^2 \times X^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by

$$d_{+}(Y, V) = d(x, u) + d(y, v), Y = (x, y), V = (u, v) \in X^{2},$$

then (X^2, \sqsubseteq, d_+) is an ordered metric spaces. The space (X^2, d_+) is a complete if and only if (X, d) is a complete. Also, the space (X^3, d_+, \sqsubseteq) is a regular if and only if (X, d, \preceq) is a such.

(2) If $F: X \times X \to X$, then the mapping $T_F: X \times X \to X \times X$ given by

$$T_F(Y) = (F(x,y), F(y,x)), Y = (x,y) \in X^2$$

is non-decreasing with respect to \sqsubseteq , that is,

$$Y \sqsubseteq V \Rightarrow T_F(Y) \sqsubseteq T_F(V)$$
.

- (3) The mapping F is a continuous if and only if T_F is a continuous.
- (4) $F(X^2)$ is a complete in the metric spaces (X, d) if and only if $T_F(X^2)$ is a complete in the space (X^2, d_+) .
- (5) Mapping $F: X^2 \to X$ has a coupled fixed point if and only if mapping T_F has a fixed point in X^2 .

Assertions similar to the following lemma were used in the frame of metric spaces in the course of proofs of several fixed point results in various papers.

98 Radenović

Lemma 1.4. [5] Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(y_n, y_{n+1}\right) = 0.$$

If $\{y_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in (X,d), then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that m(k) > n(k) > k and the following four sequences tend to ε^+ when $k \to \infty$:

$$d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}), d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}), d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)+1}).$$

In [1] Blaskar and Lakshmikantham proved the following theorem and formulated as Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 1.5. Let $F: X \times X \to X$ be a continuous mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. Assume that there exist a $k \in [0,1)$ with

$$d(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)], \forall x \ge u, y \le v.$$
 (1.1)

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$x = F(x, y)$$
 and $y = F(y, x)$.

Also, in [1] Blaskar and Lakshmikantham proved the following theorem and formulated as Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 1.6. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Assume that X has the following property:

- (i) if a nondecreasing sequence $\{x_n\} \to x$, then $x_n \leq x, \forall n$;
- (ii) if a nonincreasing sequence $\{y_n\} \to y$, then $y \leq y_n, \forall n$.

Let $F: X \times X \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. Assume that there exists a $k \in [0,1)$ with

$$d(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)], \forall x \ge u, y \le v.$$
 (1.2)

If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $y_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$,

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$x = F(x, y)$$
 and $y = F(y, x)$.

.

2. Main results

Our first result generalizes Theorem 2.1. from [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $F: X \times X \to X$ be a continuous monotone mapping on X. Assume that there exist a $k \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)],$$
 (2.1)

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ for which $x \leq u$ and $y \leq v$. If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $y_0 \leq F(y_0, x_0)$,

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$x = F(x, y)$$
 and $y = F(y, x)$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be such that $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \leq F(y_0, x_0)$. Since $F: X \times X \to X$ we can choose $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$. Again from $F: X \times X \to X$ we can choose $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$ and $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$. Continuing this process we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$$
 and $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$

for all $n \geq 0$.

We shall show that

$$x_n \leq x_{n+1} \text{ and } y_n \leq y_{n+1}$$
 (2.2)

for all $n \geq 0$.

We will use the mathematical induction. For n = 0, since $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_0 \leq F(y_0, x_0)$, and as $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$ and $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$, we have that $x_0 \leq x_1$ and $y_0 \leq y_1$. Thus (2.2) holds for $x_0 = 0$

Suppose now that (2.2) holds for some fixed $n \ge 0$. Then, since $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \le y_{n+1}$, and so F is a monotone, we obtain

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \leq F(x_{n+1}, y_n) \leq F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = x_{n+2},$$

and

$$y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \leq F(y_{n+1}, x_n) \leq F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = y_{n+2},$$

that is., by mathematical induction follows that (2.2) holds for all $n \geq 0$.

Denote,

$$\delta_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

We show that $\delta_n \to 0$, from which it follows that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0$ and $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \to 0$. Indeed, by (2.1) since $x_{n-1} \leq x_n$ and $y_{n-1} \leq y_n$ we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{n} &= d\left(F\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right), F\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) + d\left(F\left(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), F\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2} \left[d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) + d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right)\right] + \frac{k}{2} \left[d\left(y_{n-1}, y_{n}\right) + d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)\right] \\ &= k\delta_{n-1} \leq k^{2}\delta_{n-2} \leq \ldots \leq k^{n}\delta_{0} = k^{n} \left(d\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) + d\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)\right) \to 0 \ (n \to \infty) \,. \end{split}$$

100 Radenović

Now, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences. Suppose, to the contrary, that at least one of $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then (by Lemma 1.5) there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that m(k) > n(k) > k and the following four sequences tend to ε^+ when $k \to \infty$:

$$d_{+}\left(z_{m(k)}, z_{n(k)}\right), d_{+}\left(z_{m(k)}, z_{n(k)+1}\right), d_{+}\left(z_{m(k)-1}, z_{n(k)}\right), d_{+}\left(z_{m(k)-1}, z_{n(k)+1}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where $z_n = (x_n, y_n)$ is a sequence in (X^2, d_+) . Putting, $(x, y) = (x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1})$ and $(u, v) = (x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)})$ in (2.1) we have

$$d\left(F\left(x_{m(k)-1},y_{m(k)-1}\right),F\left(x_{n(k)},y_{n(k)}\right)\right) \leq \frac{k}{2}\left[d\left(x_{m(k)-1},x_{n(k)}\right)+d\left(y_{m(k)-1},y_{n(k)}\right)\right],$$

i.e.,

$$d\left(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}\right) \le \frac{k}{2} \left[d\left(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}\right) + d\left(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}\right)\right]. \tag{2.4}$$

Similar, putting $(y,x) = (y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})$ and $(v,u) = (y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)})$ in (2.1) we obtain

$$d\left(F\left(y_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}\right), F\left(y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}\right)\right) \leq \frac{k}{2} \left[d\left(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}\right) + d\left(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}\right)\right],$$

i.e.,

$$d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}) \le \frac{k}{2} \left[d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \right]. \tag{2.5}$$

Adding (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$d\left(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}\right) + d\left(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}\right) \le k \left[d\left(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}\right) + d\left(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}\right)\right],$$

or equivalently,

$$d_{+}\left(z_{m(k)}, z_{n(k)+1}\right) \le kd_{+}\left(z_{m(k)-1}, z_{n(k)}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (2.6) we obtain that $\varepsilon \le k\varepsilon < \varepsilon$, a contradiction. Hence, both sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in complete metric space (X, d).

Since, (X, d) is a complete, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) = x$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = y$. Further, from the continuity of mapping F we have that F(x, y) = x and F(y, x) = y. Theorem is proved. \square

We note that previous result is still valid for F not necessarily continuous. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Assume that $(X, d \preceq)$ is a regular.

Let $F: X \times X \to X$ be a monotone mapping on X. Assume that there exists $k \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x,y), F(u,v)) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(x,u) + d(y,v)],$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ for which $x \leq u$ and $y \leq v$. If there exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \leq F(y_0, x_0),$$

then there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$x = F(x, y)$$
 and $y = F(y, x)$.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1 we only have to show that x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x). First, we have

$$d(F(x,y),x) \le d(F(x,y),F(x_n,y_n)) + d(x_{n+1},x)$$
(2.7)

and

$$d(F(y,x),y) \le d(F(y,x),F(y_n,x_n)) + d(y_{n+1},y).$$
(2.8)

Since $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$ then $x_n \leq x$ and $y_n \leq y$ for all n (because (X, d, \leq) is a regular) from (2.7) and (2.8) follows respectively

$$d(F(x,y),x) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(x,x_n) + d(y,y_n)] + d(x_{n+1},x) \to 0 + 0 = 0$$

and

$$d(F(y,x),y) \le \frac{k}{2} [d(y,y_n) + d(x,x_n)] + d(y_{n+1},y) \to 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, x = F(x, y) and y = F(y, x), that is F has a couple fixed point (x, y). \square

One can prove that the coupled fixed point is in fact unique, provided that the product space $X \times X$ endowed with the partial order mentioned earlier has the following property:

Each pair of elements has either a lower bound or an upper bound. It is known that this condition is equivalent to:

For every (x, y), $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$, there exists a $(z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$ that is comparable to (x, y) and (x^*, y^*) .

We now the following result:

Theorem 2.3. Adding previous condition to the hypothesis of Theorem (2.1), we obtain the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point of F.

Proof. If (x^*, y^*) is another coupled fixed point of F, then we show that

$$d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*}))=0,$$

where

$$x = F(x,y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n}(x_{0}, y_{0}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F\left(F^{n-1}(x_{0}, y_{0}), F^{n-1}(y_{0}, x_{0})\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n}$$

and $y = F(y, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n}(y_{0}, x_{0}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F\left(F^{n-1}(y_{0}, x_{0}), F^{n-1}(x_{0}, y_{0})\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{n}.$

We consider two cases:

(i) If (x, y) is comparable to (x^*, y^*) with respect to the ordering in $X \times X$, then, for every $n = 0, 1, 2, ...(x, y) = (F^n(x, y), F^n(y, x))$ is comparable to $(x^*, y^*) = (F^n(x^*, y^*), F^n(y^*, x^*))$. In this case we have

$$d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*})) = d(x,x^{*}) + d(y,y^{*})$$

$$= d(F^{n}(x,y),F^{n}(x^{*},y^{*})) + d(F^{n}(y,x),F^{n}(y^{*},x^{*}))$$

$$\leq k^{n}[d(x,x^{*}) + d(y,y^{*})] = k^{n}d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*})).$$

This implies that $d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*}))=0$.

102 Radenović

(ii) If (x,y) is not comparable to (x^*,y^*) , then there exists an upper bound or lower bound $(u,v) \in X \times X$ of $(x,y),(x^*,y^*)$. Then, for all $n=0,1,2,...(F^n(u,v),F^n(v,u))$ is comparable to $(x,y)=(F^n(x,y),F^n(y,x))$ and $(x^*,y^*)=(F^n(x^*,y^*),F^n(y^*,x^*))$. Further, we have

$$d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*})) = d_{+}((F^{n}(x,y),F^{n}(y,x)),(F^{n}(x^{*},y^{*}),F^{n}(y^{*},x^{*})))$$

$$\leq d_{+}((F^{n}(x,y),F^{n}(y,x)),(F^{n}(u,v),F^{n}(v,u)))$$

$$+d_{+}((F^{n}(u,v),F^{n}(v,u)),(F^{n}(x^{*},y^{*}),F^{n}(y^{*},x^{*})))$$

$$\leq k^{n}([d(x,u)+d(y,v)]+[d(u,x^{*})+d(v,y^{*})])$$

$$= k^{n}[d_{+}((x,y),(u,v))+d_{+}((u,v),(x^{*},y^{*}))] \to 0 \ (n \to \infty),$$

so that $d_{+}((x,y),(x^{*},y^{*}))=0$. \square

Assuming that every pair of elements of X have either an upper bound or a lower bound in X, one can in fact show that even the components of the coupled fixed points are equal. The following theorem establishes this fact.

Theorem 2.4. In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, suppose that every pair of elements of X has an upper bound or a lower bound in X. Then x = y.

Proof. It is clear that (y, x) is a coupled fixed point of F if and only if (x, y) is coupled fixed point. Therefore, by previous Theorem we obtain that (x, y) = (y, x), that is x = y. \square

Example 2.5. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, d(x,y) = |x-y|, $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \leq y$ and $F: X \times X \to X$, defined by $F(x,y) = \frac{2x+y}{12}$. It is easy to check that all the conditions of Theorems 2.1. and 2.3. are satisfied for $k \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and that (0,0) is a unique coupled fixed point of F. We note that the function F has not mixed monotone property, but F is a monotone, that is F(x,y) is monotone nondecreasing in x and y. Hence coupled fixed point (0,0) of F cannot be obtained by Theorem 1.6. that is, by results from [1].

As shown in [1] for the case of the functions with mixed monotone property, such kind of results can be used to investigate a large class of problems, like periodic boundary value problems (see [1], section 3). A similar approach for monotone functions instead the functions with mixed monotone property is also possible and will be done in some other paper.

For similar approach also see [3], [6], [7] and [8].

References

- [1] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Analysis 65 (2006) 1379-1393.
- [2] M. Borcut, Tripled fixed point theorems for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Carpathian J. Math. 28 (2) (2012) 207-214.
- [3] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, A note on tripled fixed points and fixed points of 3-order in partially ordered metric spaces, Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes 1(2)(2013) 230-237.
- [4] J. J. Nieto and R. R. Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005) 223-239.
- [5] S. Radenović, Z. Kadelburg, D. Jandrlić and A. Jandrlić, Some results on weak contraction maps, Bulletin of Iranian Mathematical Society 38(3) (2012) 625-645
- [6] S. Radenović, Remarks on some couplede coincidence point results in partially ordered metric spaces, Arab. J. Math. Sci. 20 (1) (2014) 29-39.

- [7] S. Radenović, Remarks on some coupled fixed point results in partial metric spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., 18 (1) (2013) 39-50.
- [8] S. Radenović, Remarks on some recent coupled coincidence point results in symmetric G-metric spaces, Journal of Operators, Volume 2013, Article ID 290525, 8 pages.
- [9] S. Radenović, Some coupled coincidence points results of monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, International Journal of Analysis and Applications, 2014, in press.
- [10] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2003) 1435-1443.