



L^q inequalities for the s^{th} derivative of a polynomial

Ahmad Zireh

Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, Iran

(Communicated by A. Ebadian)

Abstract

Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function on the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1\}$, for each $q > 0$, the $\|f\|_q$ is defined as follows

$$\|f\|_q := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{1/q}, \quad 0 < q < \infty,$$
$$\|f\|_\infty := \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|.$$

Govil and Rahman [*Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969) 501–517] proved that if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n , which does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for each $q > 0$,

$$\|p'\|_q \leq \frac{n}{\|k+z\|_q} \|p\|_q.$$

In this paper, we shall present an interesting generalization and refinement of this result which include some previous results.

Keywords: Derivative; Polynomial; L^q Inequality; Maximum modulus; Restricted Zeros.
2010 MSC: Primary 30A10; Secondary 30C10, 30D15.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P}_n be the set of polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients. For $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, define

$$\|p\|_q := \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\}^{1/q}, \quad 0 < q < \infty,$$
$$\|p\|_\infty := \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

Email address: azireh@gmail.com (Ahmad Zireh)

If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then a famous result due to Bernstein [4], states that

$$\|p'\|_\infty \leq n \|p\|_\infty. \tag{1.1}$$

The inequality (1.1) can be obtained by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$\|p'\|_q \leq n \|p\|_q, \quad 0 < q < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

The inequality (1.2) for $q \geq 1$ and $0 < q < 1$ is due to Zygmund [16] and Arestov [1] respectively.

For the class of polynomials having no zeros in $|z| < 1$, Erdős conjectured and later proved by Lax [10] that

$$\|p'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{2} \|p\|_\infty. \tag{1.3}$$

The inequality (1.3) can be obtained by letting $q \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$\|p'\|_q \leq \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_q} \|p\|_q, \quad \text{for } q > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

The inequality (1.4) demonstrated by De-Bruijn [5] for the case $q \geq 1$. Rahman and Schmeisser [14] have shown that the inequality (1.4) remains true for $0 < q < 1$ as well.

As an extension of (1.3), Malik [11] proved that if $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then

$$\|p'\|_\infty \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \|p\|_\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

whereas under the same assumption, Govil and Rahman [9] proved that

$$\|p'\|_q \leq \frac{n}{\|k+z\|_q} \|p\|_q, \quad \text{for } q > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

The inequality (1.5) is also generalized by Govil and Rahman [9] for the s^{th} derivative of $p(z)$. They specifically proved that if $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$,

$$\|p^{(s)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{1+k^s} \|p\|_\infty. \tag{1.7}$$

As a refinement of (1.7), Govil [8] proved that if $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$, one gets

$$\|p^{(s)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{1+k^s} \left\{ \|p\|_\infty - \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)| \right\}. \tag{1.8}$$

The following result, proposes a refinement and generalization to inequalities (1.6) and (1.8).

Theorem 1.1. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$, $q > 0$ and $1 \leq s < n$, we have

$$\left\| p^{(s)}(z) + \beta \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{1+\Lambda_{k,s}} m \right\|_q \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{\|\Lambda_{k,s} + z\|_q} \|p\|_q, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\Lambda_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0|-m)k^{s+1} + |a_s|k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0|-m) + |a_s|k^{s+1}}$, and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

Remark 1.2. By applying the inequality (2.6) from Lemma 2.7, we get $\Lambda_{k,s} \geq k^s$, resulting (1.9) to be a refinement and generalization of (1.6).

Let $q \rightarrow \infty$ and choose argument of β suitably such that $|\beta| = 1$, then the inequality (1.9) reduces to the following result which recently obtained by Mir [12].

Corollary 1.3. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$,

$$\|p^{(s)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} \{ \|p\|_\infty - m \}, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\Lambda_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0|-m)k^{s+1} + |a_s|k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0|-m) + |a_s|k^{s+1}}$, and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

Remark 1.4. By applying the inequality (2.6) from Lemma 2.7, we get $\Lambda_{k,s} \geq k^s$, resulting (1.10) to be a refinement of (1.8).

Remark 1.5. For $s = 1$, the inequality (1.10) reduces to a result which has been recently proved by Gardner, Govil and Weems [7].

Example 1.6. Consider the polynomial $p(z) = (z+k)^n$, where $k \geq 1$, then $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)| = 0$ and $\Lambda_{k,s} = k^s$. Now by Corollary 1.3, the inequality (1.10) reduce to the following inequality which is sharp

$$(1+k)^{n-s} \leq \frac{(1+k)^n}{1+k^s}.$$

If we take $k = 1$ then, $\Lambda_{k,s} = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, giving rise to the following generalization of (1.3).

Corollary 1.7. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$,

$$\|p^{(s)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-s+1)}{2} \left\{ \|p\|_\infty - \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}. \tag{1.11}$$

The inequality is sharp and equality holds for the polynomials $p(z) = z^n + 1$.

Remark 1.8. The inequality (1.11) has been studied by Zireh [15, Corollary 1.6].

2. Lemmas

For the proof of main theorem, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Aziz et al. [3].

Lemma 2.1. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{z})}$, then for each α , $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$, and $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta d\alpha \leq 2\pi n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta.$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{z})}$, then for each α , $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$, and $0 \leq s < n$, $q > 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta d\alpha \leq 2\pi(n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $h(z) = q(z) + e^{i\alpha} p(z)$, then the s th derivative is $h^{(s)}(z) = q^{(s)}(z) + e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(z)$ for $1 \leq s < n$. Using the inequality (1.2) repeatedly, it follows that for each $q > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \\ & \leq (n-s+1)^q \int_0^{2\pi} |q^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p^{(s-1)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq (n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q \int_0^{2\pi} |q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, integrating the above inequality with respect to α and applying Lemma 2.1, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta d\alpha \\ & \leq (n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\alpha} p'(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta d\alpha \\ & \leq 2\pi(n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma is due to Aziz et al. [3].

Lemma 2.3. *If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{z})}$, and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$ and $|z| = 1$,*

$$\delta_{k,s} |p^{(s)}(z)| \leq |q^{(s)}(z)|, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \left| \frac{a_s}{a_0} \right| k^s \leq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\delta_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s} |a_0| k^{s+1} + |a_s| k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s} |a_0| + |a_s| k^{s+1}}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *The function*

$$S(x) = \frac{\binom{n}{s} x k^{s+1} + |a_s| k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s} x + |a_s| k^{s+1}}$$

for $k \geq 1$ is a non-decreasing function of x .

Proof . The proof follows by considering the first derivative test for $S(x)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k > 0$, then $m < |p(z)|$ for $|z| < k$, and in particular $m < |a_0|$, where $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

The above lemma is due to Gardner, Govil and Musukula [6].

Lemma 2.6. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $|z| = 1$,

$$|q^{(s)}(z)| \geq n(n - 1) \cdots (n - s + 1) \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|, \tag{2.4}$$

where $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$.

The above lemma is due to Govil [8].

Lemma 2.7. If $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$, where $k \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq s < n$ and $|z| = 1$,

$$\Lambda_{k,s} |p^{(s)}(z)| \leq |q^{(s)}(z)| - \{n(n - 1) \cdots (n - s + 1)m\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s} (|a_0| - m) k^{s+1} + |a_s| k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s} (|a_0| - m) + |a_s| k^{s+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \frac{|a_s|}{|a_0| - m} k^s \leq 1, \tag{2.6}$$

where $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$ and $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$.

Proof . Let λ be a complex number with $|\lambda| < 1$, then $|\lambda m| < |p(z)|$ for $|z| = k$. From Rouche's Theorem, the polynomial $p(z) - \lambda m = (a_0 - \lambda m) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z^i$ has no zeros in $|z| < k$. Hence from Lemma 2.3, we get

$$A_{k,s} |p^{(s)}(z)| \leq |q^{(s)}(z) - \bar{\lambda} m n(n - 1) \cdots (n - s + 1) z^{n-s}| \quad \text{on } |z| = 1, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$A_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s} (|a_0 - \lambda m|) k^{s+1} + |a_s| k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s} (|a_0 - \lambda m|) + |a_s| k^{s+1}}.$$

Since for every $\lambda, |\lambda| \leq 1$ we have

$$|a_0 - \lambda m| \geq |a_0| - |\lambda| m \geq |a_0| - m. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.8) and making use of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 it yields

$$A_{k,s} \geq \Lambda_{k,s}. \tag{2.9}$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.9), for every λ where $|\lambda| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\Lambda_{k,s} |p^{(s)}(z)| \leq |q^{(s)}(z) - \bar{\lambda}mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)z^{n-s}| \quad \text{on } |z| = 1, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{k,s} = \frac{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0| - m)k^{s+1} + |a_s|k^{2s}}{\binom{n}{s}(|a_0| - m) + |a_s|k^{s+1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Also by Lemma 2.6, we have that $|q^{(s)}(z)| \geq mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)$. Hence we can choose argument λ suitably so that

$$\begin{aligned} &|q^{(s)}(z) - \bar{\lambda}mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)z^{n-s}| = \\ &|q^{(s)}(z)| - |\lambda|mn(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)|z^{n-s}|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.12) with (2.10) and let $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, we get the inequality (2.5). Now by applying the inequality (2.3) for the polynomial $p(z) - \lambda m = (a_0 - \lambda m) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z^i$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}} \frac{|a_s|}{|a_0 - \lambda m|} k^s \leq 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Since λ is arbitrary, we can choose argument λ suitably so that $|a_0 - \lambda m| = |a_0| - |\lambda|m$. letting $|\lambda| \rightarrow 1$, gives the result. \square

The following lemma is due to Aziz and Rather [2].

Lemma 2.8. Let A, B, C are non-negative real numbers such that $B + C \leq A$, then for every real α ,

$$|(B + C) + e^{i\alpha}(A - C)| \leq |B + e^{i\alpha}A|. \tag{2.14}$$

3. The proof of the main theorem

Proof . By the assumptions, $p(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < k$ where $k \geq 1$, therefore by Lemma 2.7, for $|z| = 1$ and $1 \leq s < n$ we have

$$\Lambda_{k,s} |p^{(s)}(z)| \leq |q^{(s)}(z)| - \{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)m\}.$$

This inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_{k,s} \left\{ |p^{(s)}(z)| + \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m \right\} \\ &\leq |q^{(s)}(z)| - \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Taking $A = |q^{(s)}(z)|$, $B = |p^{(s)}(z)|$ and $C = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m$ in Lemma 2.8, and noting that $\Lambda_{k,s} \geq 1$, by (3.1), $B + C \leq A - C \leq A$. Thus, for every real α , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &||p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m + \\ &e^{i\alpha} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| - \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m \right\}| \\ &\leq ||p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This implies for each $q > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) + e^{i\alpha}g(\theta)|^q d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^q d\theta, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$f(\theta) = |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} m \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$g(\theta) = |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| - \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1 + \Lambda_{k,s}} \right\} m.$$

Integrating from both sides of (3.3) with respect to α from 0 to 2π , gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) + e^{i\alpha}g(\theta)|^q d\theta d\alpha &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\alpha} |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| \right|^q d\theta d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| |^q d\theta d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + |q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| |^q d\alpha \right\} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\alpha \right\} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\alpha} p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + q^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta \right\} d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This result in conjunction with the inequality (2.1) concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) + e^{i\alpha}g(\theta)|^q d\theta d\alpha &\leq \\ 2\pi(n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q n^q &\int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now for every real α and $t \geq r \geq 1$, from the fact that $|t + e^{i\alpha}| \geq |r + e^{i\alpha}|$, one obtains

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |t + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \geq \int_0^{2\pi} |r + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha.$$

If $f(\theta) \neq 0$, taking $t = \frac{|g(\theta)|}{|f(\theta)|}$, by (3.1) we have $t \geq \Lambda_{k,s} \geq 1$. It yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\theta) + e^{i\alpha}g(\theta)|^q d\alpha &= |f(\theta)|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\alpha} \frac{g(\theta)}{f(\theta)} \right|^q d\alpha \\ &= |f(\theta)|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{g(\theta)}{f(\theta)} + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \\ &= |f(\theta)|^q \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left| \frac{g(\theta)}{f(\theta)} \right| + e^{i\alpha} \right|^q d\alpha \\ &\geq |f(\theta)|^q \int_0^{2\pi} |\Lambda_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For $f(\theta) = 0$, the inequality (3.6) is obvious.

Combining inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) and substituting $f(\theta)$ from (3.4) we reach at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |\Lambda_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ |p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\Lambda_{k,s}} m \right\}^q d\theta \\ & \leq 2\pi(n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This gives for every $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$, $q \geq 1$ and α real, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |\Lambda_{k,s} + e^{i\alpha}|^q d\alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \left| p^{(s)}(e^{i\theta}) + \beta \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-s+1)}{1+\Lambda_{k,s}} m \right|^q d\theta \\ & \leq 2\pi(n-s+1)^q(n-s+2)^q \cdots (n-1)^q n^q \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^q d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgment

The author wish to sincerely thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments. The author would like to acknowledge the financial support of Shahrood University of Technology for this research under project No: 23082.

References

- [1] V.V. Arestov, *On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981) 3–22 (in Russian), English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 18 (1982) 1–17.
- [2] A. Aziz and N.A. Rather, *New L^p inequalities for polynomials*, J. Math. Ineq. App. 1 (1998) 177–191.
- [3] A. Aziz and N.A. Rather, *Some Zygmund type L^q inequalities for polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 289 (2004) 14–29.
- [4] S. Bernstein, *Leons sur les proprietes extremales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques dune variable reelle*, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1926.
- [5] N.G. De-Bruijn, *Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. 50 (1947) 1265–1272.
- [6] R.B. Gardner, N.K. Govil and S.R. Musukula, *Rate of growth of polynomials not vanishing inside a circle*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 6 (2005) 1–9.
- [7] R.B. Gardner, N.K. Govil and A. Weems, *Some results concerning rate of growth of polynomials*, East J. Approx. 10 (2004) 301–312.
- [8] N.K. Govil, *Some inequalities for derivatives of polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory. 66 (1991) 29–35.
- [9] N.K. Govil and Q.I. Rahman, *Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969) 501–517.
- [10] P.D. Lax, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1944) 509–513.
- [11] M. A. Malik, *On the derivative of a polynomial*, J. London Math. Soc. 1 (1969) 57–60.
- [12] A. Mir, *On the s^{th} derivative of a polynomial*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 7 (2016) 141–145.
- [13] A. Mir and B. Dar, *On the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 29 (2014) 403–412.
- [14] Q.I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *L^p inequalities for polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory. 53 (1998) 26–32.
- [15] A. Zireh, *Generalization of certain well-known inequalities for the derivative of polynomials*, Anal. Math. 41 (2015) 117–132
- [16] A. Zygmund, *A remark on conjugate series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 34 (1932) 392–400.