



Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivations on Banach ternary algebras

Razieh Farokhzad Rostami

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences and Engineering, Gonbad Kavous University, Gonbad Kavous, Iran

(Communicated by H. Khodaei)

Abstract

Let A be a Banach ternary algebra over a scalar field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and X be a ternary Banach A -module. Let σ, τ and ξ be linear mappings on A , a linear mapping $D : (A, [\]_A) \rightarrow (X, [\]_X)$ is called a Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation, if

$$D([a, b, c]) = [[D(a)bc]_{X(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}] - [[D(c)ba]_{X(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}]$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, where $[abc]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} = a\tau(b)\xi(c) - \sigma(c)\tau(b)a$ and $[a, b, c] = [abc]_A - [cba]_A$. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivations on Banach ternary algebras and C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivations on C^* -ternary algebras for the following Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n q(x_i - x_j)\right) + nf\left(\sum_{i=1}^n qx_i\right) = nq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).$$

Keywords: Banach ternary algebra; Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation; Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

2010 MSC: Primary 39B52; Secondary 39B82, 46B99, 17A40.

1. Introduction

In the 19th century, many mathematicians considered ternary algebraic operations and their generalizations. A. Cayley ([4]) introduced the notion of cubic matrix. It was later generalized by Kapranov,

Email address: razieh.farokhzad@yahoo.com (Razieh Farokhzad Rostami)

Gelfand and Zelevinskii in 1990 ([11]). Below, a composition rule includes a simple example of such non-trivial ternary operation:

$$\{a, b, c\}_{ijk} = \sum_{l,m,n} a_{nil} b_{ljm} c_{mkn}, \quad i, j, k, l, m, n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

There are a lot of hopes that ternary structures and their generalization will have certain possible applications in physics. Some of these applications are (see [8], [2], [9], [12]–[6]). A ternary (associative) algebra $(A, [\])$ is a linear space A over a scalar field $\mathbb{F} = (\mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$ equipped with a linear mapping, the so-called ternary product, $[\] : A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that $[[abc]de] = [a[bcd]e] = [ab[cde]]$ for all $a, b, c, d, e \in A$. This notion is a natural generalization of the binary case. Indeed if (A, \odot) is a usual (binary) algebra then $[abc] := (a \odot b) \odot c$ induced a ternary product making A into a ternary algebra which will be called trivial. It is known that unital ternary algebras are trivial and finitely generated ternary algebras are ternary subalgebras of trivial ternary algebras [3]. There are other types of ternary algebras in which one may consider other versions of associativity. Some examples of ternary algebras are (i) "cubic matrices" introduced by Cayley [4] which were in turn generalized by Kapranov, Gelfand and Zelevinskii [11]; (ii) the ternary algebra of polynomials of odd degrees in one variable equipped with the ternary operation $[p_1 p_2 p_3] = p_1 \odot p_2 \odot p_3$, where \odot denotes the usual multiplication of polynomials.

By a Banach ternary algebra we mean a ternary algebra equipped with a complete norm $\| \cdot \|$ such that $\|[abc]\| \leq \|a\| \|b\| \|c\|$. If a ternary algebra $(A, [\])$ has an identity, i.e. an element e such that $a = [aee] = [eae] = [eea]$ for all $a \in A$, then $a \odot b := [aeb]$ is a binary product for which we have

$$(a \odot b) \odot c = [[aeb]ec] = [ae[bec]] = a \odot (b \odot c)$$

and

$$a \odot e = [aee] = a = [eea] = e \odot a$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ and so $(A, [\])$ may be considered as a (binary) algebra. Conversely, if (A, \odot) is any (binary) algebra, then $[abc] := a \odot b \odot c$ makes A into a ternary algebra with the unit e such that $a \odot b = [aeb]$.

Let $(A, [\]_A)$ be a Banach ternary algebra and $(X, [\]_X)$ be a Banach space. Then X is called a ternary Banach A -module, if module operations $A \times A \times X \rightarrow X$, $A \times X \times A \rightarrow X$, and $X \times A \times A \rightarrow X$ are \mathbb{C} -linear in every variable. Moreover satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} [[abc]_A dx]_X &= [a[bcd]_A x]_X = [ab[cdx]_X]_X, \\ [abc]_A xd]_X &= [a[bcx]_X d]_X = [ab[cxd]_X]_X, \\ [[xab]_X cd]_X &= [x[abc]_A d]_X = [xa[bcd]_A]_X, \\ [[axb]_X cd]_X &= [a[xbc]_X d]_X = [ax[bcd]_A]_X, \\ [[abx]_X cd]_X &= [a[bxc]_X d]_X = [ab[xcd]_X]_X \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $a, b, c, d \in A$, and

$$\max\{\|[xab]_X\|, \|[axb]_X\|, \|[abx]_X\|\} \leq \|a\| \|b\| \|x\|$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $a, b \in A$.

Let A be a normed algebra, σ and τ two mappings on A and X be an A -bimodule. A linear mapping $L : A \rightarrow X$ is called a Lie (σ, τ) -derivation, if

$$L([a, b]) = [L(a), b]_{\sigma, \tau} - [L(b), a]_{\sigma, \tau}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, where $[a, b]_{\sigma, \tau}$ is $a\tau(b) - \sigma(b)a$ and $[a, b]$ is the commutator $ab - ba$ of elements a, b . Now, Let $(A, []_A)$ be a Banach ternary algebra over a scalar field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and $(X, []_X)$ be a ternary Banach A -module. Let σ, τ and ξ be linear mappings on A . A linear mapping $D : (A, []_A) \rightarrow (X, []_X)$ is called a Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation, if

$$D([a, b, c]) = [[D(a)bc]_X]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [[D(c)ba]_X]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} \quad (1.1)$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$, where $[abc]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} = a\tau(b)\xi(c) - \sigma(c)\tau(b)a$ and $[a, b, c]$ is the commutator $[abc]_A - [cba]_A$ of elements a, b, c .

If a Banach ternary algebra A has an identity e such that $\|e\| = 1$, as we said above, A may be considered as a (binary) algebra. Now let X be a ternary Banach A -module, then X may be considered as a Banach A -module by following module product:

$$a.x = [aex]_X \quad x.a = [xea]_X$$

for all $a \in A, x \in X$.

Let A be a unital Banach ternary algebra and X be a ternary Banach A -module. If $D : A \rightarrow X$ is a Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation such that σ, τ and ξ are linear mappings on A , additionally, $\tau(e) = e$, then it is easy to prove that D is a Lie (σ, ξ) -derivation.

The stability of functional equations was started in 1940 with a problem raised by S. M. Ulam [20]. In 1941 Hyers affirmatively solved the problem of S. M. Ulam in the context of Banach spaces. In 1950 T. Aoki [1] extended the Hyers' theorem. in 1978, Th.M. Rassias [17] formulated and proved the following Theorem:

Theorem A. Assume that E_1 and E_2 are real normed spaces with E_2 complete, $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a mapping such that for each fixed $x \in E_1$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} , and let there exist $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in E_1$. Then there exists a unique linear mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \epsilon \frac{\|x\|^p}{(1-2^p)}$ for all $x \in E_1$.

The equality $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ has provided extensive influence in the development of what we now call Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations [5, 10, 6, 18, 19]. In 1994, a generalization of Rassias' theorem was obtained by Gavruta [7], in which he replaced the bound $\epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a general control function.

2. The main results

In this section, let $(X, []_X)$ be a ternary Banach $(A, []_A)$ -module. Our aim is to establish the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivations.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ and a function $\varphi : A \times A \times A \times A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$(i) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, u, v, w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w) < \infty; \quad (2.1)$$

(ii)

$$\|f(\lambda x + \lambda y + [u, v, w]) - \lambda f(x) - \lambda f(y) - [[f(u)vw]_X]_{(g, h, k)} + [[f(w)vu]_X]_{(g, h, k)}\| \leq \varphi(x, y, u, v, w); \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad & \|g(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda g(x) - \lambda g(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, 0, 0, 0); \\
\text{(iv)} \quad & \|h(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda h(x) - \lambda h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, 0, 0, 0); \\
\text{(v)} \quad & \|k(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda k(x) - \lambda k(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, 0, 0, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1 (= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; |\lambda| = 1\})$ and for all $x, y, u, v, w \in A$. Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ and ξ from A to A satisfying

$$\|g(x) - \sigma(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|h(x) - \tau(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|k(x) - \xi(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0) \quad (2.5)$$

and there exist a unique Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation on $D : A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof . One can show that the limits

$$\sigma(x) := \lim_n \frac{1}{2^n} g(2^n x),$$

$$\tau(x) := \lim_n \frac{1}{2^n} h(2^n x),$$

$$\xi(x) := \lim_n \frac{1}{2^n} k(2^n x),$$

exist for all $x \in A$, also σ, τ and ξ are unique linear mappings which satisfy (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), respectively (see [18]). Put $\lambda = 1$ and $u = v = w = 0$ in (2.2) to obtain

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y, 0, 0, 0) \quad (x, y \in A). \quad (2.7)$$

Fix $x \in A$. Replace y by x in (2.7) to get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, 0, 0).$$

One can use the induction to show that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^p x)}{2^p} - \frac{f(2^q x)}{2^q} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=q}^{p-1} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0, 0, 0) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x \in A$, and all $p > q \geq 0$. It follows from the convergence of series (2.1) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is Cauchy. By the completeness of X , this sequence is convergent. Set

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n},$$

for all $x \in A$. Putting $u = v = w = 0$ and replacing x, y by $2^n x$ and $2^n y$ in (2.2), respectively and divide the both sides of the inequality by 2^n we get

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^n(\lambda x + \lambda y)) - 2^{-n}\lambda f(2^n x) - 2^{-n}\lambda f(2^n y)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0, 0, 0).$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $D(\lambda x + \lambda y) = \lambda D(x) + \lambda D(y)$. Put $q = 0$ in (2.8) to get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^p x)}{2^p} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in A$. Taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ we infer that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0, 0, 0)$$

for all $x \in A$. Next, let $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}(\gamma \neq 0)$ and let N be a positive integer number greater than $|\gamma|$. It is shown that there exist two numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $2\frac{\gamma}{N} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. since D is a additive, we have $D(\frac{1}{2}x) = \frac{1}{2}D(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(\gamma x) &= D\left(\frac{N}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{N} x\right) = ND\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{N} x\right) = \frac{N}{2}D\left(2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{N} x\right) \\ &= \frac{N}{2}D(\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x) = \frac{N}{2}(D(\lambda_1 x) + D(\lambda_2 x)) \\ &= \frac{N}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)D(x) = \left(\frac{N}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\gamma}{N}\right)D(x) = \gamma D(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus D is linear. Suppose that there exists another ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $D' : A \rightarrow X$ satisfying (2.6). Since $D'(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}D'(2^n x)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(x) - D'(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^n} \|D(2^n x) - D'(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} (\|f(2^n x) - D(2^n x)\| + \|f(2^n x) - D'(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq 4\theta \frac{2^p}{2 - 2^p} 2^{n(p-1)} \|x\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore $D' = D$ as claimed. Similarly one can use (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) to show that there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ and ξ defined by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(2^n x)}{2^n}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(2^n x)}{2^n}$, respectively. Putting $x = y = 0$ and replacing u, v, w by $2^n u, 2^n v$ and $2^n w$ in (2.2) respectively, we obtain

$$\|f([2^{3n}u, v, w]) - [[f(2^n u)2^{2n}vw]_X]_{(g,h,k)} + [[f(2^n w)2^{2n}vu]_X]_{(g,h,k)}\| \leq \varphi(0, 0, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \|f([2^{3n}u, v, w]) - [[f(2^n u)2^{2n}vw]_X]_{(g,h,k)} + [[f(2^n w)2^{2n}vu]_X]_{(g,h,k)}\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \|f([2^{3n}u, v, w]) - [[f(2^n u)2^{2n}vw]_X]_{(g,h,k)} + [[f(2^n w)2^{2n}vu]_X]_{(g,h,k)}\| \\ \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \varphi(0, 0, 2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D([u, v, w]) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{3n}[u, v, w])}{2^{3n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f([2^n u, 2^n v, 2^n w])}{2^{3n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{[[f(2^n u)2^{2n}v2^n w]_X]_{(g,h,k)} - [[f(2^n w)2^{2n}v2^n u]_X]_{(g,h,k)}}{2^{3n}} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(2^n u)h(2^n v)k(2^n w) - g(2^n w)h(2^n v)f(2^n u)}{2^{3n}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{f(2^n w)h(2^n v)k(2^n u) - g(2^n u)h(2^n v)f(2^n w)}{2^{3n}} \right) \\ &= (D(u)\tau(v)\xi(w) - \sigma(w)\tau(v)D(u)) - (D(w)\tau(v)\xi(u) - \sigma(u)\tau(v)D(w)) \\ &= [[D(u)vw]_X]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)} - [[D(w)vu]_X]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)} \end{aligned}$$

for each $u, v, w \in A$. Hence, the linear mapping D is a Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose $f : A \rightarrow X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ and there exists $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that*

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\lambda x + \lambda y + [u, v, w]) - \lambda f(x) - \lambda f(y) - [[f(u)vw]_X]_{(g,h,k)} + [[f(w)vu]_X]_{(g,h,k)}\| \\ \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p), \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\|g(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda g(x) - \lambda g(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

(iii)

$$\|h(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda h(x) - \lambda h(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

(iv)

$$\|k(\lambda x + \lambda y) - \lambda k(x) - \lambda k(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and for all $x, y \in A$. Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ and ξ from A to A satisfying $\|g(x) - \sigma(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{1-2^{p-1}}$, $\|h(x) - \tau(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{1-2^{p-1}}$ and $\|k(x) - \xi(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{1-2^{p-1}}$, and there exists a unique Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $D : A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - D(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|x\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x \in A$.

Proof . Put $\varphi(x, y, u, v, w) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|u\|^p + \|v\|^p + \|w\|^p)$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

A C^* -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space A , equipped with a ternary product $(x, y, z) \rightarrow [xyz]$ of A^3 into A , which is \mathbb{C} -linear in the outer variables, conjugate \mathbb{C} -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that $[xy[zvw]] = [x[wzy]v] = [[xyz]wv]$, and satisfies $\|[xyz]\| \leq$

$\|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$ and $\|[[xxx]]\| = \|x\|^3$ (see [8], [21]). Every left Hilbert C^* -module is a C^* -ternary algebra via the ternary product $[xyz] := \langle x, y \rangle z$.

If a C^* -ternary algebra $(A, [\])$ has an identity, i.e. an element $e \in A$ such that $x = [xee] = [eex]$ for all $x \in A$, then it is routine to verify that A , endowed with $x \circ y := [xey]$ and $x^* := [exe]$, is a unital C^* -algebra. Conversely, if (A, \circ) is a unital C^* -algebra, then $[xyz] := x \circ y^* \circ z$ makes A into a C^* -ternary algebra [14].

A Lie (σ, τ, ξ) -ternary derivation $L : A \rightarrow A$ on a C^* -ternary algebra A is called a C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation.

Throughout this section, assume that A is a C^* -ternary with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$. Let q be a positive rational number. For a given mapping $f : A \rightarrow A$ and a given $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $D_\mu f : A^n \rightarrow A$ by

$$D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n q\mu(x_i - x_j)\right) + nf\left(\sum_{i=1}^n q\mu x_i\right) - nq\mu \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$.

In this section our aim is to establish the Hyers–Ulam stability of C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivations in C^* -ternary algebras for the Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $r > 3$ if $nq > 1$ and that $0 < r < 1$ if $nq < 1$. Let θ be a positive real number, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ satisfying*

(i)

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^r, \tag{2.10}$$

(ii)

$$\|g(q\mu x_1 + \dots + q\mu x_n) - q\mu g(x_1) - \dots - q\mu g(x_n)\| \leq \theta(\|x_1\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r), \tag{2.11}$$

(iii)

$$\|h(q\mu x_1 + \dots + q\mu x_n) - q\mu h(x_1) - \dots - q\mu h(x_n)\| \leq \theta(\|x_1\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r), \tag{2.12}$$

(iv)

$$\|k(q\mu x_1 + \dots + q\mu x_n) - q\mu k(x_1) - \dots - q\mu k(x_n)\| \leq \theta(\|x_1\|^r + \dots + \|x_n\|^r), \tag{2.13}$$

such that

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x)yz]_{(g,h,k)} + [f(z)yx]_{(g,h,k)}\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ , and ξ from A to A and a unique C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $L : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

(i)

$$\|g(x) - \sigma(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r - nq} \|x\|^r, \tag{2.15}$$

(ii)

$$\|h(x) - \tau(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r - nq} \|x\|^r, \tag{2.16}$$

(iii)

$$\|k(x) - \xi(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r - nq} \|x\|^r, \tag{2.17}$$

such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r - nq} \|x\|^r. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof . Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|nf(nqx) - n^2qf(x)\| \leq n\theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\|f(x) - nqf\left(\frac{x}{nq}\right)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\|(nq)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^l}\right) - (nq)^{l+m} f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^{l+m}}\right)\| \quad (2.19)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \|(nq)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^j}\right) - (nq)^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^{j+1}}\right)\| \quad (2.20)$$

$$\leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r} \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \frac{(nq)^j}{(nq)^{rj}} \|x\|^r \quad (2.21)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $x \in A$. It follows from (2.19) that the sequence $\{(nq)^m f(\frac{x}{(nq)^m})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{(nq)^m f(\frac{x}{(nq)^m})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.19), we get

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(nq)^j}{(nq)^{rj}} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So (2.18) holds for all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_1 L(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m \|D_1 f\left(\frac{x_1}{(nq)^m}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{(nq)^m}\right)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^m \theta}{(nq)^{mr}} \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^r \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$. Thus

$$D_1 L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$. By Lemma 3.1 of [15], the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ is Cauchy additive. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [14], the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ is linear. Also letting $\mu = 1$ and $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$ in (2.11), we get

$$\|g(qnx) - qng(x)\| \leq n\theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\|g(x) - qng\left(\frac{x}{nq}\right)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. We easily prove that by induction that

$$\|(nq)^l g\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^l}\right) - (nq)^{l+m} g\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^{l+m}}\right)\| \tag{2.22}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \|(nq)^j g\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^j}\right) - (nq)^{j+1} g\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^{j+1}}\right)\| \tag{2.23}$$

$$\leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r} \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \frac{(nq)^j}{(nq)^{rj}} \|x\|^r \tag{2.24}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $x \in A$. It follows from (2.22) that the sequence $\{(nq)^m g(\frac{x}{(nq)^m})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\{(nq)^m g(\frac{x}{(nq)^m})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\sigma(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m g\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right)$$

for all $x \in A$. we easily prove that by (2.11) that $\sigma(\mu x + \mu y) = \mu\sigma(x) + \mu\sigma(y)$ and by letting $l = 0$ and taking the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), we get

$$\|g(x) - \sigma(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{(nq)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(nq)^j}{(nq)^{rj}} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So (2.15) holds for all $x \in A$. similarly, there exist linear mapping τ and ξ on A such that (2.16) and (2.17) hold for all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([x, y, z]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{3m} \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y, z]}{(nq)^{3m}}\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[f\left(\frac{z}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{x}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3m\theta}}{(nq)^{mr}} (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$L([x, y, z]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Now, let $L' : A \rightarrow A$ be another Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping satisfying (2.18). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(x) - L'(x)\| &= (nq)^m \left\| L\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) - L'\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq (nq)^m \left\| L\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \right\| + \left\| L'\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{2(nq)^{m\theta}}{((nq)^r - nq)(nq)^{mr}} \|x\|^r, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in A$. So we can conclude that $L(x) = L'(x)$ for all $x \in A$. This prove the uniqueness of L . Thus the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ is a unique C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation satisfying (2.18) and similarly, we can prove that σ, τ and ξ are unique on A and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $0 < r < 1$ if $nq > 1$ and that $r > 3$ if $nq < 1$. Let θ be a positive real number, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.10)–(2.14). Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ , and ξ from A to A and a unique C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $L : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying*

$$(i) \quad \|g(x) - \sigma(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{nq - (nq)^r} \|x\|^r, \quad (2.25)$$

$$(ii) \quad \|h(x) - \tau(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{nq - (nq)^r} \|x\|^r, \quad (2.26)$$

$$(iii) \quad \|k(x) - \xi(x)\| \leq \frac{n\theta}{nq - (nq)^r} \|x\|^r, \quad (2.27)$$

such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{nq - (nq)^r} \|x\|^r. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof . Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x_1 = \dots = x_n = x$ in (2.10), we get

$$\|nf(nqx) - n^2qf(x)\| \leq n\theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{nq}f(nqx)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{nq} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^l} f((nq)^l x) - \frac{1}{(nq)^{l+m}} f((nq)^{l+m} x) \right\| \quad (2.29)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^j} f((nq)^j x) - \frac{1}{(nq)^{j+1}} f((nq)^{j+1} x) \right\| \quad (2.30)$$

$$\leq \frac{\theta}{nq} \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \frac{(nq)^{rj}}{(nq)^j} \|x\|^r \quad (2.31)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $x \in A$. It follows from (2.29) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.29), we get

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{nq} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(nq)^{rj}}{(nq)^j} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in A$. So (2.28) holds for all $x \in A$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that $r > 1$ if $nq > 1$ and that $0 < r < 1$ if $nq < 1$. Let θ be a positive real number, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.11)–(2.13) and*

$$\|D_\mu f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta \prod_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^r, \quad (2.32)$$

such that

$$\|f([x, y, z]) - [f(x)yz]_{(g,h,k)} - [f(z)yx]_{(g,h,k)}\| \leq \theta \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r \quad (2.33)$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ , and ξ from A to A and a unique C^* -Lie ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $L : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (2.15)–(2.17) such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{n((nq)^{nr} - nq)} \|x\|^{nr}.$$

Proof . Let the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ be defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right),$$

for all $x \in A$. It follows from (2.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([x, y, z]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)}\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{3m} \left\| f\left(\frac{[x, y, z]}{(nq)^{3m}}\right) - \left[f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g,h,k)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[f\left(\frac{z}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{x}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g,h,k)} \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3m} \theta}{(nq)^{3mr}} (\|x\|^r \cdot \|y\|^r \cdot \|z\|^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$L([x, y, z]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma,\tau,\xi)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that $r > 1$ if $nq < 1$ and that $0 < r < 1$ if $nq > 1$. Let θ be a positive real number, and let $f : A \rightarrow A$ be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$ with $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.11)–(2.13), (2.32) and (2.33). Then there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ , and ξ from A to A and a unique C^* -ternary (σ, τ, ξ) -derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ satisfying (2.25)–(2.27) such that*

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{n(nq - (nq)^{nr})} \|x\|^{nr}. \quad (2.34)$$

Proof . Letting $\mu = 1$ and $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = x$ in (2.32), we get

$$\|nf(nqx) - n^2qf(x)\| \leq \theta\|x\|^{nr}$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{nq}f(nqx)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{n^2q}\|x\|^{nr}$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$\left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^l}f((nq)^lx) - \frac{1}{(nq)^{l+m}}f((nq)^{l+m}x) \right\| \quad (2.35)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{(nq)^j}f((nq)^jx) - \frac{1}{(nq)^{j+1}}f((nq)^{j+1}x) \right\| \quad (2.36)$$

$$\leq \frac{\theta}{n^2q} \sum_{j=l}^{l+m-1} \frac{(nq)^{nrj}}{(nq)^j} \|x\|^{nr} \quad (2.37)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $x \in A$. It follows from (2.35) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(nq)^m}f((nq)^mx) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in A$. Since A is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(nq)^m}f((nq)^mx) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $L : A \rightarrow A$ by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^m}f((nq)^mx)$$

for all $x \in A$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.35), we get (2.34). Uniqueness L is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Also there exist unique linear mappings σ, τ and ξ on A similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. It follows from (2.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([x, y, z]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^{3m}} \|f((nq)^{3m}[x, y, z]) - [f((nq)^m x)(nq)^m y(nq)^m z]_{(g, h, k)} \\ & \quad + [f((nq)^m z)(nq)^m y(nq)^m x]_{(g, h, k)}\| \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3mr}\theta}{(nq)^{3m}} (\|x\|^r \cdot \|y\|^r \cdot \|z\|^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. So

$$L([x, y, z]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [2] F. Bagarello and G. Morchio, *Dynamics of mean-field spin models from basic results in abstract differential equations*, J. Stat. Phys. 66 (1992) 849–866.
- [3] N. Bazunova, A. Borowiec and R. Kerner, *Universal differential calculus on ternary algebras*, Lett. Matt. Phys. 67 (2004) 195–206.
- [4] A. Cayley, *On the 34 concomitants of the ternary cubic*, Am. J. Math. 4 (1881) 1–15.
- [5] S. Czerwik (ed), *Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam–Hayes–Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, (2003).

- [6] M. Eshaghi and S. Abbaszadeh, *Approximate generalized derivations close to derivations in Lie C^* -algebras*, J. Appl. Anal., 21 (2015) 37-43.
- [7] P. Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [8] M.E. Gordji and S. Abbaszadeh, *Theory of Approximate Functional Equations: In Banach Algebras, Inner Product Spaces and Amenable Groups*, Academic Press, 2016.
- [9] R. Haag and D. Kastler, *An algebraic approach to quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 848–861.
- [10] S. M. Jung, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic press, Palm Harbor, Florida (2001).
- [11] M. Kapranov, I.M. Gelfand and A. Zelevinskii, *Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhauser, Berlin (1994).
- [12] R. Kerner, *Ternary algebraic structures and their applications in physics*, Univ. P. M. Curie preprint, Paris (2000).
- [13] R. Kerner, *The cubic chessboard*, Geometry and physics, Class. Quantum Grav. 14, A 203 (1997).
- [14] C. Park, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam Stability of C^* -Ternary Algebra Homomorphisms*, Math. Anal. 16 (2009) 67–79.
- [15] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 36 (2005) 79–97.
- [16] C. Park, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a generalized Euler–Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 13 (2006) 619–631.
- [17] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [18] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. 62 (2000) 23–130.
- [19] Th.M. Rassias (ed), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (2000).
- [20] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, science ed. Wiley, New York 1940.
- [21] H. Zettl, *A characterization of ternary rings of operators*, Adv. Math. 48 (1983) 117–143.