



On a k -extension of the Nielsen's β -function

Kwara Nantomah^{a,*}, Kottakkaran Soopy Nisar^b, Kuldeep Singh Gehlot^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University for Development Studies, Navrongo Campus, P.O. Box 24, Navrongo, UE/R, Ghana

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Arts and Science–Wadi Aldawaser, 11991, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

^cGovernment College Jodhpur, JNV University Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India–306401

(Communicated by M.B. Ghaemi)

Abstract

Motivated by the k -digamma function, we introduce a k -extension of the Nielsen's β -function, and further study some properties and inequalities of the new function.

Keywords: Nielsen's β -function, k -extension, k -digamma function, Inequality.

2010 MSC: Primary 33Bxx; Secondary 33B99, 26A48.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The Nielsen's β -function may be defined by any of the following equivalent forms (see [3], [5], [8], [12]).

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(x) &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{x-1}}{1+t} dt \quad (x > 0) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xt}}{1+e^{-t}} dt \quad (x > 0) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+x} \quad (x > 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \psi \left(\frac{x+1}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right\} \quad (x > 0),\end{aligned}$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: knantomah@uds.edu.gh (Kwara Nantomah), ksnisar1@gmail.com (Kottakkaran Soopy Nisar), drksgehlot@rediffmail.com (Kuldeep Singh Gehlot)

Received: November 2017 *Revised:* February 2018

where $\psi(u) = \frac{d}{du} \ln \Gamma(u)$ is the digamma or psi function and $\Gamma(u)$ is the Euler's Gamma function. It satisfies the properties:

$$\beta(x+1) = \frac{1}{x} - \beta(x),$$

$$\beta(x) + \beta(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}.$$

Additional properties of this function can also be found in [9]. As shown in [2] and [6], the Nielsen's β -function is very useful in evaluating and estimating certain integrals as well as some mathematical constants. Recently in [10], the authors introduced and studied some properties of a q -analogue of the function. In this paper, we continue the investigation by establishing a k -extension of the function. The paper is motivated by the k -digamma function introduced by Díaz and Pariguan [4]. In the meantime, we state the following definitions which are well-known in the literature.

Definition 1.1. A function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex on I if

$$h(ax + (1-a)y) \leq ah(x) + (1-a)h(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $a \in [0, 1]$. If h is twice differentiable, then it is said to be convex if and only if $h''(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in I$.

Definition 1.2. A function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be logarithmically convex or in short log-convex if $\ln h$ is convex on I . That is if

$$\ln h(ax + (1-a)y) \leq a \ln h(x) + (1-a) \ln h(y),$$

or equivalently

$$h(ax + (1-a)y) \leq [h(x)]^a [h(y)]^{1-a}$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $a \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.3. A function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be completely monotonic on I if h has derivatives of all order on I and

$$(-1)^s h^{(s)}(x) \geq 0$$

for all $x \in I$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ [13].

We now present our findings in the following sections.

2. k -Extension of Nielsen's β -function

In this section, we introduce a k -extension (also called k -analogue) of the Nielsen's β -function and further study some properties and inequalities involving the new function. We begin by recalling the following definitions concerning the k -Gamma function.

The k -Gamma function (also known as the k -analogue or k -extension of the classical Gamma function) is defined by Díaz and Pariguan [4] for $k > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus k\mathbb{Z}$ as

$$\Gamma_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! k^n (nk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{(x)_{n,k}} = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}} dt.$$

where $(x)_{n,k} = x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+(n-1)k)$ is the Pochhammer k -symbol. Also, the k -Gamma function satisfies the relations (see also [14])

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k(x+k) &= x\Gamma_k(x), \\ \Gamma_k(k) &= 1, \\ \Gamma_k(x)\Gamma_k(k-x) &= \frac{\pi}{k \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{k}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, the k -analogue of the Euler's beta function is given as

$$\mathbf{B}_k(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x)\Gamma_k(y)}{\Gamma_k(x+y)} = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{k}-1}(1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} dt \quad (x > 0, y > 0). \tag{2.2}$$

The logarithmic derivative of the k -Gamma function, which is termed the k -digamma function, is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma_k(x) = \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{nk(nk+x)} \\ &= \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{nk+k} - \frac{1}{nk+x} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{2e^{-t} - e^{-kt}}{kt} - \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-kt}} \right) dt, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721\dots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni's constant. It satisfies the properties (see also [7])

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(x+k) &= \frac{1}{x} + \psi_k(x), \\ \psi_k(k) &= \frac{\ln k - \gamma}{k}, \\ \psi_k(k-x) - \psi_k(x) &= \frac{\pi}{k} \cot\left(\frac{\pi x}{k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 2.1. The integral representation (2.4) which is appearing for the first time, is derived as follows. In the work [11], a (p, k) -analogue of the digamma function was given as

$$\psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \ln(pk) - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1 - e^{-kt}} e^{-xt} dt \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0),$$

where $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{p,k}(x) = \psi_k(x)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \psi_{p,k}(x) = \psi_p(x)$. By using the relation $\ln x = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-xt}}{t} dt$ (see [1, p. 230]), we obtain

$$\psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-pt}}{t} dt + \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-kt}}{t} dt - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1 - e^{-kt}} e^{-xt} dt.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(x) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2e^{-t} - e^{-kt}}{t} dt - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-kt}} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{2e^{-t} - e^{-kt}}{kt} - \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-kt}} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is worth noting from (2.4) that,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \psi_k(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{-t}}{t} - \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \right) dt = \psi(x), \text{ (see [1, p. 259]).}$$

Motivated by these definitions, we introduce the k -extension of the Nielsen's β -function in the following definition.

Definition 2.2. The k -extension of the Nielsen's β -function is defined for $k > 0$ by the following equivalent forms:

$$\beta_k(x) = \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \psi_k \left(\frac{x+k}{2} \right) - \psi_k \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right\} \quad (x > 0) \quad (2.6)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{2nk+x} - \frac{k}{2nk+x+k} \right) \quad (x > 0) \quad (2.7)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi t}{k}}}{1+e^{-t}} dt \quad (x > 0) \quad (2.8)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{1+t} dt \quad (x > 0), \quad (2.9)$$

where $\beta_k(x) = \beta(x)$ if $k = 1$.

Remark 2.3. Representations (2.7) and (2.8) are respectively derived from (2.3) and (2.4), and by a change of variable, (2.9) is obtained from (2.8).

Proposition 2.4. The function $\beta_k(x)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\beta_k(x+k) = \frac{k}{x} - \beta_k(x) \quad (2.10)$$

and the reflection formula

$$\beta_k(x) + \beta_k(k-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{k}\right)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof . By using representation (2.9), we obtain

$$\beta_k(x+k) + \beta_k(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{x}{k}} + t^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{1+t} dt = \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} dt = \frac{k}{x}.$$

Next, by using (2.5), (2.6) and some trigonometric identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_k(x) + \beta_k(k-x) \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \psi_k \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \right) - \psi_k \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) + \psi_k \left(k - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \psi_k \left(\frac{k-x}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \psi_k \left(k - \left(\frac{k-x}{2} \right) \right) - \psi_k \left(\frac{k-x}{2} \right) + \psi_k \left(k - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \psi_k \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{k} \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) + \frac{\pi}{k} \cot \left(\frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \cot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) + \cot \left(\frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \tan \left(\frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) + \cot \left(\frac{\pi x}{2k} \right) \right\} = \frac{\pi}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2k}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2k}\right)} = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{k}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.5. It can be deduced from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.11) that the function $\beta_k(x)$ is related to the k -analogue of the Euler's beta function, $\mathbf{B}_k(x, y)$ in the following ways

$$\beta_k(x) + \beta_k(k - x) = k\mathbf{B}_k(x, k - x),$$

$$\beta_k(x) = -k \frac{d}{dx} \left[\ln \mathbf{B}_k \left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{k}{2} \right) \right].$$

By successive applications of (2.10), we obtain the generalized form

$$\beta_k(x + nk) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1+s} k}{x + sk} + (-1)^n \beta_k(x),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, successive differentiation of (2.6), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) yields respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^{(n)}(x) &= \frac{k}{2^{n+1}} \left\{ \psi_k^{(n)} \left(\frac{x+k}{2} \right) - \psi_k^{(n)} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \right\} \quad (x > 0) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \quad (x > 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^1 \frac{(\ln t)^n t^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{1 + t} dt \quad (x > 0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\beta_k^{(n)}(x + k) = (-1)^n \frac{n!k}{x^{n+1}} - \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \quad (x > 0) \tag{2.13}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Remark 2.6. It follows readily from representation (2.12) that:

- (i) $\beta_k(x)$ is positive and decreasing;
- (ii) $\beta_k^{(n)}(x)$ is positive and decreasing if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is even;
- (iii) $\beta_k^{(n)}(x)$ is negative and increasing if $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is odd.

Theorem 2.7. *The function $\beta_k(x)$ is*

- (a) *logarithmically convex on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (b) *completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof . (a) Let $r > 1, s > 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. Then by (2.9) and the Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k \left(\frac{x}{r} + \frac{y}{s} \right) &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{x}{kr} + \frac{y}{ks} - 1}}{1 + t} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{x-k}{kr}}}{(1 + t)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \frac{t^{\frac{y-k}{ks}}}{(1 + t)^{\frac{1}{s}}} dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{x}{k} - 1}}{1 + t} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{y}{k} - 1}}{1 + t} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= [\beta_k(x)]^{\frac{1}{r}} [\beta_k(y)]^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\beta_k(x)$ is logarithmically convex on $(0, \infty)$.

(b) It follows easily from (2.12) that

$$(-1)^n \beta_k^{(n)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{2n}}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \geq 0.$$

Thus, $\beta_k(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. \square

Remark 2.8. The log-convexity of $\beta_k(x)$ implies that:

- (a) the Turan-type inequality $\beta_k(x)\beta_k''(x) - (\beta_k'(x))^2 \geq 0$ holds for $x > 0$;
- (b) the function $\frac{\beta_k'(x)}{\beta_k(x)}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.9. *The inequality*

$$\beta_k(x + k)\beta_k(y + k) \leq (\ln 2)\beta_k(x + y + k)$$

holds for $x, y \in [0, \infty)$.

Proof . Let F and λ be defined for $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ as

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\beta_k(x + k)\beta_k(y + k)}{\beta_k(x + y + k)}$$

and

$$\lambda(x, y) = \ln F(x, y) = \ln \beta_k(x + k) + \ln \beta_k(y + k) - \ln \beta_k(x + y + k).$$

Then by fixing y , we obtain

$$\lambda'(x, y) = \frac{\beta_k'(x + k)}{\beta_k(x + k)} - \frac{\beta_k'(x + y + k)}{\beta_k(x + y + k)} \leq 0,$$

since $\frac{\beta_k'(x)}{\beta_k(x)}$ is increasing for $x > 0$. Thus, $\lambda(x, y)$ is nonincreasing. Consequently, $F(x, y)$ is also nonincreasing. Then for $x \geq 0$, we have $F(x, y) \leq F(0, y)$ which gives

$$\frac{\beta_k(x + k)\beta_k(y + k)}{\beta_k(x + y + k)} \leq \beta_k(k) = \ln 2.$$

\square

Theorem 2.10. *The inequality*

$$\beta_k(x)\beta_k(x + y + z) - \beta_k(x + y)\beta_k(x + z) > 0$$

holds for positive real numbers x, y and z .

Proof . Let h be defined for positive real numbers x and z as

$$h(x) = \frac{\beta_k(x + z)}{\beta_k(x)}.$$

Then, it suffices to show that h is increasing. Let $\eta(x) = \ln h(x)$. Then

$$\eta'(x) = \frac{\beta_k'(x + z)}{\beta_k(x + z)} - \frac{\beta_k'(x)}{\beta_k(x)} > 0.$$

Thus, $\eta(x)$ and consequently $h(x)$ are increasing. Hence for $y > 0$, we have $h(x + y) > h(x)$ which gives the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.11. *The inequality*

$$\frac{[\beta_k(1+k)]^a}{\beta_k(a+k)} \leq \frac{[\beta_k(x+k)]^a}{\beta_k(ax+k)} \leq (\ln 2)^{a-1} \tag{2.14}$$

holds for $a \geq 1$ and $x \in [0, 1]$. It reverses if $0 < a \leq 1$.

Proof . Let $a \geq 1$, $Q(x) = \frac{[\beta_k(x+k)]^a}{\beta_k(ax+k)}$ and $g(x) = \ln Q(x)$ for $x \geq 0$. Then

$$g'(x) = a \left[\frac{\beta'_k(x+k)}{\beta_k(x+k)} - \frac{\beta'_k(ax+k)}{\beta_k(ax+k)} \right] \leq 0.$$

Thus, $Q(x)$ is nonincreasing. Then for $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $Q(1) \leq Q(x) \leq Q(0)$ which yields the result (2.14). If $0 < a \leq 1$, then we obtain $g'(x) \geq 0$ which implies that $Q(x)$ is nondecreasing. Then for $x \in [0, 1]$, we obtain $Q(0) \leq Q(x) \leq Q(1)$ which gives the reverse of (2.14). \square

3. Some results involving $|\beta_k^{(n)}(x)|$

In this section we study some properties and inequalities of the function $|\beta_k^{(n)}(x)|$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. To start with, we note that $|\beta_k^{(n)}(x)| = (-1)^n \beta_k^{(n)}(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This together with relation (2.13) yields

$$|\beta_k^{(n)}(x+k)| = \frac{n!k}{x^{n+1}} - |\beta_k^{(n)}(x)|. \tag{3.1}$$

We also note that, if $f(x) = |\beta_k^{(n)}(x)|$, then $f'(x) = -|\beta_k^{(n+1)}(x)|$. This implies that the $f(x)$ is decreasing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Δ_n be defined for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as*

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} |\beta_k^{(n)}(x)|.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta_n(x) = k \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta'_n(x) = 0.$$

Proof . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta_n(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left\{ k - \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} |\beta_k^{(n)}(x+k)| \right\} = k.$$

Also,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \Delta'_n(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} |\beta_k^{(n+1)}(x+k)| - \frac{(n+1)x^n}{n!} |\beta_k^{(n)}(x+k)| \right\} = 0.$$

\square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r > 1$, $s > 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. Then, the inequality*

$$\left| \beta_k^{(n)} \left(\frac{x}{r} + \frac{y}{s} \right) \right| \leq \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right|^{\frac{1}{s}}, \tag{3.2}$$

holds for $x, y > 0$.

Proof . Similarly, by the relation (2.12) and the Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \beta_k^{(n)} \left(\frac{x}{r} + \frac{y}{s} \right) \right| &= \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\left(\frac{x}{kr} + \frac{y}{ks}\right)t}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\frac{n}{r}} e^{-\frac{xt}{kr}}}{(1 + e^{-t})^{\frac{1}{r}}} \frac{t^{\frac{n}{s}} e^{-\frac{yt}{ks}}}{(1 + e^{-t})^{\frac{1}{s}}} dt \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\frac{yt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|^{\frac{1}{r}} \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right|^{\frac{1}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. Inequality (3.2) implies that the function $\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|$ is log-convex for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This further implies that:

- (a) the inequality $\left| \beta_k^{(n+2)}(x) \right| \cdot \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right|^2 \geq 0$ holds;
- (b) the function $\left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| / \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|$ is decreasing.

Theorem 3.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the inequality

$$\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x + y) \right| < \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| + \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right| \tag{3.3}$$

holds for $x, y > 0$.

Proof . Let $F_k(x, y) = \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x + y) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right|$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Without loss of generality, let y be fixed. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F'_k(x, y) &= \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x + y) \right| \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|$ is decreasing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, $F_k(x, y)$ is increasing. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_k(x, y) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x + y) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right| \right\} \\ &= - \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right| \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F_k(x, y) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_k(x, y) < 0$ which gives the result (3.3). \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a > 0$, and $x > 0$. Then the inequalities

$$\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(ax) \right| \leq a \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| \quad \text{if } a \geq 1, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(ax) \right| \geq a \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| \quad \text{if } a \leq 1, \tag{3.5}$$

are satisfied.

Proof . Let $a \geq 1$ and $H_k(x) = \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(ax) \right| - a \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|$. Then,

$$H'_k(x) = a \left\{ \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| - \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(ax) \right| \right\} \geq 0.$$

Hence, $H_k(x)$ is nondecreasing. Moreover, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_k(x) = 0$. Therefore, $H_k(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_k(x) = 0$ which gives the result (3.4). Similarly, if $a \leq 1$, we obtain $H'_k(x) \leq 0$ and $H_k(x) \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_k(x) = 0$ yielding the result (3.5). \square

Remark 3.6. It is interesting to note that the result (3.3) coincides with (3.4) if $x = y$ in (3.3) and $a = 2$ in (3.4).

The following lemma is known in the literature as the the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms:

Lemma 3.7. Let $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ be any two functions with convolution $f * g = \int_0^t f(s)g(t - s) ds$. Then the Laplace transform of the convolution is given as

$$\mathcal{L} \{f * g\} = \mathcal{L} \{f\} \mathcal{L} \{g\}.$$

That is

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t f(s)g(t - s) ds \right] e^{-xt} dt = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-xt} dt \int_0^\infty g(t)e^{-xt} dt. \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.8. Let G_k be defined for $k > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x > 0$ as

$$G_k(x) = kx \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right|.$$

Then, $G_k(x)$ is decreasing.

Proof . By using the relation $\frac{n!}{x^{n+1}} = \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-xt} dt$ for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which is derived from the Gamma function, and by the convolution theorem for Laplace transforms (3.6), we obtain the following.

$$\begin{aligned} G'_k(x) &= k \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - kx \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| \\ &= x \left[\frac{k}{x} \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - k \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| \right], \\ \frac{G'_k(x)}{x} &= \frac{k}{x} \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - k \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{xt}{k}} dt \cdot \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt - \frac{k}{k^{n+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{n+1} e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t \frac{s^n}{1 + e^{-s}} ds \right] e^{-\frac{xt}{k}} dt - \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{n+1} e^{-\frac{xt}{k}}}{1 + e^{-t}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{k^n} \int_0^\infty A_n(t) e^{-\frac{xt}{k}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n(t) = \int_0^t \frac{s^n}{1 + e^{-s}} ds - \frac{t^{n+1}}{1 + e^{-t}}.$$

Then $A_n(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} A_n(t) = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} A'_n(t) &= \frac{t^n}{1+e^{-t}} - \frac{(n+1)t^n}{1+e^{-t}} - \frac{t^{n+1}e^{-t}}{(1+e^{-t})^2} \\ &= -\frac{t^n}{1+e^{-t}} \left[n + \frac{te^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}} \right] < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $A_n(t)$ is decreasing. Then for $t > 0$, we obtain $A_n(t) < A_n(0) = 0$. Thus, $G'_k(x) < 0$ which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then the inequality*

$$\left| \beta_k^{(n)}(xy) \right| < \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| + \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right|, \quad (3.7)$$

holds for $x > 0$ and $y \geq 1$.

Proof . Let $T_k(x, y) = k \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(xy) \right| - k \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(x) \right| - k \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right|$ for $k > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $x > 0$ and $y \geq 1$. Let y be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T'_k(x, y) &= -ky \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(xy) \right| + k \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{x} \left\{ kx \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right| - kxy \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(xy) \right| \right\} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $kx \left| \beta_k^{(n+1)}(x) \right|$ is decreasing. Hence, $T_k(x, y)$ is nondecreasing. Then for $0 < x < \infty$, we obtain

$$T_k(x, y) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} T_k(x, y) = -k \left| \beta_k^{(n)}(y) \right| < 0,$$

which gives the result (3.7). \square

4. Concluding Remarks

Motivated by the k -digamma function, we have introduced a k -extension of the Nielsen's β -function, and further studied some properties and inequalities concerning the new function.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 10th Printing, Washington, 1972.
- [2] K.N. Boyadzhiev, L.A. Medina and V.H. Moll, *The integrals in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik. Part 11: The incomplete beta function*, Scientia, Ser. A, Math. Sci. 18 (2009) 61–75.
- [3] D.F. Connon, *On an integral involving the digamma function*, arXiv:1212.1432 [math.GM].
- [4] R. Díaz and E. Pariguan, *On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer k -symbol*, Divulg. Mat. 15 (2007) 179–192.
- [5] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Edited by D. Zwillinger and V. Moll. Academic Press, New York, 8th Edition, 2014.
- [6] L.A. Medina and V.H. Moll, *The integrals in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik. Part 10: The digamma function*, Scientia, Ser. A, Math. Sci. 17 (2009) 45–66.

- [7] S. Mubeen, A. Rehman and F. Shaheen, *Properties of k -gamma, k -beta and k -psi functions*, Bothalia J. 44 (2014) 372–380.
- [8] K. Nantomah, *On Some Properties and Inequalities for the Nielsen's β -Function*, arXiv:1708.06604v1 [math.CA], 12 pages.
- [9] K. Nantomah, *Monotonicity and Convexity Properties of the Nielsen's β -Function*, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal. 6 (2017) 81–93.
- [10] K. Nantomah, M.M. Iddrisu and C.A. Okpoti, *On a q -analogue of the Nielsen's β -Function*, Int. J. Math. Appl. 6 (2018) 163–171.
- [11] K. Nantomah. E. Prempeh and S.B. Twum, *On a (p, k) -analogue of the Gamma function and some associated Inequalities*, Moroccan J. Pure Appl. Anal. 2 (2016) 79–90.
- [12] N. Nielsen, *Handbuch der Theorie der Gammafunktion*, First Edition, Leipzig : B.G. Teubner, 1906.
- [13] D.V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series 6, Princeton University Press, 1946.
- [14] W.-S. Wang, *Some properties of k -gamma and k -beta functions*, ITM Web Conf., 7, 07003, 2016.