



On the Approximate Solution of Hosszú's Functional Equation

B. Bouikhalene^a, J. M. Rassias^{b,*}, A. Charifi^c, S. Kabbaj^c

^aLaboratory LIRST, Polydisciplinary Faculty, Departement of Mathematics, University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni-Mellal Morocco.

^bNational and Capodistrian University of Athens, Section of Mathematics and Informatics, 4, Agamemnonos Str., Aghia Paraskevi, Athens 15342, Greece.

^cFaculty of sciences, Departement of Mathematics, University of Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco.

(Communicated by M. Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

We show that every approximate solution of the Hosszú's functional equation

$$f(x + y + xy) = f(x) + f(y) + f(xy) \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

is an additive function and also we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of this equation in the following setting

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta + \varphi(x, y)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$.

Keywords: Additive Function, Hosszú's Functional Equation, Hyers-Ulam Stability.

2010 MSC: Primary 39B22; Secondary 39B52.

1. Introduction

In the book, "A collection of Mathematical problems", S. M. Ulam posed the question of the stability of the Cauchy functional equation. Ulam asked: if we replace a given functional equation by a functional inequality, when can one assert that the solutions of the inequality lie near to the solutions of the strict equation? [17] Originally, he had proposed the following more specific question during a lecture given before the University of Wisconsin's Mathematics Club in 1940.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr (B. Bouikhalene), jrassias@primedu.uoa.gr (J. M. Rassias), charifi2000@yahoo.fr (A. Charifi), samkabaj@yahoo.fr (S. Kabbaj)

Received: September 2011 *Revised:* Jun 2012

Given a group G_1 , a metric group (G_2, d) , a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a mapping $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ which satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, does there exist an homomorphism $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ and a constant $k > 0$, depending only on G_1 and G_2 such that $d(f(x), h(x)) \leq k\varepsilon$ for all x in G_1 ? A partial and significant affirmative answer was given by D. H. Hyers [5] under the condition that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. Furthermore many authors provided a generalization of Hyers's stability Theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be unbounded (see [4, 12, 14]). The stability problems of various functional equations have been investigated by many authors (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]). The main purpose of this work is to study the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Hosszus functional equation

$$f(x + y + xy) = f(xy) + f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

Many investigations were used to establish Hyer's Ulam stability of this equation (see [1, 9, 11]). In this work we give an other way to establish this stability. Moreover we give the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of this equation.

2. Notations

Throughout this paper we use following notations:

- δ is a positive number.
- $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is one application and $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, $\varphi_n(x, y) = \varphi_{n-1}(2^\varepsilon x, 2^\varepsilon y)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$.
- For some application $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define $\theta : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\theta(x, y) = 5\delta + 2|f(1)| + \varphi(x, 2y + 1) + \varphi(x + y + xy, 1) + 2\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, 1) \text{ if one of numbers } x \text{ or } y \text{ is non null and } \theta(0, 0) = \delta + \varphi(0, 0).$$

- $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \sum_{i=\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi_{i-1}(2^\varepsilon x, 2^\varepsilon y)}{2^{i\varepsilon + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}}}$ and consequently
- $$\tilde{\theta}(x, y) = 5\delta + 2|f(0)| + \tilde{\varphi}(x, 2y + 1) + \tilde{\varphi}(x + y + xy, 1) + 2\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) + \tilde{\varphi}(y, 1).$$

3. Preliminary Results

For later use we need the following lemmas

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta + \varphi(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

for some δ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Then f satisfies the following inequalities

- i) $|f(0)| \leq \frac{\delta + \varphi(0,0)}{2}$,
- ii) $|f(x) + f(-x)| \leq \delta + \varphi(x, -1)$,
- iii) and $|f(2x + 1) - 2f(x)| \leq \delta + |f(1)| + \varphi(x, 1)$.

Proof . i) By letting $x = y = 0$ in (3.1) we obtain $|-2f(0)| \leq \delta + \varphi(0, 0)$ which implies that $|f(0)| \leq \frac{\delta + \varphi(0, 0)}{2}$.

ii) Let $y = -1$ in (3.1) then we get

$$|f(x) + f(-x)| \leq \delta + \varphi(x, -1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

iii) For $y = 1$ in (3.1) we obtain that

$$|f(2x + 1) - 2f(x)| \leq \delta + |f(1)| + \varphi(x, 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality (3.1). Then f satisfies the inequality*

$$|f(2st + t) - 2f(st) - f(t)| \leq \theta(t, s) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof . Next, by setting in (3.1) $(x, y) = (t, 2s + 1)$ or $(y, x) = (t, 2s + 1)$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(2st + t) - 2f(st) - f(t)| \leq \\ & |f(2st + t) + f(2s + 1) + f(t) + f(t + (2s + 1) + t(2s + 1))| + \\ & |f(2(t + s + ts) + 1) - 2f(t + s + st)| + \\ & |2f(t + s + st) - 2f(st) - 2f(t) - 2f(s)| + \\ & |f(2s + 1) - 2f(s)| \leq \\ & [\delta + \varphi(t, 2s + 1)] + [\delta + |f(1)| + \varphi(t + s + st, 1)] + \\ & 2[\delta + \varphi(t, s)] + [\delta + |f(1)| + \varphi(s, 1)] \leq \\ & 5\delta + 2|f(1)| + \varphi(t, 2s + 1) + \varphi(t + s + st, 1) + 2\varphi(t, s) + \varphi(s, 1) = \theta(t, s) \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality (3.1). Then, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$|f(2st + t) - f(2st) - f(t)| \leq \theta(t, s) + \theta(2st, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2[\delta + \varphi(st, -1)] \tag{3.3}$$

$$\leq 12\delta + 4|f(1)| + |f(0)| + \varphi(t, 2s + 1) + \varphi(t + s + ts, 1) + 2\varphi(t, s) + \varphi(s, 1) + \varphi(2st, 0) + \varphi(st - \frac{1}{2}, 1) + 2\varphi(2st, \frac{-1}{2}) + \varphi(\frac{-1}{2}, 1) + 2\varphi(st, -1).$$

Proof . By letting $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ in (3.3) we obtain that

$$|f(t) + 2f(\frac{-t}{2})| \leq \theta(t, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)|.$$

Furthermore, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|f(t) - 2f(\frac{t}{2})| = |f(t) + 2f(-\frac{t}{2}) - (2f(-\frac{t}{2}) + 2f(\frac{t}{2}))|$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq |f(t) + 2f(-\frac{t}{2})| + 2|f(-\frac{t}{2}) + f(\frac{t}{2})| \leq \theta(t, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \varphi(\frac{t}{2}, -1)) \\ & \leq 7\delta + 2|f(1)| + |f(0)| + \varphi(t, 0) + \varphi(\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{1}{2}, 1) + 2\varphi(t, \frac{-1}{2}) + \varphi(\frac{-1}{2}, 1) + \varphi(\frac{t}{2}, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(2st + t) - f(2st) - f(t)| \\ & \leq |f(2st + t) - 2f(st) - f(t)| + |f(2st) - 2f(st)| \\ & \leq \theta(t, s) + \theta(2st, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \varphi(st, -1)) \\ & \leq 5\delta + 2|f(1)| + |f(0)| + \varphi(t, 2s + 1) + \varphi(t + s + ts, 1) + 2\varphi(t, s) + \varphi(s, 1) \\ & + 5\delta + 2|f(1)| + \varphi(2st, 0) + \varphi(st - \frac{1}{2}, 1) + 2\varphi(2st, \frac{-1}{2}) + \varphi(\frac{-1}{2}, 1) + 2[\delta + \varphi(st, -1)] \\ & \leq 12\delta + 4|f(1)| + |f(0)| + \varphi(t, 2s + 1) + \varphi(t + s + ts, 1) + 2\varphi(t, s) + \varphi(s, 1) + \varphi(2st, 0) + \varphi(st - \frac{1}{2}, 1) + \\ & 2\varphi(2st, \frac{-1}{2}) + \varphi(\frac{-1}{2}, 1) + 2\varphi(st, -1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Main Results

In this section we give our main result

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then, f satisfies the functional equation*

$$f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy) = 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}$$

if and only if f is an additive function.

Proof . The result is obtained by a similar calculation as in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.□

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta + \varphi(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2}$$

for some δ and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) < +\infty$. Then there exists a unique additive function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \tilde{\theta}(x, \frac{1}{2}) + \tilde{\theta}(x, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \tilde{\varphi}(\frac{x}{2}, -1)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof . By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we have

$$|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq \begin{cases} \theta(x, \frac{y}{2x}) + \theta(y, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \varphi(\frac{y}{2}, -1)), & x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } x \neq 0; \\ \theta(y, \frac{x}{2y}) + \theta(x, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \varphi(\frac{x}{2}, -1)), & x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ if } y \neq 0; \\ \theta(0, 0) + \theta(0, \frac{-1}{2}) + |f(0)| + 2(\delta + \varphi(0, -1)), & \text{if } y = x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3

$$(t, s) = \begin{cases} (x, \frac{y}{2x}) & \text{if } x \neq 0; \\ (y, \frac{x}{2y}) & \text{if } y \neq 0; \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } x = y = 0. \end{cases}$$

In view of [5], [12] and Theorem 4.1 we get the sought result. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.3}$$

for some real positive number δ . Then there exists a unique additive function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \frac{25}{2}\delta + 4|f(1)|.$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta(|x|^p + |y|^p), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.4}$$

for some real positive number $p \neq 1$. Then there exists a unique additive function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \delta \frac{2^\epsilon}{2^\epsilon - 2^{\epsilon p}} \{2|x|^p + 2^{1-p} + 2|\frac{x}{2}|^p + 2 + \frac{\varphi(0, 0)}{2}\},$$

where ϵ is the sign of $(1 - p)$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + xy) - f(x) - f(y) - f(xy)| \leq \delta(|x|^p|y|^q), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad (4.5)$$

for some real positive numbers p and q such that $r = p + q \neq 1$. Then there exists a unique additive function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \delta \frac{2^\epsilon}{2^\epsilon - 2^{\epsilon r}} \left\{ |x|^p 2^{1-q} + 2 \left| \frac{x}{2} \right|^p + \frac{\varphi(0, 0)}{2} \right\},$$

where ϵ is the sign of $(1 - r)$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional inequality*

$$|f(x + y + z - xy + yz - xyz) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z) + f(xy) - f(yz) + f(xyz)| \leq \delta \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and a some real positive number δ . Then there exists a unique additive function $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - T(x)| \leq \frac{25}{2}(\delta + |f(0)|) + 4|f(1)|.$$

References

- [1] C. Borelli, *On Hyers-Ulam stability of Hosszus functional equation*, Results Math., 26 (1994), 221-224.
- [2] A. Charifi, B. Bouikhalene and S. Kabbaj, *Ulam's stability of a class of linear Cauchy functional equations*, Nova Science Publishers, 2010.
- [3] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 14 (1991) 431-434.
- [4] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994) 431-436.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 27 (1941) 222-224.
- [6] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math., 44 (1992) 125-153.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, G. I. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [8] S. -M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2003.
- [9] S. -M. Jung and P. K. Sahoo, *Hyers-Ulam stability of a generalized Hosszus functional equation*, Glas. Mat. ser. III., 37 (2002) 283-292.
- [10] C. -G. Park, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 275 (2002) 711-720.
- [11] L. Losonczi, *On the stability of Hosszus functional equation*, Results Math., 29 (1996) 305-310.
- [12] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978) 297-300.
- [13] Th. M. Rassias, *The problem of S. M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 246 (2000), 352-378.
- [14] J. M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal., 46 (1982) 126-130.
- [15] J. M. Rassias, *Solution of a problem of Ulam*, J. Approx. Theory, 57 (1989) 268-273.
- [16] J. M. Rassias, *Complete solution of the multi-dimensional problem of Ulam*, Discuss. Math., 14 (1994) 101-107.
- [17] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1961. Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, New York 1964.