



# Counting of conjugacy classes in partial transformation semigroups

Aftab Hussain Shah<sup>a</sup>, Mohd Rafiq Pararray<sup>a</sup>, Dilawar Juneed Mir<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Ganderbal-191201, India

(Communicated by Abasalt Bodaghi)

---

## Abstract

J.Koneieczny in [8] introduced the new notion  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy in semigroups. In this paper, we count the number of conjugacy classes in Partial Transformation semigroup  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  for an infinite set  $A$  with respect to  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy.

*Keywords:* Conjugacy; Diagraph; Double Ray; Cycle set; Chain.  
*2010 MSC:* 20M07; 20M15; 20M20.

---

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $S$  is a semigroup and let  $a, b \in S$ . Then,

$$a \sim_n b \Leftrightarrow \exists u, v \in S^1 \text{ such that } au = ub, bv = va, a = ubv \text{ and } b = vau.$$

This relation is an equivalence relation in any semigroup and in a semigroup with zero it is not a universal relation. J. Koneieczny in [7] introduced the  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy in semigroups.

A *digraph* (or a *directed graph*) is a pair  $\Pi = (A, R)$ , where  $A$  is a non-empty set (finite or infinite) and  $R$  is a binary relation on  $A$ .

If  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , then it can be represented by the digraph  $\Pi(\sigma) = (A, R_\sigma)$ , where for all  $u, v \in t$ ,  $(u, v) \in R_\sigma$  if and only if  $u \in \text{dom}(\sigma)$  and  $u\sigma = v$ .

Let  $\Pi_1 = (A_1, R_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (A_2, R_2)$  be digraphs. A mapping  $\alpha$  from  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  is called a *homomorphism* from  $\Pi_1$  to  $\Pi_2$  if for all  $u, v \in A_1$ ,  $(u, v) \in R_1$  implies  $(u\alpha, v\alpha) \in R_2$ . A partial

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* [aftab@cukashmir.ac.in](mailto:aftab@cukashmir.ac.in) (Aftab Hussain Shah), [parrayrafiq@cukashmir.ac.in](mailto:parrayrafiq@cukashmir.ac.in) (Mohd Rafiq Pararray), [mirjunaid@cukashmir.ac.in](mailto:mirjunaid@cukashmir.ac.in) (Dilawar Juneed Mir)

*Received:* July 2021    *Accepted:* November 2021

mapping  $\alpha$  from  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  is called a *partial homomorphism* from  $\Pi_1$  to  $\Pi_2$  if for all  $u, v \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ ,  $(u, v) \in R_1$  implies  $(u\alpha, v\alpha) \in R_2$ .

A vertex  $p \in A$  for which there exists no  $q$  in  $A$  such that  $(p, q) \in R$  is called a *terminal vertex* of  $\Gamma$ . A vertex  $p \in A$  is said to be initial vertex if there is no  $q \in A$  for which  $(q, p) \in R$  while as a vertex  $p \in A$  is said to be a non initial vertex if  $(q, p) \in R$  for some  $q \in A$ .

Let  $\Pi_1 = (A_1, R_1)$  and  $\Pi_2 = (A_2, R_2)$  be digraphs. A partial homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  is called a *restrictive partial homomorphism* (or an *rp-homomorphism*) from  $\Pi_1$  to  $\Pi_2$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) If  $(u, v) \in R_1$ , then  $u, v \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $(u\alpha, v\alpha) \in R_2$ .
- (b) If  $u$  is a terminal vertex in  $\Pi_1$  and  $u \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , then  $u\alpha$  is a terminal vertex in  $\Pi_2$ .

We say that  $\Pi_1$  is *rp-homomorphic* to  $\Pi_2$  if there is an rp-homomorphism from  $\Pi_1$  to  $\Pi_2$ .

Throughout this paper by an rp-hom we shall mean an rp-homomorphism between any two digraphs and by hom we shall mean a homomorphism.

The next theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for two elements of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  to be  $\sim_n$  related.

**Theorem 1.1:** [1, Theorem 2.1] *Let  $S \leq \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $\sigma, \tau \in S$ . Then  $\sigma \sim_n \tau$  if and only if there are  $\alpha, \beta \in S^1$  for which  $\alpha$  is an rp-hom from  $\Pi(\sigma)$  to  $\Pi(\tau)$  and  $\beta$  is an rp-hom from  $\Pi(\tau)$  to  $\Pi(\sigma)$  with  $q\alpha\beta = q$  for every non initial vertex  $q$  of  $\Pi(\sigma)$  and  $k\beta\alpha = k$  for every non initial vertex  $k$  of  $\Pi(\tau)$ .*

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  be pairwise distinct elements of  $A$ . Then,

- (1) A  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called a *cycle* of length  $k$  if  $\sigma = (a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1})$  where  $(k \geq 1)$  i.e,  $a_j = a_{j-1}\sigma$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $a_0 = a_{k-1}\sigma$  and we write it as

$$a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_{k-1} \rightarrow a_0.$$

- (2) A  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called a *right ray* if  $\sigma = [a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots >$  i.e,  $a_j = a_{j-1}\sigma$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and we write it as

$$a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \ .$$

- (3) A  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called a *double ray* if  $\sigma = < \dots a_{-1} a_0 a_1 \dots >$  i.e,  $a_j = a_{j-1}\sigma$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we write it as

$$\dots \rightarrow a_{-1} \rightarrow a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \ .$$

- (4) A  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called a *left ray*, if  $\sigma = < \dots a_2 a_1 a_0 ]$  i.e,  $a_j\sigma = a_{j-1}$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and we write it as

$$\dots \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_0.$$

- (5) A  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called a *chain* of length  $k$  if  $\sigma = [a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_k]$  i.e,  $a_j = a_{j-1}\sigma$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and we write it as

$$a_0 \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_k.$$

**Notation 1.3:** For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ ,  $\text{Span}(\sigma)$  denotes  $\text{dom}(\sigma) \cup \text{im}(\sigma)$ .

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $a \in A$ , we will write  $a\sigma = \diamond$  if and only if  $a \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)$ . We will also assume that  $\diamond\sigma = \diamond$ . With this notation it makes sense to write  $u\sigma = v\tau$  or  $u\sigma \neq v\tau$  ( $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ ,  $u, v \in A$ ) even when  $u \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)$  or  $v \notin \text{dom}(\tau)$ .

**Definition 1.4:** An element  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  is called *connected* if  $\theta \neq 0$  and for all  $a, b \in \text{span}(\theta)$ ,  $a\theta^k = b\theta^m \neq \diamond$  for some  $k, m \geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.5:** If  $(N, |)$  is a partially ordered subset of the set of positive integers with  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \dots$ . Then

$$\text{sac}(N) = \{m_n \in N : \text{for all } i < n, m_n \text{ is not a multiple of } m_i\}.$$

Let  $\sigma$  be in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  and let  $N$  denotes the set of lengths of cycles in  $\sigma$ . The standard anti-chain of  $(N, |)$  will be called the *cycle set* of  $\sigma$  and denoted by  $\text{cs}(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be connected. we will say that  $\theta$  is of rro type if  $\theta$  contains a maximal right ray but no cycles or double rays or left rays or maximal chains. We will say that  $\theta$  is of cho type if  $\theta$  contains a maximal chain but no cycles or rays.

Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be connected such that  $\theta$  has a maximal left ray or is of cho type. The unique terminal vertex of  $\theta$  is called as the root of  $\theta$ .

**Definition 1.7:** A unique function  $\pi$  defined on  $A$  with ordinals as values and  $R$  is a well founded relation such that for every  $a \in A$ ,

$$\pi(a) = \sup\{\pi(b) + 1 : (b, a) \in R\}.$$

The ordinal  $\pi(a)$  is called the rank of  $a$  in  $\langle A, R \rangle$  [10, Theorem 1.27].

Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be connected of rro type and  $\kappa = [x_0x_1x_2 \dots >$  be a maximal right ray in  $\theta$ . We denote by  $\langle \kappa_n^\theta \rangle_{n \geq 0}$  the sequence of ordinals such that

$$\kappa_n^\theta = \pi_\theta(u_n) \text{ for every } n \geq 0 \text{ where } \pi_\theta(u_n) \text{ is the rank of } u_n \text{ in } \theta .$$

**Definition 1.8:** Let  $\langle p_n \rangle_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\langle q_n \rangle_{n \geq 0}$  be sequences of ordinals. Then we say that  $\langle q_n \rangle$  *dominates*  $\langle p_n \rangle$  if there is  $k \geq 0$  such that

$$q_{k+n} \geq p_n \text{ for every } n \geq 0.$$

**Definition 1.9:** Let  $C$  be a set of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . The *join* of the elements of  $C$  denoted  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \gamma$  is an element of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  defined by

$$x(\bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \gamma) = \begin{cases} x\gamma & \text{if } x \in \text{dom}(\gamma) \text{ for some } \gamma \in C \\ \diamond & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.10:** [7, Proposition 3.5] *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  with  $\sigma \neq 0$ . Then there exists a unique set  $C$  of pairwise completely disjoint, connected transformations contained in  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \gamma$ .*

The elements of  $C$  in Proposition 1.10 are called as connected component of  $\sigma$ . Throughout this paper by c-component we shall mean connected component.

**Theorem 1.11:** [1, Theorem 3.23] *For any subsemigroup  $S \leq \mathcal{P}(A)$ , Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Then  $\sigma \sim_n \tau$  in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  if and only if  $\sigma = \tau = 0$  or  $\sigma, \tau \neq 0$  and the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $cs(\sigma) = cs(\tau)$ .
- (2)  $\sigma$  has a double ray but not a cycle if and only if  $\tau$  has a double ray but not a cycle.
- (3) If  $\sigma$  has a  $c$ -component  $\gamma$  which is of type  $rro$ , but no cycles or double rays, then  $\tau$  has a  $c$ -component  $\delta$  which is of type  $rro$ , but no cycles or double rays, and  $\langle \zeta_n^\delta \rangle$  dominates  $\langle \eta_n^\gamma \rangle$  for some maximal right rays  $\eta$  in  $\gamma$  and  $\zeta$  in  $\delta$ .
- (4) If  $\tau$  has a  $c$ -component  $\delta$  which is of type  $rro$ , but no cycles or double rays, then  $\sigma$  has a  $c$ -component  $\gamma$  which is of type  $rro$ , but no cycles or double rays, and  $\langle \eta_n^\gamma \rangle$  dominates  $\langle \zeta_n^\delta \rangle$  for some maximal right rays  $\zeta$  in  $\delta$  and  $\eta$  in  $\gamma$ .
- (5)  $\sigma$  has a maximal left ray if and only if  $\tau$  has a maximal left ray.
- (6) If  $\sigma$  has a  $c$ -component  $\gamma$  which is of cho type with root  $x_0$ , but no maximal left rays, then  $\tau$  has a  $c$ -component  $\delta$  which is of cho type with root  $y_0$ , but no maximal left rays, and  $\pi_\gamma(x_0) \leq \pi_\delta(y_0)$ .
- (7) If  $\tau$  has a  $c$ -component  $\delta$  which is of cho type with root  $y_0$ , but no maximal left rays, then  $\sigma$  has a  $c$ -component  $\gamma$  which is of cho type with root  $x_0$ , but no maximal left rays, and  $\pi_\delta(y_0) \leq \pi_\gamma(x_0)$ .
- (8) There is  $\alpha, \beta \in S^1$  such that  $y\alpha\beta = y$  for every non initial vertex  $y$  of  $\Pi(\sigma)$  and  $z\beta\alpha = z$  for every non initial vertex  $z$  of  $\Pi(\tau)$

## 2. Counting of Conjugacy Classes using $\sim_n$ notion of conjugacy

Using Theorem 1.11, we now count the conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  for an infinite set  $A$  for the  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy.

**Notation 2.1:** We use  $\aleph$  notation for an infinite cardinal, that is, for an ordinal  $\epsilon$ , we will write  $\aleph_\epsilon$  for the cardinal indexed by  $\epsilon$ . If  $\aleph_\epsilon$  is viewed as an ordinal, we will consistently write  $\omega_\epsilon$  for it.

The next results are from [7] and shall be used to prove the main theorem.

**Lemma 2.2:** [7, Lemma 3.30] *Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be connected of  $rro$  type. Let  $\mu$  be a maximal right ray in  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  be a maximal right ray in  $\tau$  such that  $\langle \kappa_p^\tau \rangle$  dominates  $\langle \mu_p^\sigma \rangle$ . Then for every maximal right ray  $\mu_1$  in  $\sigma$  and every maximal right ray  $\kappa_1$  in  $\tau$   $\langle \kappa_1^\tau \rangle$  dominates  $\langle \mu_1^\sigma \rangle$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 2.3:** [7, Lemma 5.9] *Let  $|A| = \aleph_\epsilon$  and let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be of cho type with root  $p_0$ . Then  $\pi(p_0) < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 2.4:** [7, Lemma 5.10] *Let  $|A| = \aleph_\epsilon$ . Then for every non zero ordinal  $\nu < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$ , there is  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  of cho type with root  $p_0$  such that  $\pi(p_0) = \nu$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 2.5:** [7, Lemma 5.11] *Let  $|A| = \aleph_\epsilon$  and let  $\langle u_p \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of ordinals  $u_p < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$  such that  $u_0 = 0$ . Then there is  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}(A)$  of  $rro$  type with a maximal right ray  $\mu$  such that  $\langle \mu_p^\sigma \rangle = \langle u_p \rangle$ .  $\square$*

Let  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  be a successor cardinal. Denote by  $IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}$  the set of increasing sequences  $\langle p_n \rangle$  of ordinals  $p_n < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$  such that  $u_0 = 0$ . Define a relation  $\approx$  on  $IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}$  is defined as

$\langle p_n \rangle \approx \langle q_n \rangle$  if  $\langle q_n \rangle$  dominates  $\langle p_n \rangle$  and  $\langle p_n \rangle$  dominates  $\langle q_n \rangle$ .

$\approx$  is an equivalence relation on  $IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}$ . By this  $[\langle p_n \rangle]_{\approx}$  we denote the equivalence class of  $\langle p_n \rangle$  and by  $IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}^{\approx}$  we mean the set of all equivalence classes of  $\approx$ .

**Lemma 2.6:** [7, Lemma 5.15] *For any successor cardinal  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ ,  $|IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}| = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$  and  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1} \leq |IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}^{\approx}| \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$ .* □

**Theorem 2.7:** *Let  $A$  be an infinite set with  $|A| = \aleph_{\epsilon}$ . Then the number of conjugacy classes with respect to the  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  are*

- (1)  $\max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\}$  containing a representative with a cycle, of which  $\aleph_0$  have a connected representative.
- (2)  $2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$  containing a representative with a c-component of rro type, but no cycles, of which at least  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  and at most  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$  have a connected representative.
- (3)  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  containing a representative with a c-component of cho type, but no cycles or c-components of rro type, of which  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  have a connected representative.

In total there are  $2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$  conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , of which at least  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  and at most  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$  have a connected representative.

**Proof:**

- (1) Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  and let  $i_{\sigma}, j_{\sigma} \in \{0, 1\}$  be defined by  $i_{\sigma} = 1$  if there exists a c-component of  $\sigma$  of cho type with root  $p_0$  so that  $\pi_{\kappa}(p_0) = s(\sigma)$ , otherwise  $i_{\sigma} = 0$  and  $j_{\sigma} = 1$  if  $\sigma$  has a double ray, otherwise  $j_{\sigma} = 0$ .

To prove (1), let  $X = \{[\sigma]_n : \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ has a cycle}\}$ . Let  $X' = \{[\sigma]_n \in X : \sigma \text{ has no maximal left rays}\}$  and  $X'' = \{[\sigma]_n \in X : \sigma \text{ has a maximal left rays}\}$ . By part (6) of Theorem 1.11, we have  $\{X', X''\}$  is a partition of  $X$ . Define  $f' : X' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \times (\omega_{\epsilon+1} + 1) \times \{0, 1\}$  by  $([\sigma]_n)f' = (cs(\sigma), s(\sigma), i_{\sigma})$ . Then by Theorem 1.11, we get  $f'$  is well defined and injectivity of  $f'$  follows from Lemma 2.3. Similar holds for the mapping  $f'' : X'' \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \times (\omega_{\epsilon+1}) \times \{0, 1\}$  defined by  $([\sigma]_n)f'' = (cs(\sigma), s(\sigma), i_{\sigma})$ . Therefore

$$|X'| \leq |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_+)| \cdot |\omega_{\epsilon+1} + 1| \cdot 2 = 2^{\aleph_0} \cdot \aleph_{\epsilon+1} \cdot 2 = \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\},$$

and the same holds for  $|X''|$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= |X'| + |X''| \\ &\leq \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\} + \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\} \\ &= 2 \cdot \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\} \\ &= \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $U$  be the set of prime positive integers and  $V \subseteq U$ , let  $\{\theta_v\}_{v \in V}$  be a collection of completely disjoint cycles  $\theta_v$  having length  $v$  (since  $X$  is infinite such a collection exists.) Define  $\tau_V \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  by  $\tau_V = \bigcup_{v \in V} \theta_v$ . For  $V_1, V_2 \subseteq U$  with  $V_1 \neq V_2$ . Now by part (2) of Theorem 1.11, we have  $(\tau_{V_1}, \tau_{V_2}) \notin \sim_n$ . So,  $|X| \geq |\mathcal{P}(U)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . By Lemma 2.4, for every nonzero ordinal  $\nu < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$ , there is  $\kappa_{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  of cho type with root  $p_0$  such that  $\pi(p_0) = \nu$ . For all non zero ordinals  $\delta, \nu < \omega_{\epsilon+1}$  with  $\delta \neq \nu$ , we have  $(\kappa_{\delta}, \kappa_{\nu}) \notin \sim_n$  by Theorem 1.11. It follows that  $|X| \geq |\omega_{\epsilon+1}| = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ . Hence  $|X| \geq \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\}$ , and so  $|X| = \max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\}$ .

Let  $X_1 = \{[\kappa]_n : \kappa \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ has a cycle and } \kappa \text{ is connected}\}$ . Fix a subset  $A_0 = \{t_0, t_1, \dots\}$  of  $A$ , and for every integer  $p \geq 0$ , define a cycle  $\kappa_p = (t_0 t_1 \dots t_{p-1}) \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Then, by Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.11, we have  $X_1 = \{[\kappa_0]_n, [\kappa_1]_n, [\kappa_2]_n, \dots\}$ , and so  $|X_1| = \aleph_0$ .

- (2) Let  $Q = \{[\sigma]_n : \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ contains a c-component of rro type with no cycles}\}$ , and let  $Q_1 \subseteq Q$  consisting of all conjugacy classes  $[\kappa]_n \in Q$  such that  $\kappa$  is connected. Fix a double ray  $\omega = \langle \cdots t_{-1}t_0t_1 \cdots \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  and note that

$$Q_1 = \{[\kappa]_n : \kappa \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ of rro type}\} \cup \{[\omega]_n\}.$$

Let  $Q'_1 = \{[\kappa]_n : \kappa \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ is of rro type}\}$ . For every  $\kappa \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  of rro type, we fix a maximal right ray  $\mu^\kappa$  in  $\kappa$ . Let  $g : Q'_1 \rightarrow IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}^{\approx}$  be defined by  $([\kappa]_n)g = \langle \mu_n^\kappa \rangle_{\approx}$ . Note that  $\langle \mu_n^\kappa \rangle \in IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}$  by the Lemma 2.3. Suppose  $[\kappa_1]_n, [\kappa_2]_n \in Q'_1$  with  $[\kappa_1]_n = [\kappa_2]_n$  then by Theorem 1.11 and Lemma 2.2, the sequences  $\langle \mu_n^{\kappa_1} \rangle$  and  $\langle \mu_n^{\kappa_2} \rangle$  dominate each other, and so  $\langle \mu_n^{\kappa_1} \rangle_{\approx} = \langle \mu_n^{\kappa_2} \rangle_{\approx}$ . So,  $g$  is well defined. The injectivity of  $g$  follows from Part(4) Theorem 1.11 and by Lemma 2.5  $g$  is surjective. Thus  $|Q'_1| = |IS_{\omega_{\epsilon+1}}^{\approx}|$  and so by Lemma 2.6,  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1} \leq |Q'_1| \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$ . Then  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1} \leq |Q_1| \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$  since  $|Q_1| = |Q'_1| + 1$ . Clearly  $|Q| \leq |\mathcal{P}(A)| = (\aleph_{\epsilon} + 1)^{\aleph_{\epsilon}} = 2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$ . Let

$$Q' = \{[\sigma]_n \in Q : \sigma \text{ has no maximal left rays or double rays}\},$$

$$Q'' = \{[\sigma]_n \in Q : \sigma \text{ has a maximal left ray but no double rays}\}.$$

We will show  $|Q'| \geq 2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$ . Since  $|Q'_1| \geq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ , there is a collection  $\{\kappa_\nu\}_{\nu < \omega_{\epsilon+1}}$  of transformations  $\kappa_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  of rro type such that  $(\kappa_\nu, \kappa_\delta) \notin \sim_n$  if  $\nu \neq \delta$ . Since  $|\omega_\epsilon| = \aleph_{\epsilon}$  and  $\aleph_{\epsilon} \cdot \aleph_{\epsilon} = \aleph_{\epsilon}$ , there is a partition  $\{A_\nu\}_{\nu < \omega_\epsilon}$  of  $A$  such that  $|A_\nu| = |A| = \aleph_{\epsilon}$  for every  $\nu < \omega_\epsilon$ . Let  $\nu < \omega_\epsilon$ . Since  $|A_\nu| = |A|$ , there is a bijection  $h_\nu : A_\nu \rightarrow A$ . So, we can use  $h_\nu$  to find a "copy" of  $\kappa_\nu$  in  $\mathcal{P}(A_\nu)$ . Let  $\kappa'_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(A_\nu)$  be defined by

$$t\kappa'_\mu = t' \iff (th_\nu)\kappa_\nu = t'h_\nu \text{ for all } t, t' \in A_\nu.$$

Let  $\nu, \delta < \omega_\epsilon$  with  $\nu \neq \delta$ . Then  $(\kappa_\nu, \kappa_\delta) \notin \sim_n$ , and so, by part (4) of Theorem 1.11 and Lemma 2.2,  $(\langle \mu_n \rangle, \langle \kappa_n \rangle) \notin \approx$  for every maximal right ray  $\mu \in \kappa_\nu$  and every maximal right ray  $\kappa \in \kappa_\delta$ . Therefore

$$(\langle \mu'_n \rangle, \langle \kappa'_n \rangle) \notin \approx \tag{1.1}$$

for every maximal right ray  $\mu'$  in  $\kappa'_\nu$  and every maximal right ray  $\delta'$  in  $\kappa'_\delta$ . Let  $R \subseteq \omega_\epsilon$ . Choose  $\mu = \mu_R \in R$  and a maximal right ray  $[t_0 t_1 t_2 \cdots \rangle$  in  $\kappa'_\mu$ . Let  $\sigma_R \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  be defined by  $\sigma_R = \bigcup_{\nu \in R} \kappa'_\nu$ , and note that  $\sigma_R$  does not have a cycle or a double ray. Let  $R, S$  be nonempty subsets of  $\omega_\epsilon$  such that  $R \neq S$ . We may assume that there is  $\nu \in R$  such that  $\nu \notin S$ . Consider  $\kappa'_\nu$ , which is a c-component of  $\sigma_R$ . Let  $\kappa'_\delta$  be any c-component of  $\sigma_S$ . Then, by equation (1.1),  $(\langle \mu'_n \rangle, \langle \kappa'_n \rangle) \notin \approx$  for every maximal right ray  $\mu'$  in  $\kappa'_\nu$  and every maximal right ray  $\kappa'$  in  $\kappa'_\delta$ . (Note that, by definition of  $\sigma_R$ , this is also true when  $\nu = \mu_k$  or  $\delta = \mu_s$ .) So  $(\sigma_R, \sigma_S) \notin \sim_n$  by Theorem 1.11. Hence any two different transformations from the collection  $\{\sigma_K\}_{\emptyset \neq K \subseteq \omega_\epsilon}$  are in different equivalence classes of  $\sim_n$ . Since there are  $2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$  transformations in the collection, it follows that  $|Q'| \geq 2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$ . Hence  $|Q| = |Q'| + |Q''| + |\{[\omega]_c\}| \geq |Q'| \geq 2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$ , and so  $|Q| = 2^{\aleph_{\epsilon}}$ .

- (3) Let  $Z$  be the set of all  $[\sigma]_n$  such that  $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  has a c-component of cho typewith no cycles or c-components of rro type. Let  $Z' = \{[\sigma]_n \in Z : \sigma \text{ has no maximal left rays}\}$  and  $Z'' = \{[\sigma]_n \in Z : \sigma \text{ has a maximal left ray}\}$ . By part (5) of Theorem 1.11,  $\{Z', Z''\}$  is a partition of  $Z$ . Fix a maximal left ray  $\delta = \langle \cdots z_2z_1z_0 \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(A)$  and note that  $Z'' = \{[\delta]_n\}$ . Define  $h : Z'' \rightarrow (\omega_{\epsilon+1} + 1 \times \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\})$  by  $([\sigma]_n)h = (s(\sigma), i_\sigma, j_\sigma)$ . Then  $h$  is well defined and injective by Theorem 1.11 and Lemma 2.3. So  $|Z'| \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ . Thus  $|Z| = |Z'| + |Z''| = |Z''| + 1 \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ . Thus  $|Z| = |Z'| + |Z''| = |Z'| + 1 \leq \aleph_{\epsilon+1} + 1 = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ . Let  $Z_1 \subseteq Z$  consisting of all  $[\kappa]_n \in Z$  such that  $\kappa$  is connected. Note that  $Z_1 = \{[\kappa]_n : \kappa \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ is of cho type}\} \cup \{[\delta]_n\}$ . As in the proof of (1), we can construct a collection  $\{\kappa_\nu\}_{0 < \nu < \omega_{\epsilon+1}}$  of

connected elements of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of cho type such that  $(\kappa_\delta, \kappa_\nu) \notin \sim_n$  if  $\delta \neq \nu$ . Thus  $|Z_1| \geq \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$ , and so  $\aleph + 1 \leq |Z_1| = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  which contradicts the proof of (3).

The conjugacy classes in (1)-(3) cover all conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . Thus there are at most  $\max\{2^{\aleph_0}, \aleph_{\epsilon+1}\} + 2^{\aleph_\epsilon} + \aleph_{\epsilon+1} = 2^{\aleph_\epsilon}$  conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . By (2), there are at least  $2^{\aleph_\epsilon}$  conjugacy classes, so number of conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is  $2^{\aleph_\epsilon}$  conjugacy classes. By (1)-(3), at least  $\aleph_{\epsilon+1}$  and at most  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0} + \aleph_{\epsilon+1} = \aleph_{\epsilon+1}^{\aleph_0}$  of these conjugacy classes have a connected representative.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. H. Shah and M. R. Parray , *On  $\sim_n$  notion of conjugacy in semigroups*, to appear.
- [2] D. J. Mir, A. H. Shah and S. A. Ahanger, *On automorphisms of monotone transformation posemigroups*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557122500322> (2021).
- [3] F. Otto, *Conjugacy in monoids with a special Church-Rosser presentation is decidable*, Semigroup Forum, 29 (1984) 223-240.
- [4] G. Kudryavtseva and V. Mazorchuk, *On three approaches to conjugacy in semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, 78 (2009) 14-20.
- [5] G. Lallement, *Semigroups and Combinatorial Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.
- [6] J. Araujo, M. Kinyon, J. Konieczny and A. Malheiro, *Four notions of conjugacy for abstract semigroups*, to appear in Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, preprint (2015), arXiv:1503.00915v2.
- [7] J. Araujo, J. Konieczny and A. Malheiro, *Conjugation in semigroups*, J. Algebra, 403 (2014) 93-134.
- [8] J. Koneicny, *A new definition of conjugacy for semigroups*, J. Algebra and Appl., 17(02)(2018) 185002.
- [9] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [10] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Third Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.