

Shape preserving approximation using convex smooth piecewise polynomials for functions in L_p quasi normed spaces

Iktifa Diao Jaleel ^{a,*}, Eman Samir Bhaya^a

^aMathematics Department, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon, Iraq

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

Abstract

Many papers used the algebraic polynomials to approximate functions in L_p space for $0 < p < 1$. Few are introduced for the convex algebraic polynomials best approximation. But no one proves direct Theorems for constrained convex approximation using smooth interpolatory piecewise polynomials for functions in L_p , $0 < p < 1$. That is what we shall introduce here.

Keywords: L_p -space, piecewise, convex approximation, derivative

1. Introduction and Notation

Define $L_p(I) = \{\mathcal{F}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \in L_p\}$, where I is closed interval between $-1,1$ and $L_p^\kappa(I) = \{\mathcal{F} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \mathcal{F}^\kappa \in L_p\}$ with $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L_p} = (\int_{-1}^1 |\mathcal{F}(x)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ and interval I ,

$$\Delta_u^\kappa(\mathcal{F}, x, I) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} (-1)^i \binom{\kappa}{i} \mathcal{F}(x + (\frac{\kappa}{2} - i)u), & x \mp \frac{\kappa u}{2} \in I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t, I) := \sup_{0 < u < t} \|\Delta_u^\kappa(\mathcal{F}, \cdot; I)\|_p$ is a measure of the smoothness modulus of f on I . $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t) := w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, t, I)$, $L_p^\kappa = L_p^\kappa(I)$, for any interval I , we write $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, \delta, I)$. We use $\vartheta(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2}$ and $\Omega_n(x) = \vartheta(x) n^{-1} + n^{-2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega_0 \equiv 1$. Π_n symbolizes the space of algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq n$.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: Aktfaa.jalil@student.uobabylon.edu.iq (Iktifa Diao Jaleel),
emanbhaya@uobabylon.edu.iq (Eman Samir Bhaya)

Received: May 2021 Accepted: October 2021

A function $\mathcal{F} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be κ -monotone, $\kappa \geq 1$ on $[a, b]$ if and only if for all choices of $\kappa + 1$ distinct points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in [a, b]$ the inequality $\mathcal{F}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k] > 0$ holds, where

$$\mathcal{F}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k] = \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} \frac{\mathcal{F}(x_j)}{w'(x_j)}$$

$$I_j := I_{j,n} := [x_j, x_{j-1}], \quad h_j := h_{j,n} := |I_{j,n}| = x_{j-1} - x_j$$

$$I_{i,j} := \bigcup_{\kappa=\min\{i,j\}}^{\max\{i,j\}} I_{\kappa} = [x_{\max\{i,j\}}, x_{\min\{i,j\} - 1}], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

(the shortest interval containing both I_i and I_j), $x_j := x_{j,i} := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, for $j < 0$ and -1 for $j > n$ (Chebyshev knots)

$$h_{i,j} := |I_{i,j}| = \sum_{\kappa=\min\{i,j\}}^{\max\{i,j\}} h_{\kappa} = x_{\min\{i,j\} - 1} - x_{\max\{i,j\}}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_j := \mathcal{T}(x) := \frac{|I_j|}{(|x - x_j| + |I_j|)}, \quad \delta_n(x) := \min\{1, n\vartheta(x)\}$$

$$\Phi^{\kappa} := \{\mathcal{T} \in C[0, \infty) \mid \mathcal{T} \uparrow, \mathcal{T}(0) = 0 \text{ and } t_2^{-\kappa} \mathcal{T}(t_2) \leq t_1^{-\kappa} \mathcal{T}(t_1) \text{ for } 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2\}.$$

Note: If $\mathcal{F} \in L_p^{\mathfrak{r}}$, then $\Gamma(t) := t^{\mathfrak{r}} w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, t)_p$ is equivalent to a function from $\Phi^{\kappa+\mathfrak{r}}$. $\sum_{\kappa} := \sum_{\kappa,n}$ denoted the x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ piecewise polynomials of degree not exceeding $\kappa - 1$ that are continuous. $\sum_{\kappa}^{(1)} = \sum_{\kappa,n}^{(1)}$ denote the set of all x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ piecewise polynomials that have continuous derivatives. $\mathcal{P}_j := \mathcal{P}_j(s) := \mathbb{S}|I_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ (\mathbb{S} is a piecewise polynomial of pieces $\mathcal{P}_j(x)$, $x \in I_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, and write $\mathbb{S}|I_j$. $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}(s, \Gamma) := \frac{\|\mathcal{P}_i - \mathcal{P}_j\|_p}{\Gamma(h_j)} \left(\frac{h_j}{h_{i,j}}\right)^{\kappa}$, where $\Gamma \in \Phi^{\kappa}$, $\Gamma \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\kappa}$. $\mathfrak{b}_{\kappa}(s, \Gamma, B) := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}(s, \Gamma) \mid I_i \subset B \text{ and } I_j \subset B\}$, where an interval $B \subseteq [-1, 1]$ contains at least one interval I_v

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\kappa}(s, \Gamma) := \mathfrak{b}(s, \Gamma, I) = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}(s, \Gamma),$$

$c(p) :=$ is an absolute constant depending on p , and is different from one step to others and $c(\kappa, p) :=$ positive constant that are either absolute or may only depend on the parameters k and p .

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\kappa}^L(\mathcal{F}, x, [a, b]) = \min_{1 \leq m \leq \kappa} \Delta^m \frac{1}{(x-a)^{\frac{1}{m}} (b-a)^{m-1/m}}, \quad x \in [a, b]$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\kappa}^R(\mathcal{F}, x, [a, b]) = \min_{1 \leq m \leq \kappa} \Delta^m \frac{1}{(b-x)^{\frac{1}{m}} (b-a)^{m-1/m}}, \quad x \in [a, b]$$

If $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathcal{F} \in C^{\mathfrak{r}}$, then for all $n \geq \kappa + \mathfrak{r} - 1$. There is a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_n \in \prod_n$ satisfies

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)| \leq c(\kappa, \mathfrak{r}) \Omega_n^{\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_{\kappa}(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \Omega_n(x)), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \tag{1.1}$$

and, moreover

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)| \leq c(\mathfrak{r}, \kappa) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_{\kappa}\left(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta^{\frac{2}{\kappa}}(x) n^{-\frac{2(\kappa-1)}{\kappa}}\right), \text{ if } 1 - n^{-2} \leq |x| \leq 1 \tag{1.2}$$

Recently, we were able to show [13] that (1.1) and (1.2) hold for monotone approximation ($q = 1$) if $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa = 2$ and $n \geq \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{r})$. In fact, we follow similar ideas and apply some of the construction in [13]. But there are some additional rather significant technical difficulties that we have to overcome in this case (for example. Proofs in the cases for $\mathfrak{r} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{r} \geq 2$ turn out to be completely different). Also, one of the important tools that we are using is our recent result [14] on convex approximation of $\mathcal{F} \in C^{\mathfrak{r}} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$, by convex piecewise polynomials (Theorem 3.1).

2. The Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Gamma \in \Phi^\kappa$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{F} \in L_p(I)$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\kappa, n}$. If $w_\kappa(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{t})_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\mathbf{t})$ and $\|\mathcal{F} - \mathbb{S}\|_p \leq c(p) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x))$, then*

$$\mathbf{b}_\kappa(s, \Gamma) \leq c(\kappa, p).$$

Theorem 2.2. [6] *For every $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $c = c(p, \mathbf{r})$ with the following property, for each convex function $\mathcal{F} \in L_{p^*}[a, b]$, there is a number $\mathcal{H} > 0$, such that for every partition $\mathcal{X} = \{x_j\}_{j=0}^n$ of $[a, \mathbf{b}]$ satisfying $x_1 - a \leq \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbf{b} - x_{n-1} \leq \mathcal{H}$.*

There is a convex piecewise polynomial $s \in \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{r} + 2)$ such that

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)| \leq c(x - a)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathfrak{L}_2^L(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}, x; [a, x_1]), \quad x \in [a, x_1],$$

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)| \leq c(\mathbf{b} - x)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathfrak{L}_2^R(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}, x; [x_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}]), \quad x \in [x_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}], \text{ and, for each } j = 2, \dots, n - 1 \text{ and } x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$$

$$|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)| \leq c(x_j - x_{j-1})^{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{x_j - x_{j-1}}^2(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}), \quad x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j] +$$

$$c(x_1 - a)^{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{x_1 - a}^2(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}), \quad x \in [a, x_1] + c(\mathbf{b} - x_{n-1})^{\mathbf{r}} \Delta_{\mathbf{b} - x_{n-1}}^2(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}, \mathbf{b} - x_{n-1}; [x_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}]).$$

Lemma 2.3. [6] $|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)| \leq c(x - a)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathfrak{L}_2^L(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}, x; [a, x_1]), \quad x \in [a, x_1].$

Lemma 2.4. [6] $|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)| \leq c(\mathbf{b} - x)^{\mathbf{r}} \mathfrak{L}_2^R(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathbf{r})}, x; [x_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}]), \quad x \in [x_{n-1}, \mathbf{b}].$

Lemma 2.5. [16] *Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, $Z_m := (\mathcal{Z}_i)_{i=0}^m$, $a =: \mathcal{Z}_0 < \mathcal{Z}_1 < \dots < \mathcal{Z}_{m-1} < \mathcal{Z}_m := \mathbf{b}$ be a partition of $[a, \mathbf{b}]$, let $s \in \Delta^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{r}+2}(Z_m)$. Then there exists $\tilde{s} \in \Delta^{(2)} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{r}+2}(Z_m) \cap L_{p^1}[a, \mathbf{b}]$ such that, for any $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$,*

$$\|s - \tilde{s}\|_{[\mathcal{Z}_{j-1}, \mathcal{Z}_{j+1}]} \leq c(\mathbf{r}, \mathcal{O}(Z_m)) w_{\mathbf{r}+2}(s, \mathcal{Z}_{j+2} - \mathcal{Z}_{j-2}; [\mathcal{Z}_{j-2}, \mathcal{Z}_{j+2}]),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_j := \mathcal{Z}_0, j < 0$ and $\mathcal{Z}_j := \mathcal{Z}_m, j > m$. Moreover, $\tilde{s}^{(v)}(a) = s^{(v)}(a)$ and $\tilde{s}^{(v)}(\mathbf{b}) = s^{(v)}(\mathbf{b}), v = 0, 1$.

Lemma 2.6. [16] $(h_{j \pm 1} < 3h_j)$.

Theorem 2.7. [14] $\mathbf{b}_\kappa(s, \Gamma) \leq 1$ and, additionally

1. If $d_+ > 0$, then $d_+ |I_2|^{\mathbf{r}-2} \leq \min_{x \in I_2} \mathbb{S}'(x)$.
2. If $d_+ = 0$, $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}(1) = 0$, for all $2 \leq i \leq \kappa - 2$.
3. If $d_- > 0$, then $d_- |I_{n-1}|^{\mathbf{r}-2} \leq \min_{x \in I_{n-1}} \mathbb{S}'(x)$.
4. If $d_- = 0$, then $\mathbb{S}^{(i)}(-1) = 0$, for all $2 \leq i \leq \kappa - 2$.

then there exists a polynomial $P \in \Delta^{(1)} \cap \prod_{C_n}$ satisfying, for all $x \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\|\mathbb{S} - P\|_p \leq c(p, \kappa, \mathcal{S}) \delta_n^{\mathcal{S}}(x) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x)), \quad \text{if } d_+ > 0 \text{ and } d_- > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|\mathbb{S} - P\|_p \leq c(p, \kappa, \mathcal{S}) \delta_n^{\min\{\mathcal{S}, 2\kappa-2\}}(x) \Gamma(\Omega_n(x)), \quad \text{if } \min\{d_+, d_-\} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

3. Main theorems

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a convex function in $L_p[-1,1]$, then $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a constant $c(p, \mathfrak{r})$, there exist $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{r})$ and a convex piecewise polynomials $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\mathfrak{r}+2, n} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$ of degree $\mathfrak{r}+1$, and has Chebyshev partition knots T_n :*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathbb{S}(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \left(\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)^\mathfrak{r} w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p, x \in [-1, 1] \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathbb{S}(x)\|_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]} &\leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta}{n}\right)_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]}, \\ x \in [-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathbb{S}(x)\|_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]} &\leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \vartheta^2(x))_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]}, \\ x \in [-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof . By Theorem 2.2, if we let x be the Chebyshev partition $T_n = \{\mathfrak{t}_j\}$, where $n \geq \mathcal{N} := 3/\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}$, then by $x_1 - a \leq \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{b} - x_{n-1} \leq \mathcal{H}$, the proof holds, because from $\sin \pi/2n \leq \pi/2n$, we have

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 + 1 = 1 - \mathfrak{t}_{n-1} = 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2n^2} \leq \frac{5}{\mathcal{N}} \leq \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n} \sim \Omega_n(x) \sim \mathfrak{t}_j - \mathfrak{t}_{j-1}$, for $x \in [\mathfrak{t}_{j-1}, \mathfrak{t}_j]$. From lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and the above discussion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)\|_p &\leq := (p, a)^\mathfrak{r} \mathfrak{L}_2^L(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, x; [a, x_1])_{L_p[a, x_1]}, \\ \|\mathcal{F}(x) - s(x)\|_p &\leq c(p, \mathfrak{b})^\mathfrak{r} \|\mathfrak{L}_2^R(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, x; [x_{n-1}, \mathfrak{b}])\|_{L_p[x_{n-1}, \mathfrak{b}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) are satisfied. \square

Theorem 3.2. *If \mathcal{F} be a convex function in $L_p[-1, 1]$, for $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a number $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{r})$ satisfies for any $n \geq \mathcal{N}$, we can find a continuous and differentiable convex piecewise polynomials \mathbb{S} of degree $\mathfrak{r}+1$ with Chebyshev partition knots T_n , satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3). Let $\mathcal{Y}_\mathfrak{r}(Z_m)$ denoted the space of all piecewise polynomial function (ppf) of degree $\mathfrak{r}-1$ (order \mathfrak{r}) with the knots $Z_m := (\mathfrak{Z}_i)_{i=0}^m$, $a := \mathfrak{Z}_0 < \mathfrak{Z}_1 < \dots < \mathfrak{Z}_{n-1} < \mathfrak{Z}_m := \mathfrak{b}$. Also, the scale of the partition Z_m is denoted by*

$$\mathcal{O}(Z_m) := \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \frac{|J_{j \pm 1}|}{|J_j|}, \text{ where } J_j = [\mathfrak{Z}_j, \mathfrak{Z}_{j+1}], \tag{3.4}$$

where $|J_j|$ is the length of the interval J_j .

Proof . For a large number n and let \mathbb{S}_0 be a convex in $\sum_{\mathfrak{r}+2, n} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$ and also a piecewise polynomial using Theorem 3.1 for which estimates (3.1)-(3.3) hold. Let $a := x_{2n-1, 2n}$, $\mathfrak{b} := x_{1, 2n}$ and let $Z_n = (\mathfrak{Z}_i)_{i=0}^n$ be such that $\mathfrak{Z}_0 := a$, $\mathfrak{Z}_n := \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_i := x_{n-i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (note that $Z_n \subset T_{2n}$).

Obviously, $\mathbb{S}_0 \in \mathcal{Y}_{\tau+2}(Z_n)$, $\mathcal{O}(Z_n) \sim 1$, and by Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\left\| \mathbb{S}_0 - \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0 \right\|_{L_p(\tilde{I}_j)} \leq c(p, \tau) w_{\tau+2}(\mathbb{S}_0, h_j, J_j)_{L_p(\tilde{I}_j)}, \text{ where } \tilde{I}_j := I_j \cap [a, \mathbf{b}] \text{ and } J_j := [x_{j+2}, x_{j-2}] \cap [a, \mathbf{b}], \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0^{(v)}(a) = \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0^{(v)}(a) \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0^{(v)}(\mathbf{b}) = \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0^{(v)}, v = 0, 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{S}(x) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}_0(x), & \text{if } x \in [-1, 1] \setminus [a, \mathbf{b}], \\ \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0(x), & \text{if } x \in [a, \mathbf{b}]. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbb{S} \in \sum_{\tau+2, 2n}^{(1)} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$, so inequalities (3.2) and (3.3) are satisfied, if we put instead of $2n, n$ and (3.1) also satisfied. Since $\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n} \sim h_j$, for any $x \in J_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, for $x \in \tilde{I}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F} - \mathbb{S}\|_{L_p(\tilde{I}_j)} &\leq \|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathbb{S}_0(x)\|_{L_p(\tilde{I}_j)} + \left\| \mathbb{S}_0(x) - \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0(x) \right\|_{L_p(\tilde{I}_j)} \\ &\leq c(p) \|\mathcal{F} - \mathbb{S}_0\|_{p(J_j)} + c(p) w_{\tau+2}(\mathcal{F}, h_j; J_j)_{p(J_j)} \\ &\leq c(p) h_j^\tau w_2(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, h_j)_p \leq c(p) \left(\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)^\tau w_2(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n})_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a convex function in $L_p[-1, 1]$, then for $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a constant $c(p, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}, \tau)$, such that for every $n \geq \mathcal{N}$, there is a $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Pi_n \cap \Delta^{(2)}$ satisfying*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \tau) \left(\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)^\tau w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p, x \in [-1, 1]. \tag{3.7}$$

The following strong estimates are valid:

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]} \leq c(p, \tau) \vartheta^{2\tau}(x) w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]}, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]} \leq c(p, \tau) \vartheta^{2\tau}(x) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, \vartheta^2(x))_{L_p[-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]}, \tag{3.9}$$

for $x \in [-1, -1+n^{-2}] \cup [1-n^{-2}, 1]$.

Proof . In the case $\tau \geq 2$, let \mathbb{S} be the piecewise polynomial satisfies Theorem 3.2 Let us assume that \mathbb{S} has no knots at x_1 and x_{n-1} (we shall treat \mathbb{S} as a piecewise polynomial with knots at the chebyshev partition T_{2n}). Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1(x) := \mathbb{S}(x)|_{I_1 \cup I_2} = \mathcal{F}(1) + \frac{\mathcal{F}'(1)}{1!} (x-1) + \dots + \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}(1)}{\tau!} (x-1)^\tau + a_+(n; \mathcal{F})(x-1)^{\tau+1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_n(x) := \mathbb{S}(x)|_{I_n \cup I_{n-1}} = \mathcal{F}(-1) + \frac{\mathcal{F}'(-1)}{1!} (x+1) + \dots + \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}(-1)}{\tau!} (x+1)^\tau + a_-(n; \mathcal{F})(x+1)^{\tau+1},$$

where $a_+(n, \mathcal{F})$ and $a_-(n, \mathcal{F})$ are constants depending on n and \mathcal{F} . show that

$$n^{-2} \max \{|a_+(n, \mathcal{F})|, |a_-(n, \mathcal{F})|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}$$

From Theorem 3.1 (or Lemma 3.4), for all $x \in I_1 \cup I_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_+(n, \mathcal{F})(1-x)\|_p &\leq c(p) \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_1(x) - \mathcal{F}(x)\|_p}{(1-x)^\tau} \\ &\quad + \frac{c(p)}{(1-x)^\tau} \left\| \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(1) - \frac{\mathcal{F}'(1)}{1!}(x-1) - \dots - \frac{\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}(1)}{\tau!}(x-1)^\tau \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, 1-x)_p + \frac{1}{(\tau-1)!(1-x)^\tau} \left\| \int_x^1 (\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}(t) - \mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}(1))(t-x)^{\tau-1} dt \right\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, 1-x)_p, \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular, $n^{-2} \|a_+(n, \mathcal{F})\|_p \leq c(p) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, n^{-2})_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly for $\|a_-(n, \mathcal{F})\|_p$.

For $\mathcal{F} \in L_{p^\tau}$, $\tau \geq 2$, let $i_+ \geq 2$ is the small integer $2 \leq i_+ \leq \tau$. If it exists, such that $\mathcal{F}^{(i_+)}(1) \neq 0$, and let

$$\mathcal{D}_+(\tau, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} (2\tau!)^{-1} |\mathcal{F}^{(i_+)}(1)| & \text{if } i_+ \text{ exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, let $i_- \geq 2$, be the smallest integer $2 \leq i_- \leq \tau$, if it exists, such that $\mathcal{F}^{(i_-)}(-1) \neq 0$, and denote

$$\mathcal{D}_-(\tau, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} (2\tau!)^{-1} |\mathcal{F}^{(i_-)}(-1)| & \text{if } i_- \text{ exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, if n is sufficiently large, then

$$\mathbb{S}''(x) \geq \mathcal{D}_+(\tau, \mathcal{F})(1-x)^{\tau-2}, \quad x \in (x_2, 1], \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}''(x) \geq \mathcal{D}_-(\tau, \mathcal{F})(x+1)^{\tau-2}, \quad x \in [-1, x_{n-2}]. \tag{3.12}$$

In the case $\tau \geq 2$, let $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \geq 2$, and a convex $\mathcal{F} \in L_p^\tau$, let $\mathcal{T} \in \Phi^2$ be such that $w_2(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, t) \sim \mathcal{T}(t)$, let $\Gamma(t) := t^\tau \mathcal{T}(t)$, and note that $\Gamma \in \Phi^{\tau+2}$. For a large number $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $n \geq \mathcal{N}$, we suppose that the piecewise polynomial $\mathbb{S} \in \Sigma_{\tau+2}, n$ of Theorem 3.2 satisfying (3.11), (3.12) and satisfies

$$w_{\tau+2}(\mathcal{F}, t) \leq t^2 w_2(\mathcal{F}^{(\tau)}, t) \sim \Gamma(t).$$

So then by Lemma 2.1 with $\kappa = \tau + 2$, we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\tau+2}(\mathbb{S}, \Gamma) \leq c.$$

There using (3.5) and Lemma 2.6

$$\min_{x \in I_2} \mathbb{S}''(x) \geq \mathcal{D}_+(\tau, \mathcal{F}) |I_1|^{\tau-2} \geq 3^{-\tau+2} \mathcal{D}_+(\tau, \mathcal{F}) |I_2|^{\tau-2}.$$

Similarly, (3.6) yields

$$\min_{x \in I_2} \mathbb{S}''(x) \geq 3^{-\tau+2} \mathcal{D}_-(\tau, \mathcal{F}) |I_{n-1}|^{\tau-2}.$$

Then by Theorem 2.7 if $\kappa=\mathfrak{r}+2$, $d_+ := 3^{-\mathfrak{r}+2}\mathcal{D}_+(\mathfrak{r}, \mathcal{F})$, $d_- := 3^{-\mathfrak{r}+2}\mathcal{D}_-(\mathfrak{r}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{S}= 2\kappa-2 = 2\mathfrak{r}+2$, so that there exists a polynomial $\mathcal{P}\in\Pi_{cn}\cap\Delta^{(2)}$ such that:

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \delta_n^{2\mathfrak{r}+2}(x) \Omega_n^{\mathfrak{r}}(x) \mathcal{T}(\Omega_n(x)), \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \tag{3.13}$$

So for $x \in I_1 \cup I_n$, $x \neq -1, 1$, by $\Omega_n(x) \sim n^{-2}$ for these x , and $\mathfrak{t}^{-2}\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{t})$ is non-increasing we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p &\leq c(p) (n\vartheta(x))^{2\mathfrak{r}+2} \Omega_n^{\mathfrak{r}}(x) \mathcal{T}(\Omega_n(x)) \\ &\leq c(p) n^2 \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}+2}(x) \left(\frac{n\Omega_n(x)}{\vartheta(x)}\right)^2 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right) \\ &\leq c(p) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

In turn, this implies for $x \in I_1 \cup I_n$, that

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \left(\frac{\vartheta}{n}\right)^{\mathfrak{r}} w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \tag{3.15}$$

Now, (3.15) together with (3.1) yield

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \left(\frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)^{\mathfrak{r}} w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

and (3.14) together with (3.8) yield

$$\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_2\left(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \frac{\vartheta(x)}{n}\right)_p, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Now to prove $\|\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_p \leq c(p, \mathfrak{r}) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \vartheta^2(x))_p$, using that $\mathfrak{t}^{-1}w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \mathfrak{t})$ is non-increasing we have, for $x \in I_1 \cup I_n$, $x \neq -1, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p &\leq c(p) (n\vartheta(x))^{2\mathfrak{r}+2} \Omega_n^{\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \Omega_n(x))_p \\ &\leq c(p) n^2 \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}+2}(x) \frac{\Omega_n(x)}{\vartheta^2(x)} w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \vartheta^2(x))_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}(x)\|_p \leq c(p) \vartheta^{2\mathfrak{r}}(x) w_1(\mathcal{F}^{(\mathfrak{r})}, \vartheta^2(x))_p.$$

In case $\mathfrak{r}=1$, let us define a convex polynomial \mathcal{P}_n the approximates the quadratic spline \mathbb{S} from Theorem 3.1 (with $\mathfrak{r}=1$) so that

$$\|\mathbb{S}(x) - \mathcal{P}_n(x)\|_p \leq c(p) w_3(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_n(x)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\pm 1) = \mathbb{S}(\pm 1) \quad \text{and} \quad p'_n(\pm 1) = \mathbb{S}'(\pm 1). \tag{3.16}$$

To construct the above polynomial, we shall use away similar to that in [2] by replacing \mathcal{F} by \mathbb{S} and n by $2n$. \square

References

- [1] R.A. DeVore and G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. [Fundam. Principles Math. Sci.], vol. 303 Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1993.
- [2] K.A. Kopotun, *Pointwise and uniform estimates for convex approximation of functions by algebraic polynomial*, Constr. Approx. 10 (1994) 153–178.
- [3] D. Leviatan and I.A. Shevchuk, *Monotone approximation estimates involving the third modulus of smoothness*, Approx. Theory IX, Vol. I. (Nashville, TN, 1998) Innov. Appl. Math. Vanderbilt Univ. Press Nashville, (1998) 223–230.
- [4] L.P. Yushchenko, *On one counterexample in convex approximation*, Ukrain. Math. J. 52(12) (2000) 1956–1963.
- [5] A.V. Bondarenko and A.V. Prymak, *Negative results in shape-preserving higher-order approximations*, Mat. Zametki 76 (2004) 6 812–823.
- [6] K.A. Kopotun, *Interpolatory estimates for convex piecewise polynomial approximation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 474(1) (2019) 467–479.
- [7] H.H. Gonska, D. Leviatan, I.A. Shevchuk and H.-J. Wenz, *Interpolatory pointwise estimates for polynomial approximations*, Constr. Approx. 16 (2000) 603–629.
- [8] K.A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. Prymak and I.A. Shevchuk, *Uniform and pointwise shape preserving approximation by algebraic polynomials*, Surv. Approx. Theory 6 (2011) 24–74.
- [9] T.O. Petrova, *A counterexample to convex interpolation approximation*, Pr. Inst. Mat. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr. Mat. Zastos 35 (2002): 107–112.
- [10] R.A. DeVore and X.M. Yu, *Pointwise estimates for monotone polynomial approximation*, Constr. Approx. 1 (1985) 323–331.
- [11] D. Leviatan, *Pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98(3)(1986) 471–474.
- [12] X.M. Yu, *Pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation*, Approx. Theory Appl. 1 4 (1985) 65–74.
- [13] J.D. Cao and H.H. Gonska, *Pointwise estimates for higher order convexity preserving polynomial approximation*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B 36(2) (1994) 213–233.
- [14] K.A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan and I.A. Shevchuk, *Interpolatory pointwise estimates for monotone polynomial approximation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459(2) (2018) 1260–1295.
- [15] G.A. Dzyubenko, D. Leviatan and I.A. Shevchuk, *Pointwise estimates of coconvex approximation*, Jaen J. Approx. 6 (2014) 261–295.
- [16] K. A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, I.L. Petrova and I.A. Shevchuk, *Interpolatory pointwise estimates for convex polynomial approximation*, Acta Math. Hungar. 163(1) (2020) 85–117.