Characterization and stability of multi-cubic mappings

Ali Reza Neisi, Mohammad Sadegh Asgari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

(Communicated by Abasalt Bodaghi)

Abstract

In this article, we introduce a new class of multi-cubic mappings and then unify a system of cubic functional equations defining a multi-cubic mapping to an equation, as the multi-cubic functional equation. Moreover, we show that the mentioned equation describes the multi-cubic mappings. Furthermore, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-cubic mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces by applying a known fixed point theorem.

Keywords: Fixed point, Hyers-Ulam stability, multi-cubic mapping, non-Archimedean normed space.
2020 MSC: 39B52, 39B72, 39B82, 47H10.

1 Introduction

Let V and W be linear spaces, and $n \geq 2$ be a natural number. A mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is called *multi-additive* if it is additive (satisfies Cauchy's functional equation $A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y)$) in each variable; see [13] and [15]. Some facts on such mappings can be found in [24] and many other sources. Moreover, f is said to be *multi-quadratic* if it is quadratic in each variable [26], namely, it satisfies quadratic functional equation

$$Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \tag{1.1}$$

in each variable; refer to [3], [8], [10], [28], [29] and [33] more details about some forms of multi-quadratic mappings.

J. M. Rassias was the first author who defined cubic functional equations in [27] as follows:

$$C(x + 2y) = 3C(x + y) + C(x - y) - 3C(x) + 6C(y). \tag{1.2}$$

After that, Jun and Kim introduced the cubic equation

$$C(2x + y) + C(2x - y) = 2C(x + y) + 2C(x - y) + 12C(x) \tag{1.3}$$

in [21] and then different form of cubic functional equation defined by them in [22] is

$$C(x + 2y) + C(x - 2y) = 4C(x + y) + 4C(x - y) - 6C(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Equations (1.3) and (1.4) were generalized by Bodaghi in [4] as follows:

$$f(rx + sy) + f(rx - sy) = rs^2[f(x + y) + f(x - y)] + 2r(r^2 - s^2)f(x) \tag{1.5}$$

where r, s are integer numbers with $r \pm s \neq 0$; see also [7].

Ghaemi et al., in [19] introduced the multi-cubic mappings for the first time. In fact, they considered a mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ which satisfies (1.5) in each variable. Next, a special case of such mappings is studied in [11]. In fact, a mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is called *multi-cubic* if it is cubic in each variable, i.e., satisfies (1.3) in each variable. In [11], the authors unified the system of functional equations defining a multi-cubic mapping to a single equation, namely, multi-cubic functional equation. Furthermore, the general system of cubic functional equations which was defined in [19], characterized as a single equation in [18].

In two last decades, the stability problem for functional equations which was initiated by Ulam [30] for group homomorphisms, answered and studied for several variables mappings. Indeed, a functional equation Γ is said to be *stable* if any function f satisfying the equation Γ approximately must be near to an exact solution.

The Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-quadratic mappings in various Banach spaces have been studied in [8], [9], [14], [16], [17] and [33]. In [11], it is shown that every multi-cubic functional equation is stable; for the miscellaneous versions of multi-cubic mappings and their stabilities in modular spaces, we refer to [25]), respectively. For the structure and stability of multi-additive-quadratic and multi-quadratic-cubic, we refer to [1] and [6], respectively.

Using equation (1.2), in this paper, we define a new form of multi-cubic mappings which are different from [11] and [18] and then present a characterization of such mappings. In other words, we reduce the system of n equations defining the multi-cubic mappings to obtain a single functional equation. We also prove the Hyers-Ulam stability and hyperstabilty for multi-cubic functional equations in non-Archimedean normed spaces by applying a known fixed point theorem which has been introduced and studied in [12]; for more applications of this technique see [2], [31] and [32].

2 Characterization of multi-cubic mappings

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ are the set of all positive integers, integers and rational numbers, respectively, $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V^n$ we write $lx := (lx_1, \ldots, lx_n)$ and $tx := (t_1 x_1, \ldots, t_n x_n)$, where lx stands, as usual, for the scaler product of l on x in the linear space V.

Definition 2.1. Let V and W be vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A several variables mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is called *n-cubic* or *multi-cubic* if f satisfies *(1.2)* in each variable.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ and $x_i^n = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{in}) \in V^n$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We shall denote x_i^n by x_i if there is no risk of mistake. For $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$ and $p_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq p_l \leq n$, where $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Set

$$\mathbb{A}^n = \{\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_1, \ldots, A_n) | \ A_j \in \{x_{1j} \pm x_{2j}, x_{1j}, x_{2j}\}\},$$

for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The subset $\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}$ of $\mathbb{A}^n$ is considered as follows:

$$\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)} := \{\mathfrak{A}_n \in \mathbb{A}^n | \ \mathrm{Card}\{A_j : \ A_j = x_{1j}\} = p_1,$$
$$\mathrm{Card}\{A_j : \ A_j = x_{2j}\} = p_2, \mathrm{Card}\{A_j : \ A_j = x_{1j} + x_{2j}\} = p_3, \}.$$

The following notations can be used for the multi-cubic mappings.

$$f\left(\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}\right) := \sum_{\mathfrak{A}_n \in \mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}} f\left(\mathfrak{A}_n\right), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$f\left(\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}, z\right) := \sum_{\mathfrak{A}_n \in \mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}} f\left(\mathfrak{A}_n, z\right) \qquad (z \in V).$$

The notation $\binom{n}{k}$ is the binomial coefficient which is defined for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $n \geq k$ by $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

Definition 2.2. Consider a mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$. Then, it

(i) satisfies *zero condition* if $f(x) = 0$ for any $x \in V^n$ with at least one component which is equal to zero.

(ii) is odd in the jth variable if

$$f(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, -z_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n) = -f(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n).$$

Here, we bring the following lemma, presented in [5].

Lemma 2.3. Let $n, k, p_l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that $k + \sum_{l=1}^m p_l \leq n$, where $l \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then

$$\binom{n-k}{n-k-\sum_{l=1}^m p_l} \binom{\sum_{l=1}^m p_l}{\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} p_l} \cdots \binom{p_1 + p_2}{p_1}$$

$$= \binom{n-k}{p_1} \binom{n-k-p_1}{p_2} \cdots \binom{n-k-\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} p_l}{p_m}.$$

Let $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Put $\mathbf{n} := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a subset $T = \{j_1, \ldots, j_i\}$ of $\mathbf{n}$ with $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_i \leq n$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V^n$,

$$_T x := (0, \ldots, 0, x_{j_1}, 0, \ldots, 0, x_{j_i}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in V^n$$

denotes the vector which coincides with x in exactly those components, which are indexed by the elements of T and whose other components are set equal zero. Note that $_0 x = 0$, $_\mathbf{n} x = x$. We use these notations in the proof of upcoming lemma.

We need the next lemma in reaching our goal in this section. The idea of proof is taken form [6, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that a mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ satisfies the equation

$$f(x_1 + 2x_2) = \sum_{p_1=0}^n \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$, where $f\left(\mathbb{A}^n_{(p_1, p_2, p_3)}\right)$ is defined in *(2.1)*. Then, it has zero condition.

Proof . Given an arbitrary and fixed $x \in V^n$. We argue by induction on k that for each $_k x$, $f(_k x) = 0$ when $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Putting $x_1 = x_2 = _0 x$ in (2.2), we have

$$f(_0 x)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^n \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} \binom{n}{n-p_1-p_2-p_3} \binom{p_1+p_2+p_3}{p_1+p_2} \binom{p_1+p_2}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} \right] f(_0 x). \tag{2.3}$$

Using Lemma 2.3 for $k = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{p_1=0}^n \binom{n}{n-p_1-p_2-p_3} \binom{p_1+p_2+p_3}{p_1+p_2} \binom{p_1+p_2}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3}$$

$$= \sum_{p_1=0}^n \binom{n}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \binom{n-p_1}{p_2} 6^{p_2} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} \binom{n-p_1-p_2}{p_3} 1^{n-p_1-p_2-p_3} \times 3^{p_3}$$

$$= \sum_{p_1=0}^n \binom{n}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \binom{n-p_1}{p_2} 6^{p_2} \times 4^{n-p_1-p_2}$$

$$= \sum_{p_1=0}^n \binom{n}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 10^{n-p_1} = 7^n.$$

$$\tag{2.4}$$

It follows from relations (2.3) and (2.4) that $f(_0 x) = 7^n f(_0 x)$, and so $f(_0 x) = 0$. Assume that for each $_{k-1} x$, $f(_{k-1} x) = 0$. We show that $f(_k x) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the first k variables are non-zero.

By our assumption, replacing (x_1, x_2) by $({}_k x_1, 0)$ in equation (2.2), we have

$$f({}_k x)$$
$$= \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-k-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-k-p_1-p_2} \binom{n-k}{n-k-p_1-p_2-p_3} \binom{p_1+p_2+p_3}{p_1+p_2} \binom{p_1+p_2}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} \right] f({}_k x).$$

Similar the above and by using Lemma 2.3, we can obtain $f({}_k x) = 7^{n-k} f({}_k x)$ and this implies that $f({}_k x) = 0$ and so the proof is now finished. $\square$

In the following result which is our aim in this section, we unify the general system of cubic functional equations defining a multi-cubic mapping to a equation and indeed this functional equation describes a multi-cubic mapping.

Proposition 2.5. A mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is multi-cubic if and only if it satisfies equation *(2.2)*.

Proof . First, suppose that f is a multi-cubic mapping. We obtain this implication by induction on n. For $n = 1$, it is trivial that f satisfies equation (1.2). If (2.2) is true for some positive integer $n > 1$, then,

$$f\left(x_1^{n+1} + 2x_2^{n+1}\right) = f\left(x_1^n + 2x_2^n, x_{1,n+1} + 2x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$= 3f\left(x_1^n + 2x_2^n, x_{1,n+1} + x_{2,n+1}\right) + f\left(x_1^n + 2x_2^n, x_{1,n+1} - x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$- 3f\left(x_1^n + 2x_2^n, x_{1,n+1}\right) + 6f\left(x_1^n + 2x_2^n, x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$= 3 \sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n, x_{1,n+1} + x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n, x_{1,n+1} - x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$- 3 \sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n, x_{1,n+1}\right)$$
$$+ 6 \sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n, x_{2,n+1}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{p_1=0}^{n+1} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n+1-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n+1-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^{n+1}\right).$$

This means that (2.2) holds for $n + 1$.

Conversely, let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be arbitrary and fixed. Set

$$f_j^*(z) := f\left(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n\right).$$

Putting $x_{2k} = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\backslash\{j\}$, $x_{2j} = w$ and $x_1 = (z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ in (2.2), using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$f_j^*(z + 2w) = \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p_3=1}^{n-p_1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3-1} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} \right] f_j^*(z + w)$$
$$+ \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} \right] f_j^*(z - w)$$
$$+ \left[\sum_{p_1=1}^{n} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1} \binom{n-1}{p_1-1} \binom{n-p_1}{p_3} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} \right] f_j^*(z)$$
$$+ 6 \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} \right] f_j^*(w)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} 3^{p_3+1} \times 1^{n-1-p_3} \right] f_j^*(z+w)$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} 3^{p_3} \times 1^{n-1-p_3} \right] f_j^*(z-w)$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1+1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} 3^{p_3} \times 1^{n-1-p_3} \right] f_j^*(z)$$

$$+ 6 \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-1-p_1} \binom{n-1-p_1}{p_3} 3^{p_3} \times 1^{n-1-p_3} \right] f_j^*(w)$$

$$= 3 \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 4^{n-1-p_1} \right] f_j^*(z+w)$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} 4^{n-1-p_1} \right] f_j^*(z-w)$$

$$- 3 \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1+1} 4^{n-1-p_1} \right] f_j^*(z)$$

$$+ 6 \left[\sum_{p_1=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{p_1} (-3)^{p_1} 3^{p_3} 4^{n-1-p_1} \right] f_j^*(w)$$

$$= 3(4-3)^{n-1} f_j^*(z+w) + (4-3)^{n-1} f_j^*(z-w) - 3(4-3)^{n-1} f_j^*(z) + 6(4-3)^{n-1} f_j^*(w)$$

$$= 3 f_j^*(z+w) + f_j^*(z-w) - 3 f_j^*(z) + 6 f_j^*(w).$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

3 Stability of multi-cubic mappings

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (2.2) in non-Archimedean normed spaces. The proof is based on a fixed point result that can be derived from [12, Theorem 1]. Before that, we bring some basic facts concerning non-Archimedean spaces and some preliminary results. Recall that a metric d on a nonempty set X is said to be *non-Archimedean* (or an *ultrametric*) provided $d(x,z) \leq \max\{d(x,y), d(y,z)\}$ for $x, y, z \in X$. By a non-Archimedean field we mean a field $\mathbb{K}$ equipped with a function (valuation) $|\cdot|$ from $\mathbb{K}$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that

(i) $|a| = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$;
(ii) $|ab| = |a||b|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$;
(iii) $|a+b| \leq \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$.

It is clear that $|1| = |-1| = 1$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A trivial valuation on any field $\mathbb{K}$ is defined by the following for $a \in \mathbb{K}$

$$|a| := \begin{cases} 0 & a = 0, \\ 1 & a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For a nontrivial non-Archimedean valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$, assume that p is prime number. It is known that any non-zero rational number r can be uniquely written as $r = \frac{m}{n} p^s$, where $m, n, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ in which m and n are integers not divisible by p. It easily verified that the function $|\cdot|_p : \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ given through

$$|r|_p := \begin{cases} 0 & a = 0, \\ p^{-s} & a \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

is a nontrivial non-Archimedean valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let V be a vector space over a scalar field $\mathbb{K}$ with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\| : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(ii) $\|ax\| = |a|\|x\|$, $(x \in V, a \in \mathbb{K})$;

(iii) the strong triangle inequality (ultrametric); namely,

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \qquad (x, y \in V).$$

Then, $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be a *non-Archimedean normed space*.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if and only if $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$ converges to zero in a non-Archimedean normed space $\mathcal{X}$. Indeed, the above definition is taken from the fact that

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} - x_j\|; m \leq j \leq n-1\} \quad (n \geq m).$$

A non-Archimedean normed space is complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent. If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a non-Archimedean normed space, then it is easy to check that the function $d_V : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, defined via $d_V(x, y) := \|x - y\|$, is a non-Archimedean metric on V that is invariant (i.e., $d_V(x+z, y+z) = d_V(x, y)$ for $x, y, z \in X$). In other words, every non-Archimedean normed space is a special case of a metric space with invariant metrics.

The most interesting example of non-Archimedean normed spaces is p-adic numbers which have gained the interest of physicists because of their connections with some problems coming from quantum physics, p-adic strings and superstrings [23]. A key property of p-adic numbers is that they do not satisfy the Archimedean axiom: for all $x, y > 0$, there exists an integer n such that $x < ny$; for more details we refer to [20].

We recall that for a field $\mathbb{K}$ with multiplicative identity 1, the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is the smallest positive number n such that $\overbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}^{n-times} = 0$.

Throughout, for two sets A and B, the set of all mappings from A to B is denoted by B^A. Here, we indicate the next theorem which is a fundamental result in fixed point theory [12, Theorem 1]. This result plays a key tool in obtaining our purpose in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let the following hypotheses hold.

(H1) E is a nonempty set, Y is a complete non-Archimedean normed space over a non-Archimedean field of the characteristic different from 2, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_1, \ldots, g_j : E \longrightarrow E$ and $L_1, \ldots, L_j : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$,

(H2) $\mathcal{T} : Y^E \longrightarrow Y^E$ is an operator satisfying the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{T}\lambda(x) - \mathcal{T}\mu(x)\| \leq \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}} L_i(x) \|\lambda(g_i(x)) - \mu(g_i(x))\|,$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in Y^E, x \in E$,

(H3) $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^E$ is an operator defined through

$$\Lambda\delta(x) := \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}} L_i(x)\delta(g_i(x)) \qquad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^E, x \in E.$$

Suppose that the function $\theta : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and the mapping $\varphi : E \longrightarrow Y$ fulfill the following two conditions:

$$\|\mathcal{T}\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)\| \leq \theta(x), \quad \lim_{l \to \infty} \Lambda^l \theta(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in E).$$

Then, for every $x \in E$, the limit $\lim_{l \to \infty} \mathcal{T}^l \varphi(x) =: \psi(x)$ exists and the mapping $\psi \in Y^E$, defined in this way, is a fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$ with

$$\|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Lambda^l \theta(x) \qquad (x \in E).$$

From now on, for the mapping $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$, we consider the difference operator $\mathbf{D}f : V^n \times V^n \longrightarrow W$ by

$$\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2) := f(x_1 + 2x_2) - \sum_{p_1=0}^{n} \sum_{p_2=0}^{n-p_1} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_1-p_2} (-3)^{p_1} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n\right)$$

where $f\left(\mathbb{A}_{(p_1,p_2,p_3)}^n\right)$ is defined in (2.1).

In the sequel, all mappings $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ are assumed that satisfy zero condition. With this assumption, we have the next stability result for functional equation (2.2).

Theorem 3.2. Let $\beta \in \{-1, 1\}$ be fixed. Let also V be a linear space and W be a complete non-Archimedean normed space over a non-Archimedean field of the characteristic different from 2. Suppose that $\varphi : V^n \times V^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a mapping satisfying the equality

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}} \right)^l \varphi(2^{l\beta} x_1, 2^{l\beta} x_2) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. Assume also $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is an odd mapping in each variable and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)\| \le \varphi(x_1, x_2), \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. Then, there exists a unique multi-cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : V^n \longrightarrow W$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\| \le sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{|8|^{n\frac{\beta+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}} \right)^l \varphi \left(0, 2^{l\beta + \frac{\beta-1}{2}} x \right), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in V^n$.

Proof . Putting $x = 0$ and $x_2 = x_1 = x$ in (3.2), we have

$$\left\| f(2x) - \left[\sum_{p_2=0}^{n} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_2} \binom{n}{p_2} \binom{n-p_2}{p_3} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} (-1)^{n-p_2-p_3} \right] f(x) \right\| \le \varphi(0, x) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x \in V^n$ (here and the rest of proof). A calculation shows that

$$\sum_{p_2=0}^{n} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_2} \binom{n}{p_2} \binom{n-p_2}{p_3} 6^{p_2} 3^{p_3} (-1)^{n-p_2-p_3}$$

$$= \sum_{p_2=0}^{n} \binom{n}{p_2} 6^{p_2} \sum_{p_3=0}^{n-p_2} \binom{n-p_2}{p_3} 3^{p_3} (-1)^{n-p_2-p_3}$$

$$= \sum_{p_2=0}^{n} \binom{n}{p_2} 6^{p_2} (3-1)^{n-p_2} = (6+2)^n = 8^n. \tag{3.5}$$

Relations (3.4) and (3.5) imply that

$$\|f(2x) - 8^n f(x)\| \le \varphi(0, x). \tag{3.6}$$

Set

$$\theta(x) := \frac{1}{|8|^{n\frac{\beta+1}{2}}} \varphi \left(0, 2^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} x \right), \qquad \mathcal{T}\xi(x) := \frac{1}{8^{n\beta}} \xi(2^\beta x),$$

for all $\xi \in W^{V^n}$. Here, we rewrite (3.6) as follows:

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{T}f(x)\| \le \theta(x). \tag{3.7}$$

For each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+^{V^n}$, we define $\Lambda\eta(x) := \frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}} \eta(r^\beta x)$. It is easy to check that Λ has the form described in (H3) with $E = V^n$, $g_1(x) := 2^\beta x$ and $L_1(x) = \frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}}$. Furthermore, for each $\lambda, \mu \in W^{V^n}$, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{T}\lambda(x) - \mathcal{T}\mu(x)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{8^{n\beta}} \lambda(2^\beta x) - \frac{1}{8^{n\beta}} \mu(2^\beta x) \right\| \le L_1(x) \|\lambda(g_1(x)) - \mu(g_1(x))\|.$$

It follows the above relation that the hypothesis (H2) hold. One can argue by induction on $l \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\Lambda^l \theta(x) := \left(\frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}} \right)^l \theta(2^{l\beta} x) = \frac{1}{|8|^{n\frac{\beta+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}} \right)^l \varphi \left(0, 2^{l\beta + \frac{\beta-1}{2}} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Now, (3.7) and (3.8) necessitate that all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and so there exists a unique mapping $\mathcal{C} : V^n \longrightarrow W$ such that $\mathcal{C}(x) = \lim_{l \to \infty}(\mathcal{T}^l f)(x)$ and (3.3) is valid as well. In addition, by induction on l, we can prove that

$$\|\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{T}^l f)(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{|8|^{n\beta}}\right)^l \varphi(2^{l\beta} x_1, 2^{l\beta} x_2) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. Letting $l \to \infty$ in (3.9) and applying (3.1), we achieve $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{C}(x_1, x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. This means that the mapping satisfies equation (2.2) and the proof is now completed. $\square$

In what follows, it is assumed that the non-Archimedean field has the characteristic different from 2 and $|2| < 1$. In the next corollaries which are some direct applications of Theorem 3.2, V is a non-Archimedean normed space and W is a complete non-Archimedean normed space.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\delta > 0$. Suppose that $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is an odd mapping in each variable and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. Then, there exists a unique multi-cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : V^n \longrightarrow W$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\| \leq \delta,$$

for all $x \in V^n$.

Proof . We firstly note that $|8| < 1$. Given $\varphi(x_1, x_2) = \delta$ in the case $\beta = -1$ of Theorem 3.2, we get $\lim_{l \to \infty} |8|^{nl}\delta = 0$. Therefore, one can obtain the desired result. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ fulfills $\alpha \neq 3n$. Suppose that $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is an odd mapping in each variable and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|x_{kj}\|^{\alpha},$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$. Then, there exists a unique multi-cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : V^n \longrightarrow W$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|8|^n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|x_{1j}\|^{\alpha}, & \alpha > 3n, \\[2mm] \frac{1}{|2|^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|x_{1j}\|^{\alpha}, & \alpha < 3n, \end{cases}$$

for all $x = x_1 \in V^n$.

Proof . Letting $\varphi(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|x_{kj}\|^{\alpha}$, we have $\varphi(2^l x_1, 2^l x_2) = |8|^{lp}\varphi(x_1, x_2)$. It now follows from Theorem 3.2 the first and second inequalities in the cases $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = -1$, respectively. $\square$

Recall that a functional equation $\mathcal{F}$ is *hyperstable* if any mapping f satisfying the equation $\mathcal{F}$ approximately is a true solution of $\mathcal{F}$. Under some conditions the functional equation (2.2) can be hyperstable as follows.

Corollary 3.5. Suppose that $\alpha_{kj} > 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ fulfill $\sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{kj} \neq 3n$. If $f : V^n \longrightarrow W$ is an odd mapping in each variable and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{D}f(x_1, x_2)\| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \|x_{kj}\|^{\alpha_{kj}},$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in V^n$, then f is multi-cubic.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] A. Bahyrycz, K. Ciepliński and J. Olko, *On an equation characterizing multi-additive-quadratic mappings and its Hyers-Ulam stability*, Appl. Math. Comput. **265** (2015), 448–455.

[2] A. Bahyrycz, K. Ciepliński and J. Olko, *On Hyers-Ulam stability of two functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2016** (2016), 18, 433–444.

[3] A. Bodaghi, *Functional inequalities for generalized multi-quadratic mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2021** (2021), Paper No. 145.

[4] A. Bodaghi, *Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of the generalized forms of cubic and quartic functional equations*, J. Intel. Fuzzy Syst. **30** (2016), 2309–2317.

[5] A. Bodaghi, I. A. Alias, L. Mousavi and S. Hosseini, *Characterization and stability of multimixed additive-quartic mappings: A fixed point application*, J. Funct. Spaces. **2021** (2021), Art. ID 9943199, 11 pp.

[6] A. Bodaghi and A. Fošner, *Characterization, stability and hyperstability of multi-quadratic-cubic mappings*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2021** (2021), Paper No. 49.

[7] A. Bodaghi, S. M. Moosavi and H. Rahimi, *The generalized cubic functional equation and the stability of cubic Jordan ∗-derivations*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara. **59** (2013), 235–250.

[8] A. Bodaghi, H. Moshtagh and H. Dutta, *Characterization and stability analysis of advanced multi-quadratic functional equations*, Adv. Diff. Equ. **2021** (2021), Paper No. 380.

[9] A. Bodaghi, C. Park and S. Yun, *Almost multi-quadratic mappings in non-Archimedean spaces*, AIMS Math. **5** (2020), no. 5, 5230–5239.

[10] A. Bodaghi, S. Salimi and G. Abbasi, *Characterization and stability of multi-quadratic functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces*, Ann. Uni. Craiova-Math. Comp. Sci. Ser. **48** (2021), no. 1, 88–97.

[11] A. Bodaghi and B. Shojaee, *On an equation characterizing multi-cubic mappings and its stability and hyperstability*, Fixed Point Theory **22** (2021), no. 1, 83–92.

[12] J. Brzdęk and K. Ciepliński, *A fixed point approach to the stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 6861–6867.

[13] K. Ciepliński, *Generalized stability of multi-additive mappings*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1291–1294.

[14] K. Ciepliński, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of multi-quadratic mappings*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), 3418–3426.

[15] K. Ciepliński, *On Ulam stability of a functional equation*, Results Math. **75** (2020), Paper No. 151.

[16] K. Ciepliński, *Ulam stability of functional equations in 2-Banach spaces via the fixed point method*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **23** (2021), Paper No. 33.

[17] M. Dashti and H. Khodaei, *Stability of generalized multi-quadratic mappings in Lipschitz spaces*, Results Math. **74** (2019), Paper No. 163.

[18] N. Ebrahimi Hoseinzadeh, A. Bodaghi and M.R. Mardanbeigi, *Almost multi-cubic mappings and a fixed point application*, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal. **17** (2020), no. 3, 131–143.

[19] M.B. Ghaemi, M. Majani and M. Eshaghi Gordji, *General system of cubic functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces*, Tamsui Oxford J. Inf. Math. Sci. **28** (2012), no. 4 ,407–423.

[20] K. Hensel, *Uber eine neue Begrndung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **6** (1897), 83–88.

[21] K.W. Jun and H.M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Russias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), no. 2, 267–278.

[22] K.W. Jun and H.M. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a general cubic functional equation*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **6** (2003), no. 2, 289–302.

[23] A. Khrennikov, *Non-Archimedean Analysis: Quantum Paradoxes, Dynamical Systems and Biological Models*,

Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 427, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.

[24] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2009.

[25] C. Park and A. Bodaghi, *Two multi-cubic functional equations and some results on the stability in modular spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2020** (2020), Paper No. 6.

[26] C.-G. Park, *Multi-quadratic mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2002), 2501–2504.

[27] J.M. Rassias, *Solution of the Ulam stability problem for cubic mappings,* Glas. Mat. Ser. III. 36 (2001), no. 1, 63–72.

[28] S. Salimi and A. Bodaghi, *A fixed point application for the stability and hyperstability of multi-Jensen-quadratic mappings*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **22** (2020), Paper No. 9.

[29] S. Salimi and A. Bodaghi, *Hyperstability of multi-mixed additive-quadratic Jensen type mappings*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A. **82** (2020), no. 2, 55–66.

[30] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.

[31] T.Z. Xu, *Stability of multi-Jensen mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, J. Math, Phys. **53** (2012), Art ID. 023507.

[32] T.Z. Xu, C. Wang and Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of multi-additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces.* J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **18** (2015), 1102–1110.

[33] X. Zhao, X. Yang and C.-T. Pang, *Solution and stability of the multiquadratic functional equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013** (2013), Art. ID 415053, 8 pp.