

# New results for the best proximity pair in cone Riesz space

Ali Asghar Sarvari<sup>a</sup>, Hamid Mazaheri Tehrani<sup>b,\*</sup>, Hamid Reza Khademzadeh<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Computer Geometry and Dynamical Systems Laboratory, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Technical and Vocational University (TVU), Tehran, Iran

(Communicated by Saman Babaie-Kafaki)

---

## Abstract

In this paper, the best proximity pair problem is considered with a cone metric. The conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the best proximity pair problem is discussed by using interesting relationships in Riesz spaces. This problem is studied for  $T$ -absolutely direct sets. Also, given the conditions considered for this problem, it is shown for the cone cyclic contraction maps, the best proximity pair problem is uniquely solvable.

Keywords: The best proximity pair, Cone metric Riesz space, Order complete, Order convergence  
2020 MSC: 41A65; 41A42

---

## 1 Introduction

The problem of the best proximity pair is one of the significant issues that has called a lot of attention in recent years. In all relevant papers, the research done on metric space  $(E, d)$  has made use of metric function  $d : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . As examples, Eldred and Veeramani [5] discussed the best proximity pair problem for cyclic contraction maps on uniformly convex Banach spaces. This problem was examined for relatively nonexpansive maps [13] and pointwise contraction maps in [2]. The best approximation problem in Banach lattices is connected to monotonicity in [4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]. Afterwards, we will review some basic definitions in Riesz space  $E$ . If  $E$  is a partially ordered vector space, then  $E$  is called a Riesz space (or a vector lattice space) if  $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$ , and  $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$ , both exist in  $E$ , for any  $x, y \in E$ . For any vector  $x$  in Riesz space  $E$ , define  $x^+ = x \vee 0$ ,  $x^- = (-x) \vee 0$  and  $|x| = x \vee (-x)$ . The set  $E^+ = \{x \in E : x \geq 0\}$  is called the positive cone of  $E$ . Riesz space  $E$  is called Dedekind complete whenever every nonempty bounded above subset has a supremum (or equivalently, whenever every nonempty bounded below subset has an infimum). Also  $E$  is said Archimedean if  $x = 0$  holds whenever,  $0 \leq nx \leq y \in E^+$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . More details about Riesz spaces could be found in [1, 3, 12, 14].

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** The mapping  $d : E \times E \rightarrow E^+$  is said to be a cone metric on  $E$  if it satisfies:

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: Sarvari\_math@yahoo.com (Ali Asghar Sarvari), hmazaheri@yazduni.ac.ir (Hamid Mazaheri Tehrani), hrkhademzadeh@gmail.com (Hamid Reza Khademzadeh)

- (a)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ .
- (b)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in E$ .
- (c)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in E$ .

In this way, we recognize  $(E, d)$  as a cone metric Riesz space. We define  $d(x, y) = |x - y| \in E^+$  for any  $x, y \in E$ , so  $(E, |\cdot|)$  is a cone metric Riesz space. Recall that  $f_n \downarrow f$  it means the sequence  $\{f_n\} \subseteq E$  is decreasing and  $f = \inf f_n$  in  $E$ .

In the continuation of the article, it is supposed that  $A$  and  $B$  are two nonempty subsets of Riesz space  $E$ , and  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is an arbitrary map, and  $Dist(A, B) = \bigwedge |A - B| = \inf \{|x - y| : x \in A, y \in B\}$  exists in the set  $|A - B|$ . It means, there exist  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $Dist(A, B) = |a - b|$ . For instance, if  $E$  is Dedekind complete and  $|A - B|$  is order closed, then there exist  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $Dist(A, B) = |A - B|$  ( $|A - B|$  is a bounded below subset of  $E$ ). Let  $x \in A$ . If  $|x - TX| = Dist(A, B)$ , we say  $(x, TX)$  is a cone best proximity point for  $T$ . We show the set of all such points by  $P_T^c(A, B)$ , i.e.,  $P_T^c(A, B) = \{x \in A : |x - Tx| = Dist(A, B)\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be a cone metric Riesz space and  $u, u_n \in E$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

- (a) The sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is order convergence to  $u$  if there exists a sequence  $f_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $|u - u_n| \leq f_n$  holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (In symbols  $u_n \xrightarrow{o} u$ ). Also the subset  $A \subseteq E$  is order closed whenever for the all sequences  $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{o} x$ , imply  $x \in A$ .
- (b) The sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is order Cauchy if there exists a sequence  $f_n \downarrow 0$  which  $|u_n - u_m| \leq f_n$  for all  $n \geq m \geq 1$ . Clearly, order convergence sequences are order Cauchy.
- (c) The cone metric Riesz space  $(E, |\cdot|)$  is order complete if any order Cauchy sequence is order convergence.
- (d) The mapping  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cone cyclic contraction map if  $T$  is cyclic ( $T(A) \subseteq B$  and  $T(B) \subseteq A$ ) and also  $|Tx - Ty| \leq k|x - y| + (1 - k)Dist(A, B)$  for some  $k \in (0, 1)$  and any  $(x, y) \in A \times B$ .

It should be mentioned that the order convergence in a Riesz space  $E$  does not necessarily correspond to a topology on  $E$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $C(K)$  be the set of all real continuous functions on  $K$  by ordering  $f_1 \leq f_2$  if  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)$  for any  $x \in K$ . We know  $f_1 \vee f_2 = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_2) + \frac{1}{2}|f_1 - f_2| \in C(K)$  and  $f_1 \wedge f_2 = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_2) - \frac{1}{2}|f_1 - f_2| \in C(K)$  for any  $f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$ . Therefore  $C(K)$  is a Riesz space. Also, if  $K$  is a Hausdorff topological space, compact and extremally disconnected (i.e., the closure of any open set is open) then  $C(K)$  is order complete and Dedekind complete [8].

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be a cone metric Riesz space.

- (a) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$  is said to be a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$  whenever  $|x_n - Tx_n| \xrightarrow{o} Dist(A, B)$ .
- (b) The subset  $A \subseteq E$  is a  $T$ -absolutely direct set if for any  $x, y \in A$ , there exists  $z \in A$  such that

$$|z - Tx| \leq |x - Tx| \wedge |y - Tx| \quad \text{and} \quad |z - Ty| \leq |x - Ty| \wedge |y - Ty|$$

**Example 2.5.** Suppose  $A \subseteq E$  is a sublattice, it means  $x \vee y$  and  $x \wedge y$  both exist in  $A$  for any  $x, y \in A$ , and also  $A \geq B$  (or  $B \geq A$ ). Then  $A$  is a  $T$ -absolutely direct set. The notation  $A \geq B$  means that  $a \geq b$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

Cone best proximity pair problem is  $T$ -solvable ( $T$ -uniquely solvable) if  $P_T^c(A, B) \neq \emptyset$  ( $card P_T^c(A, B) = 1$ ).

### 3 Main results

In this part, we aim to provide conditions to investigate the existence and uniqueness of cone best proximity pair problem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be a cone metric Riesz space and  $A \subseteq E$  be a convex  $T$ -absolutely direct set. Then  $card P_T^c(A, B) \leq 1$

**Proof .** Suppose there exist  $x, y \in A$  such that  $|x - Tx| = |y - Ty| = \text{Dist}(A, B)$ . Given  $A$  is a  $T$ -absolutely direct set, there exists  $z \in A$  such that

$$|z - Tx| \leq |x - Tx| \wedge |y - Tx| \quad \text{and} \quad |z - Ty| \leq |x - Ty| \wedge |y - Ty|.$$

Thus  $|z - Tx| = |x - Tx|$  and  $|z - Ty| = |y - Ty|$ . Since  $A$  is convex, we have  $\frac{x+z}{2} \in A$ . Therefore,

$$|x - Tx| \leq \left| \frac{x+z}{2} - Tx \right| \leq \frac{|x - Tx| + |z - Tx|}{2} = |x - Tx|.$$

Notice that every Riesz space  $E$  has the following property

$$|f + g| + |f - g| = 2(|f| \vee |g|), \quad (\forall f, g \in E) \tag{3.1}$$

which leads to

$$|x - z| = 2(|x - Tx| \vee |z - Tx|) - |x + z - 2Tx| = 0,$$

that is  $x = z$ . In the same way, we obtain  $y = z$ , and as a result,  $\text{card } P_T^c(A, B) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Suppose  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  with coordinatewise ordering (i.e.,  $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \leq x_2$  and  $y_1 \leq y_2$ ). Put  $A = \{(1, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $B = \{(2, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $T : A \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$T(1, x) = \begin{cases} (2, 1), & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ (2, \sqrt{2}), & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We can see that  $\text{Dist}(A, B) = (1, 0)$  and  $A$  is a convex set, but  $A$  is not a  $T$ -absolutely direct set. It is easy to see that  $P_T^c(A, B) = \{(1, 1), (1, \sqrt{2})\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be order complete,  $A \subseteq E$  be an order closed and a convex  $T$ -absolutely direct set. Then any cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$ , is order convergence.

**Proof .** Suppose the sequence  $\{y_n\} \subseteq A$  is a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$ . Then  $|y_n - Ty_n| \xrightarrow{o} \text{Dist}(A, B)$ , so there exists a sequence  $f_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $0 \leq |y_n - Ty_n| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq f_n$ . We prove the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is order Cauchy, it means there exists a sequence  $g_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $|y_{n+k} - y_n| \leq g_n$  ( $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Since  $A$  is a  $T$ -absolutely direct set, there exists  $x_n \in A$  which

$$|x_n - Ty_n| \leq |y_n - Ty_n| \wedge |y_{n+k} - Ty_n|$$

and

$$|x_n - Ty_{n+k}| \leq |y_n - Ty_{n+k}| \wedge |y_{n+k} - Ty_{n+k}|.$$

$A$  is convex so  $\frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \in A$ , Therefore

$$\text{Dist}(A, B) \leq \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} - Ty_n \right| \leq \frac{|x_n - Ty_n| + |y_n - Ty_n|}{2} \leq |y_n - Ty_n| \xrightarrow{o} \text{Dist}(A, B).$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} - Ty_n \right| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq |y_n - Ty_n| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq f_n \downarrow 0,$$

so

$$\left| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} - Ty_n \right| \xrightarrow{o} \text{Dist}(A, B). \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$|y_n - x_n| = 2(|x_n - Ty_n| \vee |y_n - Ty_n|) - |x_n + y_n - 2Ty_n| = 2|y_n - Ty_n| - |x_n + y_n - 2Ty_n|.$$

Thus  $|y_n - x_n| \xrightarrow{o} 0$ . (It is easy to see that if  $a_n \xrightarrow{o} a$  and  $b_n \xrightarrow{o} b$  then  $\alpha a_n + \beta b_n \xrightarrow{o} \alpha a + \beta b$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Similarly, it can be concluded that  $|y_{n+k} - x_n| \xrightarrow{o} 0$ . Therefore there exist the sequences  $p_n \downarrow 0$  and  $q_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $|y_n - x_n| \leq p_n$  and  $|y_{n+k} - x_n| \leq q_n$ , and as a result  $|y_{n+k} - y_n| \leq p_n + q_n \downarrow 0$ .  $\square$  It is necessary to mention that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a  $\sigma$ -order continuous map if  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{o} f(x)$  for all sequences  $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{o} x$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be order complete and  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $\sigma$ -order continuous map. Let  $A$  be order closed, and a convex  $T$ -absolutely direct set. If  $A$  has a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence then cone best proximity pair problem is  $T$ -uniquely solvable.

**Proof .** Suppose that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$  is a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence, by theorem 3.3,  $x_n \xrightarrow{o} x$  for some  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{o} Tx$ , so  $|x_n - Tx_n| \xrightarrow{o} |x - Tx|$ . Since order limits are uniquely determined, so  $|x - Tx| = \text{Dist}(A, B)$ . Also by theorem 3.1,  $\text{card } P_T^c(A, B) \leq 1$ . Thus  $\text{card } P_T^c(A, B) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be an Archimedean cone metric Riesz space and  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  be a cone cyclic contraction map. If  $x_0 \in A$  and  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = T^{n+1}x_0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), then the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\} \subseteq A$  is a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$ .

**Proof .** We can see that  $|x_{n+1} - x_n| = |Tx_n - Tx_{n-1}| \leq k|x_n - x_{n-1}| + (1 - k)\text{Dist}(A, B) \leq k^2|x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}| + (1 - k^2)\text{Dist}(A, B)$ . By induction, we obtain

$$|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq k^n|x_1 - x_0| + (1 - k^n)\text{Dist}(A, B).$$

Since  $E$  has Archimedean property, we have  $|x_{n+1} - x_n| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq k^n u \downarrow 0$  which  $u = |x_1 - x_0| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \in E^+$ . Thus  $|Tx_n - x_n| \xrightarrow{o} \text{Dist}(A, B)$ . As a result,  $\{x_{2n}\} \subseteq A$  is a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(E, |\cdot|)$  be Archimedean and order complete. Let  $A \subseteq E$  be an order closed sublattice and  $A \geq B$  (or  $B \geq A$ ). If  $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$  is a cone cyclic contraction map then cone best proximity pair problem is uniquely solvable.

**Proof .** Assume  $A \geq B$  (the proof is similar to the other), and  $x - Tx = y - Ty = \text{Dist}(A, B)$  for some  $x, y \in A$ . Since  $A$  is a sublattice,  $x \wedge y \in A$ , so  $0 \leq x - Tx \leq x \wedge y - Tx$  and  $0 \leq y - Ty \leq x \wedge y - Ty$ . Thus  $x \leq x \wedge y$  and  $y \leq x \wedge y$ , it means  $x = y$  and  $\text{card } P_T^c(A, B) \leq 1$ .

Suppose  $x_0 \in A$  and define  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . By theorem 3.5, the sequence  $\{x_{2n}\} \subseteq A$  is a cone  $T$ -minimizing sequence in  $A$ . So based on theorem 3.3, there exists  $x \in A$  that  $x_{2n} \xrightarrow{o} x$ . In other words, there exist the sequences  $f_n \downarrow 0$  and  $g_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $|x_{2n} - x| \leq f_n$  and  $|x_{2n} - Tx_{2n}| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq g_n$ . Now,  $0 \leq |x - Tx_{2n}| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq |x - x_{2n}| + |x_{2n} - Tx_{2n}| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq f_n + g_n \downarrow 0$ . Also  $0 \leq |x_{2n+2} - Tx| - \text{Dist}(A, B) = |Tx_{2n+1} - Tx| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq |x_{2n+1} - x| - \text{Dist}(A, B) = |Tx_{2n} - x| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq f_n + g_n \downarrow 0$ .

Finally,  $0 \leq |x - Tx| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq |x - x_{2n}| + |x_{2n} - Tx| - \text{Dist}(A, B) \leq 2f_n + g_n \downarrow 0$ . Therefore  $|x - Tx| = \text{Dist}(A, B)$ , that complete the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [2] J. Anuradha and P. Veeramani, *Proximal pointwise contraction*, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), no. 18, 2942–2948.
- [3] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [4] Shu Tao Chen, Xin He, and H. Hudzik, *Monotonicity and best approximation in Banach lattices*, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **25** (2009), no. 5, 785–794.
- [5] A. A. Eldred and P. Veeramani, *Existence and convergence of best proximity points*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323** (2006), no. 2, 1001–1006.
- [6] P. Foralewski, H. Hudzik, W. Kowalewski, and M. Wisła, *Monotonicity properties of Banach lattices and their applications: A survey*, *Ordered structures and applications*, Trends Math., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 203–232.
- [7] H. Hudzik and W. Kurc, *Monotonicity properties of Musielak-Orlicz spaces and dominated best approximation in Banach lattices*, *J. Approx. Theory* **95** (1998), no. 3, 353–368.
- [8] A. F. Kalton and J. Nigel, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [9] H. R. Khademzadeh and H. Mazaheri, *Monotonicity and the dominated farthest points problem in Banach lattice*, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2014), Art. ID 616989, 7.

- 
- [10] N. S. Kukushkin, *Increasing selections from increasing multifunctions*, Order **30** (2013), no. 2, 541–555.
  - [11] W. Kurc, *Strictly and uniformly monotone Musielak-Orlicz spaces and applications to best approximation*, J. Approx. Theory **69** (1992), no. 2, 173–187.
  - [12] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach lattices.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
  - [13] V. Sankar Raj and P. Veeramani, *Best proximity pair theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **10** (2009), no. 1, 21–28.
  - [14] A. C. Zaanen, *Introduction to operator theory in Riesz spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.