

# Existence results of some $p(u)$ -Laplacian systems

Said Ait Temghart\*, Chakir Allalou, Khalid Hilal

LMACS, FST of Beni Mellal, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco

(Communicated by Haydar Akca)

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we consider the existence of weak solutions for some  $p(u)$ -Laplacian problems with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Here the exponent of nonlinearity  $p$  depends on the solution  $u$  itself. Existence results for the associated boundary-value local problem are given by using a singular perturbation technique combined with the theory of Sobolev spaces with exponent variables.

Keywords:  $p(u)$ -Laplacian, elliptic problems, Sobolev spaces  
2020 MSC: 35J60, 35J05, 35D30

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , The purpose of this work is to investigate the existence of weak solutions to the boundary value problems given by

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(u)-2}\nabla u) = f + g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(u)-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $f$  is a given data,  $p$  is the nonlinear exponent function

$$p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1, +\infty) \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{1.2}$$

is a bounded and continuous function that belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and satisfying the following sign condition

$$-g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1} \cdot u \geq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In recent years, the study of this kind of problems arouses much interest with the development of elastic mechanics, electro-rheological fluids, image restoration, etc. We refer the readers to [13, 4, 5, 18, 1, 12, 10, 14, 15, 11, 16, 17, 19]. Existence results for different elliptic systems originating from the thermistor problem and from the modelling of thermorheological fluids, already obtained by Zhikov in [20, 21, 22, 23] and by Antontsev and Rodrigues in [2]. The

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [saidotmghart@gmail.com](mailto:saidotmghart@gmail.com) (Said Ait Temghart), [chakir.allalou@yahoo.fr](mailto:chakir.allalou@yahoo.fr) (Chakir Allalou), [khalidhilal2003@gmail.com](mailto:khalidhilal2003@gmail.com) (Khalid Hilal)

majority of proofs in these works are based on the Schauder fixed-point theorem. Many diffusion and reaction-diffusion equations with distinct nonlocal terms have been studied by different authors like the pioneer works by Chipot et al. [6, 7, 8, 9]. In [6], Chipot et al. considered the  $p(u)$ -Laplacian problem of the following prototype

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(u)-2}\nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Usually the motivation to study non-local problems is the fact that in reality physical measurements of certain quantities are not made in a punctual way but through a local averages. As pointed out in [3], the main difficulty in the analysis of these  $p(u)$ -problems relies in the fact that their weak formulation cannot be written as equalities in terms of duality in fixed Banach spaces. Indeed, Their sequences of solutions correspond to different exponents and therefore belong to possible distinct Sobolev spaces.

### 2 Preliminaries

The exponent function  $p$  depend on the solution  $u$  and therefore it depend on the space variable  $x$ , hence we can write the power  $p$  as a variable exponent  $q(x)$  in the following sense,

$$q(x) = p(u(x)) .$$

This allows us to look for the weak solutions to the problem (1) in a Sobolev space with variable exponents. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$  the set of all Lebesgue-measurable functions  $q : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  and we define

$$q_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} q(x) , \quad q_+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} q(x). \tag{2.1}$$

For any  $q \in \mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$  , we introduce the variable exponent Lebesgue space by:

$$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q(x)} dx < \infty \}. \tag{2.2}$$

Equipped with the Luxembourg norm

$$\|u\|_{q(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_{q(\cdot)} \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  becomes a Banach space. If

$$1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is separable and, in particular,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  are dense in  $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, If we restrict (2.4) to

$$1 < q_- \leq q_+ < \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

then  $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is reflexive. The dual space of  $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is  $L^{q'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  , where  $q'(x)$  is the generalised Hölder conjugate of  $q(x)$  ,

$$\frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{q'(x)} = 1.$$

From (2.1) and (2.5), we can see that

$$1 < (q_+)' \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} q'(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} q'(x) \leq (q_-)' < \infty.$$

The next proposition shows that there is a gap between the modular and the norm in  $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If (2.5) holds, for  $u \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ , then the following assertions hold

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_-}, \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_+} \right\} \leq \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u) \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_-}, \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_+} \right\},$$

$$\min \left\{ \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{q_-}}, \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{q_+}} \right\} \leq \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq \max \left\{ \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{q_-}}, \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u)^{\frac{1}{q_+}} \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_-} - 1 \leq \rho_{q(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{q(\cdot)}^{q_+} + 1. \tag{2.7}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** (Generalised Young’s inequality)

For some positive constant  $C(\delta)$  and any  $\delta > 0$  we have:

$$|uv| \leq \delta \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + C(\delta) \frac{|v|^{q'(x)}}{q(x)}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** (Generalised Hölder inequality)

i) For any functions  $u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{q'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} uv dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{q_-} + \frac{1}{q'_-} \right) \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \|v\|_{q'(\cdot)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \|v\|_{q'(\cdot)}. \tag{2.8}$$

ii) For all  $q$  satisfying to (2.4), we have the following continuous embedding,

$$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ whenever } q(x) \geq r(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{2.9}$$

We define also the generalised Sobolev space by

$$W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \{u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) : \nabla u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)\},$$

which is a Banach space for the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,q(\cdot)} := \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} + \|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)}. \tag{2.10}$$

The space  $W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is separable if (2.4) holds, and is reflexive when (2.5) is satisfied. We have as in (2.9)

$$W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,r(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ whenever } q(x) \geq r(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, we introduce the following function space

$$W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \{u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : \nabla u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)\},$$

which we endow with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_1 + \|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)}. \tag{2.12}$$

If  $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ , then the norm in  $W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is equivalent to  $\|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)}$ .

If  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with  $\partial\Omega$  Lipschitz-continuous and  $q$  is log-Hölder continuous, then  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Recall that a function  $q$  is log-Hölder continuous, if

$$\exists C > 0 : |q(x) - q(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, \quad |x - y| < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.13}$$

If  $q$  is a measurable function in  $\Omega$  satisfying to  $1 \leq q_- \leq q_+ < d$  and the Log-Hölder continuity property (2.13), then

$$\|u\|_{q^*(\cdot)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega),$$

for some positive constant  $C$  depending on  $q_+$ ,  $d$  and on the constant of (2.13), where

$$q^*(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{dq(x)}{d-q(x)} & \text{if } q(x) < d \\ \infty & \text{if } q(x) \geq d. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, if  $q$  satisfies (2.13) and  $q_- > d$ , then

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{q(\cdot)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega),$$

and where  $C$  is another positive constant depending on  $q_-$ ,  $d$  and on the constant of (2.13).

**Lemma 2.4.** [6] Assume that

$$1 < \alpha \leq q_n(x) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ for some constants } \alpha \text{ and } \beta, \tag{2.14}$$

$$q_n \rightarrow q \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_{q_n(x)} \leq C, \text{ for some positive constant } C \text{ not depending on } n. \tag{2.17}$$

Then  $Du \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)^d$  and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{q_n(x)} dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx. \tag{2.18}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** [7, 13] For all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the following assertions hold true:

$$2 \leq p < \infty \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} |\xi - \eta|^p \leq (|\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\eta|^{p-2} \eta) \cdot (\xi - \eta); \tag{2.19}$$

$$1 < p < 2 \Rightarrow (p - 1) |\xi - \eta|^2 \leq (|\xi|^{p-2} \xi - |\eta|^{p-2} \eta) \cdot (\xi - \eta) (|\xi|^p + |\eta|^p)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \tag{2.20}$$

### 3 The principal result

In this section, we prove the existence of weak solutions for the local problem (1), so we define the set where we are going to look for these solutions as

$$W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega) := \{u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(u)} dx < \infty\}.$$

If  $1 < p(u) < \infty$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , this set is a Banach space for the norm  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$  defined at (2.12) which is equivalent to  $\|\nabla u\|_{p(u)}$  in the case of  $p(u) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ . If for some constant  $\alpha, 1 < \alpha \leq p, p$  continuous, then, in view of (2.11),  $W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$  is a closed subspace of  $W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , as a consequence it is separable and reflexive.

**Definition 3.1.** Let the function  $p$  given in (1) be continuous and assume that

$$1 < \alpha \leq p(u) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

for some constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Assume also that

$$f \in W^{-1,\alpha'}(\Omega). \tag{3.2}$$

A function  $u \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$  is said to be a weak solution to the problem (1), if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(u)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} g(u) |\nabla u|^{p(u)-1} v dx + \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega),$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $(W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega))'$  and  $W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$ .

Note that  $q = p(u) \in \mathcal{Q}(\Omega)$  and the essential infimum  $q_-$  and the essential supremum  $q_+$  satisfy to (2.4) for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$ , be a bounded domain with  $\partial\Omega$  Lipschitz-continuous. Assume that*

$$p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Lipschitz - continuous function} \tag{3.3}$$

and that condition (3.2) holds. If

$$d < \alpha \leq p(u) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.4}$$

then there exists, at least, one weak solution to the problem (1) in the sense of Definition 3.1.

**Proof** The proof of Theorem 3.2 is divided into several steps.

**Step 1: Approximation** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we consider the auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(u)-2}\nabla u) - g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(u)-1} \\ +\varepsilon(-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{\beta-2}\nabla u) - g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1}) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

For an exponent function  $p$  satisfying (3.3) and (3.4), a function  $u$  is said to be a weak solution to the regularized problem (3.5), if

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(u)-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(u)-1}v dx \\ +\varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1}v dx \right) = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing between  $W^{-1,\alpha'}(\Omega)$  and  $W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ .

**Claim 3.3.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a weak solution  $u_\varepsilon$  to the problem (3.5).

**Proof of Claim** Let  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$ , from (3.4), we have

$$d < \alpha \leq p(w) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

therefore,

$$f \in W^{-1,\alpha'}(\Omega) \subset W^{-1,\beta'}(\Omega),$$

and, by the usual theory of monotone operators, there exists a unique  $u = u_w$  solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(w)-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(w)-1}v dx \\ +\varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1}v dx \right) = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega), \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

We take  $v = u$  as a test function in (3.7) and using the Hölder inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(w)} dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(w)-1}u dx + \varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta} dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1}u dx \right) \\ = \int_{\Omega} f \nabla u dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f \nabla u dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1}u dx.$$

Thanks to Young's inequality and the fact that  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta} dx \leq C_1 \|\nabla u\|_{\beta} + C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{\beta} dx + C_3 \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\beta} dx.$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_\beta^\beta \leq C_1 \|\nabla u\|_\beta + C_2 \|\nabla u\|_\beta^\beta + C_4 \|\nabla u\|_\beta^\beta.$$

We get

$$\|\nabla u\|_\beta \leq C, \tag{3.8}$$

where  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \Omega, \varepsilon, f)$  independent of  $w$ . Since  $\beta > d \geq 2$  one has  $W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ , compactly and

$$\|u\|_2 = \|u_w\|_2 \leq C,$$

for some positive constant  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \Omega, \varepsilon, f, d)$  independent of  $w$ . Let us consider the mapping

$$B \ni w \rightarrow u_w \in B, \tag{3.9}$$

where  $B := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : \|v\|_2 \leq C\}$ . From Schauder’s fixed point theorem, it is clear that this mapping will have a fixed point provided it is continuous. To prove this, we suppose that  $w_n$  is a sequence in  $L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$w_n \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $u_n$  be the solution to the problem (3.7) associated to  $w = w_n$ . By (3.8), we have

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_\beta \leq C.$$

Hence, for some subsequence still noted  $u_n$  and some  $u$  we have

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.11}$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(w_n)-2} \nabla u_n + \varepsilon |\nabla u_n|^{\beta-2} \nabla u_n \right) \cdot \nabla v \, dx \\ & - \int_\Omega \left( g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(w_n)-1} + \varepsilon g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{\beta-1} \right) v \, dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Using the monotonicity, we have also

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(w_n)-2} \nabla u_n + \varepsilon |\nabla u_n|^{\beta-2} \nabla u_n \right) \cdot \nabla (u_n - v) \, dx \\ & - \int_\Omega \left( g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(w_n)-1} + \varepsilon g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_n - v) \, dx \\ & - \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-2} \nabla v + \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\beta-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla (u_n - v) \, dx \\ & + \int_\Omega \left( g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-1} + \varepsilon g(v) |\nabla v|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_n - v) \, dx \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Taking  $v = u_n - v$  in (3.13) and using (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, u_n - v \rangle - \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-2} \nabla v - \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\beta-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla (u_n - v) \, dx \\ & + \int_\Omega \left( g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-1} + \varepsilon g(v) |\nabla v|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_n - v) \, dx \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

In view of (3.10) one can assume that for some subsequence

$$w_n \rightarrow w \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By using (3.3), we can apply Lebesgue’s theorem, therefore

$$|\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-2} \nabla v \rightarrow |\nabla v|^{p(w)-2} \nabla v \text{ strongly in } L^{\beta'}(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$g(v)|\nabla v|^{p(w_n)-1} \rightarrow g(v)|\nabla v|^{p(w)-1} \text{ strongly in } L^{\beta'}(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Using (3.11), (3.16) and (3.17) we can pass to the limit in (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, u - v \rangle - \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v|^{p(w)-2} \nabla v + \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\beta-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla (u - v) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left( g(v)|\nabla v|^{p(w)-1} + \varepsilon g(v)|\nabla v|^{\beta-1} \right) (u - v) dx \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Taking  $v = u \pm \delta z$ , with  $z \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \left[ \langle f, z \rangle - \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla(u \pm \delta z)|^{p(w)-2} \nabla(u \pm \delta z) + \varepsilon |\nabla(u \pm \delta z)|^{\beta-2} \nabla(u \pm \delta z) \right) \cdot \nabla z dx \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\Omega} \left( g(u \pm \delta z)|\nabla u \pm \delta z|^{p(w)-1} (u \pm \delta z) + \varepsilon g(u \pm \delta z)|\nabla u \pm \delta z|^{\beta-1} (u \pm \delta z) \right) z dx \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

By letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(w)-2} \nabla u + \varepsilon |\nabla u|^{\beta-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla z dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} \left( g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(w)-1} + \varepsilon g(u)|\nabla u|^{\beta-1} \right) z dx = \langle f, z \rangle \quad \forall z \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u = u_w$ . In view of (3.12) and since the limit is uniquely determined, we conclude that

$$u_{w_n} \rightarrow u_w \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we deduce that the mapping (3.9) is continuous and thus concludes the proof of the claim.

It means that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} g(u_\varepsilon)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)-1} v dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\beta-2} \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v dx \\ - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} g(u_\varepsilon)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} v dx = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Recall that

$$d < \alpha \leq p(u_\varepsilon) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

**Step 2: Passage to the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$**

We take  $v = u_\varepsilon$  in (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)} - g(u_\varepsilon)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)-1} u_\varepsilon \right) dx \\ + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\beta - g(u_\varepsilon)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{\beta-1} u_\varepsilon \right) dx = \langle f, u_\varepsilon \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\alpha dx \leq C \| |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\alpha \|_{\frac{p(u_\varepsilon)}{\alpha}} \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{p(u_\varepsilon)}{\alpha}\right)_-}} \\ \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)} dx + 1 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where  $C = C(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ . Therefore

$$\langle f, u_\varepsilon \rangle \leq C_1 \| |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^\alpha \|_\alpha \leq C_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p(u_\varepsilon)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.22) and by using Young’s inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p(u_{\varepsilon})} dx + \varepsilon \|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\beta}^{\beta} \leq C. \tag{3.23}$$

Using (3.21) and (3.22), we can also deduce that

$$\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha} \leq C, \tag{3.24}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant which does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

Therefore, by the compact embedding  $W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ , for some subsequence still denoted by  $u_n$  and some  $u$  we have

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.25}$$

$$\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup \nabla u \text{ in } L^{\alpha}(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.26}$$

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.27}$$

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.27}$$

Note that, from (3.4),  $u$  is Hölder-continuous and, by (3.3), so does  $p(u)$ .

From (3.27), one has also

$$p(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow p(u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.28}$$

We recall that

$$d < \alpha \leq p(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \leq \beta < \infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \tag{3.29}$$

We replace  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  by  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in (3.23), using (3.26), (3.28) and (3.29) so, we can apply the Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$u \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega). \tag{3.30}$$

By the monotonicity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n}|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon_n} + \varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n}|^{\beta-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon_n} \right) \cdot \nabla (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \left( g(u_{\varepsilon_n}) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n}|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-1} + \varepsilon g(u_{\varepsilon_n}) |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_n}|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-2} \nabla v + \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\beta-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \left( g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-1} + \varepsilon g(v) |\nabla v|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

$\forall v \in W_0^{1,\beta}(\Omega).$

By replacing  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  with  $u_{\varepsilon}$  and taking  $u_{\varepsilon_n} - v$  in the place of  $v$  in (3), we can rewrite (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, u_{\varepsilon_n} - v \rangle - \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-2} \nabla v + \varepsilon |\nabla v|^{\beta-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\Omega} \left( g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-1} + \varepsilon g(v) |\nabla v|^{\beta-1} \right) (u_{\varepsilon_n} - v) dx \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

say for all  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

By using (3.28), and the Lebesgue theorem, we have for such a  $v$

$$|\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-2} \nabla v \rightarrow |\nabla v|^{p(u)-2} \nabla v \text{ in } L^{\alpha'}(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u_{\varepsilon_n})-1} \rightarrow g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u)-1} \text{ in } L^{\alpha'}(\Omega)^d, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.34}$$

From (3.24), (3.25), (3.33) and (3.34), we can pass to the limit in (3) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f, u - v \rangle - \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p(u)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (u - v) dx \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\Omega} g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u)-1} (u - v) dx \right) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$



From the assumptions (3.3) and (3.4),  $p(u)$  is Hölder-continuous which implies that  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$ . Thus, (3.34) holds true also for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$ .

So we can take  $v = u \pm \delta z$ , where  $z \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , in (3.34) we get

$$\pm \left( \langle f, z \rangle - \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(u)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla z dx - \int_{\Omega} g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u)-1} z dx \right) \right) \geq 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Which implies,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(u)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla z dx - \int_{\Omega} g(v) |\nabla v|^{p(u)-1} z dx = \langle f, z \rangle \quad \forall z \in W_0^{1,p(u)}(\Omega). \quad (3.37)$$

That's completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## References

- [1] S. Antontsev, S. Shmarev, *Evolution PDEs with Nonstandard Growth Conditions. Existence, Uniqueness, Localization, Blow-up*, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2015.
- [2] S.N. Antontsev, J.F. Rodrigues, *On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **52** (2006), no 1, 19–36.
- [3] B. Andreianov, M. Bendahmane, S. Ouaro, *Structural stability for variable exponent elliptic problems. II. The  $p(u)$ -Laplacian and coupled problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **72** (2010), no. 12, 4649–4660.
- [4] P. Blomgren, T. Chan, P. Mulet and C. Wong, *Total variation image restoration: Numerical methods and extensions*, Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process. vol. 3. IEEE Comput. Soc. Press, Piscataway, 1997, pp. 384–387.
- [5] E. Boltt, R. Chartrand, S. Esedoglu, P. Schultz and K. Vixie, *Graduated, adaptive image denoising: local compromise between total-variation and isotropic diffusion*, Adv. Comput. Math. **31** (2007), 61–85.
- [6] M. Chipot and H. B. de Oliveira, *Some Results On The  $p(u)$ -Laplacian Problem*, Math. Annal. **375** (2019), no. 1, 283–306.
- [7] M. Chipot, *Elliptic Equations: An Introductory Course*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.
- [8] M. Chipot and J.F. Rodrigues, *On a class of non linear nonlocal elliptic problems*, M2AN **26** (1992), no. 3, 447–468.
- [9] M. Chipot, B. Lovat, *Existence and uniqueness results for a class of nonlocal elliptic and parabolic problems*, Dyn. Contin. Discr. Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal. **8** (2001), no. 1, 35–51.
- [10] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, *Variable Lebesgue Spaces, Foundations and Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [11] Y. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao, *Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **66** (2006), 1383–1406.
- [12] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Springer, 2011.
- [13] R. Glowinski, R. Marrocco, *Sur l'approximation, par éléments finis d'ordre un, et la résolution, par pénalisation-dualité, d'une classe de problèmes de Dirichlet non linéaires*, RAIRO Ana. Numer. **9** (1975), 41–76.
- [14] B. Lovat, *Etude de quelques problèmes paraboliques non locaux*, Thèse, Université de Metz, 1995.
- [15] A.L. Skubachevskii, *Elliptic functional differential equations and applications*, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [16] K. Rajagopal and M. Ruzicka, *Mathematical modelling of electro-rheological fluids*, Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. **13** (2001), 59–78.
- [17] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1748, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [18] J. Türola, *Image denoising using directional adaptive variable exponents model*, J. Math. Imaging. Vis. **57** (2017), 56–74.

- 
- [19] V.V. Zhikov, *On the density of smooth functions in Sobolev–Orlicz spaces*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **226** (2004), 67–81.
- [20] V.V. Zhikov, *On some variational problems*, Russ. J. Math. Phys. **5** (1997-1998), no. 1, 105–116.
- [21] V.V. Zhikov, *Meyers-type estimates for solving the nonlinear Stokes system*, Differ. Uravn. **33** (1997), no. 1, 107–114 (in Russian); English Transl. Differ. Equ. **33** (1997), no. 1, 108–115.
- [22] V.V. Zhikov, *Solvability of the three-dimensional termistor problem*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **261** (2008) 101–114 (in Russian); Engl. transl. in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **261** (2008), 1–14.
- [23] V.V. Zhikov, *On passage to the limit in nonlinear elliptic equations*, Dokl. Math. **77** (2008), no. 3, 383–387.