

# On a class of multivalent meromorphic functions defined by combinational differential operator

Mohammadreza Foroutan<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mostafa Soltani<sup>a</sup>, Ali Ebadian<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O.Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

(Communicated by Mugur Alexandru Acu)

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we define a class of meromorphically multivalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$  by using a differential operator. Important properties of this class such as coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, radius of starlikeness and convexity, closure theorems, and convolution properties are obtained. We also study  $\delta$ -neighborhoods and partial sums for this class.

Keywords: meromorphic functions, multivalent functions, differential operator, coefficient estimates, convolution, neighborhoods

2020 MSC: 30C45; 30C50

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Sigma_p$  denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k} \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the punctured unit disk  $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ . A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is meromorphically starlike of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < p$ ) if

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho.$$

A function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is meromorphically convex of order  $\theta$  ( $0 \leq \theta < p$ ) if

$$-\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \theta.$$

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [foroutan\\_mohammadreza@yahoo.com](mailto:foroutan_mohammadreza@yahoo.com), [mr\\_forootan@pnu.ac.ir](mailto:mr_forootan@pnu.ac.ir), (Mohammadreza Foroutan), [soltanimath62@gmail.com](mailto:soltanimath62@gmail.com) (Mostafa Soltani), [a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir](mailto:a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir) (Ali Ebadian)

If  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is given by (1.1) and  $g \in \Sigma_p$  is given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (b_{p+k} \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.2)$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.3)$$

For functions  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ , Aouf [1] defined the following differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\lambda,p}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ S_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z f'(z) + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= S_{\lambda,p} f(z) \quad (\lambda \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}) \\ S_{\lambda,p}^2 f(z) &= S_{\lambda,p} (S_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) &= S_{\lambda,p} (S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z)) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z (S_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z))' + \frac{2\lambda}{z^p} \quad (\lambda \geq 0; n, p \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that

$$S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right)^n a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.4)$$

Also Orhan et al. [4] defined the differential operator  $T_{\sigma\mu p}^n$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma\mu p}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ T_{\sigma\mu p}^1 f(z) &= T_{\sigma\mu p} f(z) = \sigma \mu \frac{[z^{p+1} f(z)]''}{z^{p-1}} + (\sigma - \mu) \frac{[z^{p+1} f(z)]'}{z^p} + (1 - \sigma + \mu) f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

and, in general,

$$T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z) = T_{\sigma\mu p} (T_{\sigma\mu p}^{n-1} f(z)), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Recently, Sharma [6] used the generalized modified Srivastava-Gupta operators defined in [7] by using iterative combinations in ordinary and simultaneous approximation and obtained several interesting results. If the function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is given by (1.1) then from (1.5) and (1.6), we obtain

$$T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) = [1 + (k + 2p)(\sigma - \mu + (k + 2p + 1)\sigma\mu)]^n. \quad (1.8)$$

Making use of the differential operators  $S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)$  and  $T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z)$  defined as in (1.4) and (1.7), respectively, we define the following differential operator for the functions  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  as follows:

$$D_{\lambda,\sigma,\mu,\omega,p}^n f(z) = (1 - \omega) S_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) + \omega T_{\sigma\mu p}^n f(z), \quad (1.9)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma, 0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ . Let  $f(z)$  be given by (1.1), then using (1.4) and (1.7), the relation (1.9) can be easily written as

$$D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) = (1 - \omega) \left( \frac{p + \lambda k}{p} \right) + \omega \Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\Psi_k(\sigma, \mu, n, p) = [1 + (k + 2p)(\sigma - \mu + (k + 2p + 1)\sigma\mu)]^n, \quad (1.12)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma, 0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ . Therefore in terms of convolution (1.9) is equivalent to

$$D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z) = (f * h)(z),$$

where

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) z^{p+k}.$$

Using the differential operator  $D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z)$ , we define the following subclass of multivalent meromorphic functions

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  if it satisfies the followig inequality:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+2} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\gamma z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1 + \gamma)p - p} \right| < \beta \quad (1.13)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ .

Meromorphically multivalent functions have been extensively studied, for example, see Najafzadeh and Ebadian [3], Atshan and Kulkarni [2], Orhan et al. [4] and Auof [1].

## 2 Coefficients Estimates

**Theorem 2.1.** A function  $f$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k} \leq \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$  is given by (1.11)

**Proof .** Assume (2.1) holds. it is enough to show that

$$M = \left| z^{p+2} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' - p^2 \right| - \beta \left| \gamma z^{p+1} (D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1 + \gamma)p - p \right| < 0.$$

For  $|z| = r < 1$ , by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \right| - \beta \left| p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} - \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) + \beta \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \\ &< \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} - \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then (1.13) holds true. So, we have

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+2}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\gamma z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p}^n f(z))' + \alpha(1 + \gamma)p - p} \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right| < \beta.$$

Since  $\Re z \leq |z|$ , for all  $z$ , we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)^2 \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma) - \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k) \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right\} < \beta.$$

Now by letting  $z \rightarrow 1^-$  through real axis, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) a_{p+k} \leq \beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma).$$

Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma] \Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^{p+k} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$  is given by (1.11).

### 3 Distortion Theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then for  $0 < |z| = r < 1$

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{(p + \beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{(p + \beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) = \left[ (1 - \omega) + \omega \left[ 1 + 2p(\sigma - \mu + (2p + 1)\sigma\mu) \right]^n \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

The bounds are attained for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma) \Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof .** In view of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k} \leq \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus for  $0 < |z| = r < 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} r^{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - r^p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which, together, yield (3.1). Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} r^{p+k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} r^{p+k-1} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - r^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} r^{p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

which together yield (3.2). It can be easily seen that the function  $f(z)$  defined by (3.4) is extremal for Theorem 3.1.

□

#### 4 Radius of Starlikeness and Convexity

**Theorem 4.1.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  then  $f(z)$  is meromorphically  $p$ -valent starlike of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < p$ ) in  $0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where

$$r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[ \frac{(p - \rho)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p + k)(p + k + \beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)(3p + k - \rho)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p + k}}, \quad (4.1)$$

$k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the result is sharp.

**Proof .** It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z)) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

for  $0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p + k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k}}{z^{-p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2p + k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\left| \frac{zf'(z) + pf(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq p - \rho$ , if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3p + k - \rho)}{(p - \rho)} a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \leq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 2.1 ensures that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p + k)[p + k + \beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)} a_{p+k} \leq 1, \quad (4.3)$$

in view of (4.3), it follows that (4.2) will be true if

$$\frac{(3p + k - \rho)}{(p - \rho)} r^{2p+k} \leq \frac{(p + k)[p + k + \beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)} \quad (4.4)$$

or if

$$r \leq \left[ \frac{(p - \rho)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p + k)(p + k + \beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)(3p + k - \rho)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p + k}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Setting  $r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \rho, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  in (4.5), the result follows. The result is sharp with the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (2.2).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is meromorphically  $p$ -valent convex of order  $\theta$  ( $0 \leq \theta < p$ ) in  $0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where

$$r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_k \left[ \frac{p(p - \theta)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p + k + \beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1 - \alpha)(1 + \gamma)(3p + k - \theta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p + k}}, \quad (4.6)$$

$k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the result is sharp.

**Proof .** It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p - \theta,$$

for  $0 < |z| < r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)(2p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}}{-pz^{-(p+1)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)(2p+k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}{p - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}r^{2p+k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p - \theta$ , if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(3p+k-\theta)}{p(p-\theta)} a_{p+k} r^{2p+k} \leq 1. \quad (4.7)$$

Theorem 2.1 ensures that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} a_{p+k} \leq 1, \quad (4.8)$$

so in view of (4.8) it follows that (4.7) will be true if

$$\frac{(p+k)(3p+k-\theta)}{p(p-\theta)} r^{2p+k} \leq \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}, \quad (4.9)$$

or, if

$$r \leq \left[ \frac{p(p-\theta)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)(3p+k-\theta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2p+k}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Therefore, we obtain the  $r(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p, \theta, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  in (4.6). The result is sharp for the extremal function  $f(z)$  given by (2.2).  $\square$

## 5 Closure Theorems

**Theorem 5.1.** Let

$$f_{p-1} = \frac{1}{z^p} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$f_{p+k} = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} z^{p+k}, \quad (5.2)$$

where,  $k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $z \in U^*$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \quad (5.3)$$

where  $c_{p+k} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1$ .

**Proof .** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z)$ , where  $c_{p+k} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} z^{p+k}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)} \times \frac{(p+k)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)(p+k+\beta\gamma)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} = 1 - c_{p-1} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Then Theorem 2.1 shows  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Conversely, suppose  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then by Corollary 2.2, we have

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}.$$

Set

$$c_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)} a_{p+k} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{p-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma)}{(p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} c_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f_{p+k}(z) - \frac{1}{z^p}) c_{p+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} c_{p-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} c_{p+k} f_{p+k}(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** The class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is closed under convex linear combinations.

**Proof .** Let each of the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,j} z^{p+k} \quad (a_{p+k,j} \geq 0; j = 1, 2), \quad (5.6)$$

be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . It is sufficient to show that the function  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) = (1-t)f_1(z) + tf_2(z) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \quad (5.7)$$

is also in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Since

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(1-t)(a_{p+k,1} + ta_{p+k,2})] z^{p+k} \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \quad (5.8)$$

by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)[(1-t)a_{p+k,1} + ta_{p+k,2}] \\ &= (1-t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k,1} + t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p+k)[p+k+\beta\gamma]\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)a_{p+k,2} \\ &\leq (1-t)\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) + t\beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma) = \beta p(1-\alpha)(1+\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , hence the result follows.  $\square$

## 6 Convolution Properties

**Theorem 6.1.** Let the functions  $f_j(z)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , defined by (5.6) be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\phi, \beta, \gamma)$ , where

$$\phi = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.1)$$

such that  $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$  is given by (3.3). The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , given by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p. \quad (6.2)$$

**Proof .** Employing the technique used earlier by Schlid and Silverman[5], we will find the largest  $\phi$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\phi)} a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} \leq 1, \quad (6.3)$$

for  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , we readily see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,j} \leq 1, \quad (6.4)$$

by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq 1. \quad (6.5)$$

This implies that we need only to show that

$$\frac{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}}{(1-\phi)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}}}{(1-\alpha)}, \quad (6.6)$$

or equivalently that

$$\sqrt{a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2}} \leq \frac{1-\phi}{(1-\alpha)}. \quad (6.7)$$

Hence by the inequality (6.5), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} \leq \frac{1-\phi}{1-\alpha}, \quad (6.8)$$

which implies that

$$\phi \leq 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}. \quad (6.9)$$

Now, define the function  $\Lambda(k)$  by

$$\Lambda(k) = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}. \quad (6.10)$$

We note that  $\Lambda(k)$  is an increasing function of  $k$ , therefore we conclude that

$$\phi \leq \Lambda(0) = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.11)$$

therefore the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.** Let the functions  $f_1(z), f_2(z)$  defined by (5.6) be in the classes  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_1, \beta, \gamma), \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_2, \beta, \gamma)$ , respectively. Then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\eta, \beta, \gamma)$ , where

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha_1)(1-\alpha_2)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.12)$$

such that  $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$  is given by (3.3), and the result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z) (j = 1, 2)$  given by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha_1)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p, \quad (6.13)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha_2)}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)} z^p. \quad (6.14)$$

**Proof .** Use the arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3.** If  $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} z^{p+k}$  and  $f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k}$  be in  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where  $0 \leq a_{p+k,2} \leq 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Proof .** Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1,$$

then by Theorem 2.1,  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then the integral operator

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,p}(z) = \frac{c}{z^{p+c}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt, \quad c > 0, \quad (6.15)$$

is also in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

**Proof .** It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{F}_{c,p}(z) = f(z) * \left( \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+2p+k} z^{p+k} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

Since  $0 < \frac{c}{c+2p+k} \leq 1$ , by Theorem 6.3, the proof is trivial.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (5.6) be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , and

$$p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) \geq 0, \quad (6.17)$$

then the function  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) z^{p+k}, \quad (6.18)$$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where  $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)$  is given by (3.3).

**Proof .** Since  $f_1(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,1} \leq 1, \quad (6.19)$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 a_{p+k,1}^2 \leq 1. \quad (6.20)$$

Similarly, since  $f_2(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 a_{p+k,2}^2 \leq 1. \quad (6.21)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (6.22)$$

In view of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right] (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (6.23)$$

Thus the inequality (6.23) holds if, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \right]^2, \quad (6.24)$$

or equivalently if

$$(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \geq 0, \quad (6.25)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The left hand side of (6.25) is an increasing function of  $k$ , hence it holds for all  $k$  if

$$p(p + \beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) - 2p\beta(1 + \gamma)(1 - \alpha) \geq 0, \quad (6.26)$$

which is true by our assumption. Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.6.** Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (5.6), be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by (6.18) belongs in the class  $\mathcal{H}_p(\tau, \beta, \gamma)$ , where

$$\tau = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.27)$$

such that  $\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, p)$  is given by (3.3). The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (6.2),

**Proof .** Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[ (p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \right]^2}{\left[ p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \right]^2} a_{p+k,j}^2 \leq \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} a_{p+k,j} \right]^2 \leq 1, \quad (6.28)$$

for  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where  $j = 1, 2$ , so we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[ (p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p) \right]^2}{2 \left[ p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha) \right]^2} (a_{p+k,1}^2 + a_{p+k,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (6.29)$$

We have to find the largest  $\tau$  such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\tau} \leq \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}, \quad (6.30)$$

that is

$$\tau \leq 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}. \quad (6.31)$$

If we define  $L(k)$  by

$$L(k) = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.32)$$

that it is easy to see that  $L(k)$  is an increasing function of  $k$ , thus we conclude that

$$\tau \leq L(0) = 1 - \frac{2p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)^2}{p(p+\beta\gamma)\Phi_0(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}, \quad (6.33)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 7 Neighborhoods and Partial Sums

**Definition 7.1.** For every  $\delta > 0$  and a non-negative sequence  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , where

$$s_k = \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \quad (7.1)$$

$$(k \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, 0 \leq \mu \leq \sigma),$$

the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of a function  $f \in \Sigma_p$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta(f) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma_p : g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k |b_{p+k} - a_{p+k}| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  be given by (1.1). If  $f$  satisfies

$$\frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^{-p}}{1 + \epsilon} \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}, |\epsilon| < \delta, \delta > 0), \quad (7.3)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}_\delta(f) \subset \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (7.4)$$

**Proof .** It is not difficult to see that a function  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$\frac{z^{p+2}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))'' + z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))' - p^2}{\beta \gamma z^{p+1}(D_{\lambda, \sigma, \mu, \delta, p}^n f(z))' + \beta \alpha (1 + \gamma)p - \beta p} \neq \nu \quad (\nu \in \mathbb{C}, |\nu| = 1), \quad (7.5)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0, \quad (7.6)$$

where  $h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{p+k} z^{p+k}$ , such that

$$c_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)(p+k-\nu\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\nu\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{p+k}| \leq \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}.$$

Furthermore, under the hypotheses (7.3), using (7.6) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{z^{-p}} \left( \frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^{-p}}{1 + \epsilon} * h(z) \right) \neq 0. \quad (7.7)$$

Now assume that  $\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| < \delta$ . Then by (7.7) we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} + \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} \right| \geq \frac{|\epsilon|}{|1 + \epsilon|} - \frac{1}{|1 + \epsilon|} \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| > \frac{|\epsilon| - \delta}{|1 + \epsilon|} > 0.$$

This is a contradiction as  $|\epsilon| < \delta$ . Therefore  $\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| \geq \delta$ . If we now let

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \in \mathcal{N}_\delta(f),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta - \left| \frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{(f - g) * h(z)}{z^{-p}} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}) c_{p+k} z^{2p+k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}| |c_{p+k}| |z|^{2p+k} \\ &< \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)} |a_{p+k} - b_{p+k}| \\ &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-p}} \neq 0$  which implies that  $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.3.** Let  $f \in \Sigma_p$  be given by (1.1) and the partial sums  $k_0(z)$  and  $k_q(z)$  be defined by  $k_0(z) = \frac{1}{z^p}$  and  $k_q(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}$  ( $q > 0$ ), also suppose that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{p+k} a_{p+k} \leq 1 \quad (7.8)$$

where  $\theta_{p+k} = \frac{(p+k)(p+k+\beta\gamma)\Phi_k(n, \lambda, \sigma, \mu, \omega, p)}{p\beta(1+\gamma)(1-\alpha)}$ . Then, for  $q > 0$ , we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{k_q(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_q} \quad (7.9)$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{k_q(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \frac{\theta_q}{1 + \theta_q}. \quad (7.10)$$

**Proof .** Under the hypotheses of the theorem we can see from (7.8) that

$$\theta_{p+k+1} > \theta_{p+k} > 1 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Therefore making use of (7.8) again we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} + \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_{p+k} a_{p+k} \leq 1. \quad (7.11)$$

Let

$$w(z) = \theta_q \left[ \frac{f(z)}{k_q(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_q}\right) \right] = 1 + \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{1 + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}. \quad (7.12)$$

Applying (7.11) and (7.12) we find

$$\left| \frac{w(z) - 1}{w(z) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k} + \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{2p+k}} \right| \leq \frac{\theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} a_{p+k} - \theta_q \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} a_{p+k}} \leq 1, \quad (7.13)$$

which shows that  $\Re w(z) > 0$ . From (7.12) we immediately obtain (7.9). Similarly by letting

$$\varphi(z) = (1 + \theta_q) \left[ \frac{k_q(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\theta_q}{1 + \theta_q} \right],$$

we can prove (7.10), therefore the proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M.K. Aouf, *A class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients*, Taiwan. J. Math. **12** (2008), 2517–2533.
- [2] W.G. Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni, *On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (2009), 1–11.
- [3] Sh. Najafzadeh and A. Ebadian, *Convex family of meromorphically multivalent functions on connected sets*, Math. Com. Mod. **57** (2013), 301–305.
- [4] H. Orhan, D. Raducanu, and E. Deniz, *Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions defined by a differential operator*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011), 966–979.
- [5] A. Schlid and H. Silverman, *Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A **29** (1975), 99–107.
- [6] P.M. Sharma, *Iterative combinations for Srivastava-Gupta operators*, Asian-Eur. J. Math. **14** (2021), no. 7, 2150108.
- [7] H.M. Srivastava and V. Gupta, *A family of summation-integral type operators*, Math. Comput. Model. **37** (2003), no. 12-13, 1307–1315.