

HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF K-FIBONACCI FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

M. BIDKHAM* AND M. HOSSEINI

ABSTRACT. Let denote by $F_{k,n}$ the n^{th} k-Fibonacci number where $F_{k,n} = kF_{k,n-1} + F_{k,n-2}$ for $n \geq 2$ with initial conditions $F_{k,0} = 0, F_{k,1} = 1$, we may derive a functional equation $f(k, x) = kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2)$. In this paper, we solve this equation and prove its Hyere-Ulam stability in the class of functions $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, where X is a real Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The stability of functional equation originated from an equaton of Ulam [11] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Later, the result of Ulam was generated by Rassias [10]. Since then, the stability problems of functional equations have been extensively investigated by several mathematiciens(*see*[1-9]).

For any positive real number k, the k-Fibonacci sequence, say $\{F_{k,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined recurrently by $F_{k,n} = kF_{k,n-1} + F_{k,n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$ with initial conditions $F_{k,0} = 0, F_{k,1} = 1$. From this famous formula, we may derive a functional equation

$$f(k, x) = kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2). \quad (1.1)$$

A function $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, will be called a k-Fibonacci function if it satisfies in (1.1), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where X is a real vector space.

Characteristic equation of k-Fibonacci sequences is $x^2 - kx - 1 = 0$. We denote the positive and negative roots of this function by γ, θ (respectively); i.e,

$$\gamma = \frac{k + \sqrt{k^2 + 4}}{2}, \quad \theta = \frac{k - \sqrt{k^2 + 4}}{2}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. General solution of k-Fibonacci equation

Let X bea real vector space. In the following theorem, we investigate the general solution for equation of the form (1.1) which is strongly related to the $F_{k,n}$.

Date: Received: January 2010; Revised: Jun 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39B82, 39B52.

Key words and phrases. Stability; Fibonacci functional equation.

*: Corresponding author .

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a real vector space. A function $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a k -Fibonacci function if and only if there exists a function $h : \mathbb{N} \times [-1, 1) \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$f(k, x) = \begin{cases} F_{k, [x]+1}h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k, [x]}h(k, x - [x] - 1) & x \geq 0 \\ (-1)^{[x]}[F_{k, -[x]-1}h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, -[x]}h(k, x - [x] - 1)] & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $[x]$ stands for the largest integer number that does not exceed x .

Proof. From (1.1) we have

$$f(k, x) = kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2).$$

Since $\gamma + \theta = k$, $\gamma\theta = -1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(k, x) &= (\gamma + \theta)f(k, x - 1) - \gamma\theta f(k, x - 2) \\ &= \gamma f(k, x - 1) + \theta f(k, x - 1) - \gamma\theta f(k, x - 2) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{cases} f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) = \theta[f(k, x - 1) - \gamma f(k, x - 2)] \\ f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x - 1) = \gamma[f(k, x - 1) - \theta f(k, x - 2)] \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

By induction on n , it follows that

$$\begin{cases} f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) = \theta^n[f(k, x - n) - \gamma f(k, x - n - 1)] \\ f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x - 1) = \gamma^n[f(k, x - n) - \theta f(k, x - n - 1)] \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

If we replace x by $x+n$ ($n \geq 0$) in (2.3), divide the resulting equation by θ^n (resp. γ^n) and replace n by $-m$ in the resulting equation, then we obtain a equation with m in place of n , where $m \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. Therefore, (2.3) is true for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now by multiplying the first and second equations of (2.3) by θ and $-\gamma$ (respectively) and then adding with together, we get

$$f(k, x) = \frac{\theta^{n+1} - \gamma^{n+1}}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - n) + \frac{\theta^n - \gamma^n}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - n - 1) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = [x]$, $x \geq 0$ in (2.4) and using Binet's formula

$$F_{k, n} = \frac{\theta^n - \gamma^n}{\theta - \gamma},$$

we have

$$f(k, x) = F_{k, [x]+1}f(k, x - [x]) + F_{k, [x]}f(k, x - [x] - 1)$$

and if $x < 0$, then for $n = [x] = -|[x]|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x) &= \frac{\theta^{-|[x]|+1} - \gamma^{-|[x]|+1}}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - [x]) \\
&+ \frac{\theta^{-|[x]|} - \gamma^{-|[x]|}}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= \frac{-1}{(\gamma\theta)^{|[x]|-1}} \frac{\theta^{|[x]|-1} - \gamma^{|[x]|-1}}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - [x]) \\
&+ \frac{-1}{(\gamma\theta)^{|[x]|}} \frac{\theta^{|[x]|} - \gamma^{|[x]|}}{\theta - \gamma} f(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= (-1)^{[x]} F_{k,|[x]|-1} f(k, x - [x]) + (-1)^{1+[x]} F_{k,|[x]|} f(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= (-1)^{[x]} [F_{k,-[x]-1} f(k, x - [x]) - F_{k,-[x]} f(k, x - [x] - 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq x - [x] < 1$, and $-1 \leq x - [x] - 1 < 0$, if we define a function $h : \mathbb{N} \times [-1, 1) \rightarrow X$, by $h := f|_{\mathbb{N} \times [-1, 1)}$, then f is a function of the form (2.1).

Now, Let f be a function of the form (2.1), where $h : \mathbb{N} \times [-1, 1) \rightarrow X$ is an arbitrary function, we want to show that

$$f(k, x) = kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2)$$

and so f is a k -Fibonacci function.

If $x \geq 2$, then $x - 1 \geq 1$, $x - 2 \geq 0$.
and by (2.1) we have

$$f(k, x) = F_{k,[x]+1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]} h(k, x - [x] - 1)$$

$$f(k, x - 1) = F_{k,[x-1]+1} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1]) + F_{k,[x-1]} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1] - 1)$$

Since $(x - 1) - [x - 1] = x - [x]$, hence

$$f(k, x - 1) = F_{k,[x]} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]-1} h(k, x - [x] - 1),$$

$$f(k, x - 2) = F_{k,[x]-1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]-2} h(k, x - [x] - 1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2) &= kF_{k,[x]} h(k, x - [x]) + kF_{k,[x]-1} h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&+ F_{k,[x]-1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]-2} h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= (kF_{k,[x]} + F_{k,[x]-1}) h(k, x - [x]) + (kF_{k,[x]-1} + F_{k,[x]-2}) h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= F_{k,[x]+1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]} h(k, x - [x] - 1) = f(k, x).
\end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq x \leq 2$, then $0 \leq x - 1 \leq 1$, $-1 \leq x - 2 \leq 0$ and by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x) &= F_{k,[x]+1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]} h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= F_{k,2} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,1} h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= kh(k, x - [x]) + h(k, x - [x] - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x - 1) &= F_{k, [x-1]+1} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1]) + F_{k, [x-1]} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1] - 1) \\
&= F_{k, 1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k, 0} h(k, x - [x] - 1) \\
&= h(k, x - [x])
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x - 2) &= (-1)^{[x-2]} [F_{k, (-[x]-1)} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, 2-[x]} h(k, x - [x] - 1)] \\
&= -[F_{k, 0} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, 1} h(k, x - [x] - 1)] \\
&= h(k, x - [x] - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2) = kh(k, x - [x]) + h(k, x - [x] - 1) = f(k, x).$$

If $0 \leq x < 1$, then $-1 \leq x - 1 < 0$, $-2 \leq x - 2 < -1$ and by (2.1), we have

$$f(k, x) = F_{k, 1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k, 0} h(k, x - [x] - 1) = h(k, x - [x])$$

$$f(k, x - 1) = (-1)^{-1} [F_{k, 0} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, 1} h(k, x - [x] - 1)] = h(k, x - [x] - 1)$$

$$f(k, x - 2) = (-1)^{-2} [F_{k, 1} h(k, x - [x]) + F_{k, 2} h(k, x - [x] - 1)] = h(k, x - [x]) - kh(k, x - [x] - 1).$$

Thus, we get

$$kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2) = h(k, x - [x]) = f(k, x).$$

Finally, if $x < 0$, then we have

$$f(k, x) = (-1)^{[x]} [F_{k, -[x]-1} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, -[x]} h(k, x - [x] - 1)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x - 1) &= (-1)^{[x-1]} [F_{k, -[x-1]-1} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1]) - F_{k, -[x-1]} h(k, x - 1 - [x - 1] - 1)] \\
&= (-1)^{[x]-1} [F_{k, -[x]} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, -[x]-1} h(k, x - [x] - 1)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(k, x - 2) &= (-1)^{[x-2]} [F_{k, -[x-2]-1} h(k, x - 2 - [x - 2]) - F_{k, -[x-2]} h(k, x - 2 - [x - 2] - 1)] \\
&= (-1)^{[x]-2} [F_{k, -[x]} h(k, x - [x]) - F_{k, -[x]+2} h(k, x - [x] - 1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f(k, x) = kf(k, x - 1) + f(k, x - 2).$$

□

3. HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF K-FIBONACCI EQUATION

In the following theorem, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability for equations of the form (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. If a function $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|f(k, x) - kf(k, x - 1) - f(k, x - 2)\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a k -Fibonacci function $G : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(k, x) - G(k, x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \left(k + 1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. As $\gamma + \theta = k$, $\gamma\theta = -1$, we get from (3.1)

$$\|f(k, x) - (\gamma + \theta)f(k, x - 1) + \gamma\theta f(k, x - 2)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

or

$$\|f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) - \theta[f(k, x - 1) - \gamma f(k, x - 2)]\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we replace x by $x - t$ and then multiplying the both sides of this inequality by $|\theta|^t$, we get

$$\|\theta^t [f(k, x - t) - \gamma f(k, x - t - 1)] - \theta^{t+1} [f(k, x - t - 1) - \gamma f(k, x - t - 2)]\| \leq |\theta|^t \varepsilon \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \theta^t [f(k, x - t) - \gamma f(k, x - t - 1)] - \theta^{t+1} [f(k, x - t - 1) - \gamma f(k, x - t - 2)] \right\| \\ &= \|f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) - \theta^n [f(k, x - n) - \gamma f(k, x - n - 1)]\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

hence

$$\|f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) - \theta^n [f(k, x - n) - \gamma f(k, x - n - 1)]\| \leq \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} |\theta|^t \varepsilon, \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From (3.3) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\{\theta^n [f(k, x - n) - \gamma f(k, x - n - 1)]\}$ is a Cauchy sequence ($|\theta| < 1$). Therefore we can define $G_1 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ by

$$G_1(k, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta^n [f(k, x - n) - \gamma f(k, x - n - 1)].$$

Since X is a Banach space, so it is complete and G_1 is well defined function. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & kG_1(k, x - 1) + G_1(k, x - 2) \\ &= k\theta^{-1}G_1(k, x) + \theta^{-2}G_1(k, x) = G_1(k, x) \end{aligned}$$

if $n \rightarrow \infty$, then from (3.4) we have

$$\|f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x - 1) - G_1(k, x)\| \leq \frac{2 + k + \sqrt{k^2 + 4}}{2} \varepsilon, \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

On other hand, from (3.1), we have

$$\|f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x - 1) - \gamma[f(k, x - 1) - \theta f(k, x - 2)]\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now if we replace x by $x + t$ and then multiplying the both sides of this inequality by γ^{-t} , we get

$$\|\gamma^{-t}[f(k, x+t) - \theta f(k, x+t-1)] - \gamma^{-t+1}[f(k, x+t-1) - \theta f(k, x+t-2)]\| \leq \gamma^{-t}\varepsilon, \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\gamma^{-n}[f(k, x+n) - \theta f(k, x+n-1)] - [f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x-1)]\| \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^n \|\gamma^{-t}[f(k, x+t) - \theta f(k, x+t-1)] - \gamma^{-t+1}[f(k, x+t-1) - \theta f(k, x+t-2)]\| \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^n |\gamma^{-t}| \varepsilon, \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (3.6), we have $\{\gamma^{-n}[f(k, x+n) - \theta f(k, x+n-1)]\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence we can define $G_2 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ by

$$G_2(k, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^{-n}[f(k, x+n) - \theta f(k, x+n-1)].$$

Since X is a Banach space, so it is complete and G_2 is well defined function. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & kG_2(k, x-1) + G_2(k, x-2) \\ & = k\gamma^{-1}G_2(k, x) + \gamma^{-2}G_2(k, x) = G_2(k, x). \end{aligned}$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$, then from (3.7), we have

$$\|G_2(k, x) - f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x-1)\| \leq \frac{2-k+\sqrt{k^2+4}}{2k} \varepsilon \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For

$$G(k, x) = \frac{\theta}{\theta - \gamma} G_1(k, x) - \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} G_2(k, x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(k, x) - G(k, x)\| & = \|f(k, x) - \frac{\theta}{\theta - \gamma} G_1(k, x) - \frac{\gamma}{\theta - \gamma} G_2(k, x)\| \\ & = \frac{1}{|\theta - \gamma|} \|(\theta - \gamma)f(k, x) - [\theta G_1(k, x) - \gamma G_2(k, x)]\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma - \theta} \|\theta[f(k, x) - \gamma f(k, x-1) - G_1(k, x)]\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\gamma - \theta} \|\gamma[G_2(k, x) - f(k, x) - \theta f(k, x-1)]\| \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} (k+1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}}), \quad (\text{By 3.5 and 3.8}) \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see G is a k -Fibonacci function. □

In order to prove G is also unique, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space and $u, v \in X$ are given. If for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $C \geq 0$ we have*

$$\|F_{k,n+1}u + F_{k,n}v\| \leq C$$

then,

$$\gamma u + v = 0.$$

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,n}\|\gamma u + v\| &= \|\gamma F_{k,n}u + F_{k,n}v + F_{k,n+1}u - F_{k,n+1}u\| \\ &\leq \|F_{k,n+1}u + F_{k,n}v\| + |F_{k,n+1} - \gamma F_n|\|u\| \\ &\leq C + \left| \frac{\gamma^{n+1} - \theta^{n+1}}{\gamma - \theta} - \gamma \frac{\gamma^n - \theta^n}{\gamma - \theta} \right| \|u\| \quad (\text{By Binet's formula}) \\ &= C + |\theta|^n \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $|\theta| < 1$, if $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $F_{k,n} \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\gamma u + v = 0$. □

Theorem 3.3. *The k -Fibonacci function in Theorem (3.1) is unique.*

Proof. Let there exist k -Fibonacci functions, $G_1 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, and $G_2 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\|f(k, x) - G_i(k, x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \left(k + 1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since G_1 and G_2 are k -Fibonacci function, by Theorem (2.1), there exist functions $g_i : \mathbb{N} \times [-1, 1) \rightarrow X$ ($i = \{1, 2\}$) such that

$$G_i(k, x) = \begin{cases} F_{k,[x]+1}g_i(k, x - [x]) + F_{k,[x]}g_i(k, x - [x] - 1) & x \geq 0 \\ (-1)^{[x]}[F_{k,-[x]-1}g_i(k, x - [x]) - F_{k,-[x]}g_i(k, x - [x] - 1)] & x < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (3.10)$$

for $i \in 1, 2$.

Fix a t in $[0, 1)$, from (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|G_1(k, n+t) - G_2(k, n+t)\| \\ &\leq \|G_1(k, n+t) - f(k, n+t)\| + \|f(k, n+t) - G_2(k, n+t)\| \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \left(k + 1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

by (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F_{k,n+1}[g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t)] + F_{k,n}[g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1)]\| \\ &= \|G_1(k, n+t) - G_2(k, n+t)\| \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \left(k + 1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F_{k,n-1}[g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t)] - F_{k,n}[g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1)] \right| \\ &= \left| G_1(k, -n+t) - G_2(k, -n+t) \right| \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \left(k+1 - \frac{k^2 - 3k - 2}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to Lemma (3.2), we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma[g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t)] + [g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1)] = 0 \\ -\gamma[g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1)] + [g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t)] = 0 \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 1 \\ 1 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t) \\ g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $-\gamma^2 - 1 \neq 0$, hence

$$g_1(k, t) - g_2(k, t) = g_1(k, t-1) - g_2(k, t-1).$$

Since $0 \leq t < 1$ is arbitrary, therefore $g_1(k, t) = g_2(k, t)$, for any $0 \leq t < 1$, and from (3.10), we have $G_1(k, x) = G_2(k, x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

□

REFERENCES

1. J. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto, *The stability of the $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74**(1979), 2 42-246.
2. G. L. Forti, *Hyers- Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aequationes Math. **50**(1995), 143-190.
3. Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14**(1991), 431-434.
4. P. Găvrută, *A generalization of the Hyers- Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184**(1994), 431-436.
5. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **27**(1941), 221-224.
6. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
7. D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44**(1992), 125-153.
8. S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations*, Dynamic Sys. Appl. **6**(1997), 541-566.
9. S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
10. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Pros. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**(1978), 297-300.
11. S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience, New York, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 35195-363, SEMNAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: mdbidkham@gmail.com, hosseini_mps@yahoo.com