



Semnan University

Mechanics of Advanced Composite Structures

Journal homepage: <https://macs.semnan.ac.ir/>

ISSN: 2423-7043



Research Article

Microstructure Study and Optimization of Hardness And Tensile Strength Of AW2024/B₄C_p Reinforced Composites Through Linear Regression.

Lakshminarayana Bharath ^{a*} and Jayappa Kumaraswamy ^b

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Cambridge Institute of Technology, Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University (V.T.U), Belagavi, 590 018, Karnataka, India.

^b Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, R L Jalappa Institute of Technology, Affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University (V.T.U), Belagavi, 590 018, Karnataka, India.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Keywords:

AW2024;
Orthogonal Array;
B₄C;
ANOVA;
Hardness.

The current research is focused on the production of AW2024/B₄C metal reinforced composite by using the liquid stir casting method. Ceramic particles armored composites are mainly used in engineering applications, which include aircraft, automotive, and marine fields. AW2024/B₄C composites are produced by changing wt.% of B₄C_p as 1.00%, 3.00% and 5.00%. The produced AW2024/B₄C composites are machined as per ASTM E8-16a, IS1500, and IS7739 standard test size and subjected to artificial ageing. The hardness and tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C composites were measured through a Brinell hardness tester and a universal testing machine, respectively. The microstructure of the prepared composite material was examined to determine the uniform distribution of reinforcement material. The highest hardness and tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C composites were measured and found to be 84.97 BHN and 273.82 N/mm² for AW2024/5%B₄C with 5 hrs. ageing duration. The results achieved reveal that both hardness as well as tensile strength increased by increasing the weight percentage of B₄C content. L₉ standard orthogonal display was espoused to investigate the best parameter and also to authenticate the experimental test results. Further, a fracture study was done through SEM images to determine the mode of fracture.

© 2025 The Author(s). Mechanics of Advanced Composite Structures published by Semnan University Press.

This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Materials play a substantial role in engineering applications because of their superior mechanical properties and wear characteristics under different conditions. In the present industry demand, composite materials will meet the specific application due to their lightweight, lower cost, and superior functionality. Aluminum alloys will have a

number of physical properties and mechanical characteristics that make them attractive for automotive components; however, they show very meager resistance to sliding motion and wear [1]. Composites generally perform well in applications such as dry sliding conditions to enhance their wear performance. In metal matrix composites, Aluminum alloys are widely selected as base metal due to their higher strength, light weight, resistance to environmental conditions,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: bharath.mech@cambridge.edu.in

Cite this article as:

L Bharath and J Kumaraswamy., 2025. Microstructure study and optimization of hardness and tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C_p reinforced composites through linear regression. *Mechanics of Advanced Composite Structures*, 12(1), pp. xx-xx

etc. [2]. A study on Al6061/SiC/B₄C hybrid composite is fabricated by using the stir casting route. The mechanical characterization and their internal arrangements were deliberated using SEM images [3]. Research work on Al7075/SiC particle reinforced composites [4] and conveyed that SiC reinforced particles are homogeneously dispersed in the Al6061 alloy with less particle agglomeration. However, the distribution of SiC was reduced with an increase in the weight content of the silicon carbide particle size. Evaluated the hybrid composites of Al7075/B₄C/coconut shell fly ash, exhibiting maximum hardness for 12 wt.% of B₄C and 3 wt.% of coconut shell fly ash. Reinforcement particles that absorb the impact load during the impact test will act as an obstacle against crack propagation, resisting fracture [5]. Research work on Al6061-Al₂O₃-SiC hybrid composite [6], suitable process parameters are acknowledged for COF and wear rate using standard L₉ OA. ANOVA was also adopted to find out the percentage involvement of each factor that influences on wear rate and COF of hybrid composites. Explored a research study on SiC reinforced Al7075 alloy composite and Al7075/TiB₂ composite by stir casting process. The authors conveyed that when assessed with SiC and TiB₂ reinforced composites, unreinforced aluminium 7075 alloy exhibited less hardness and strength. Further, higher hardness of 4.93% and 3.29% of higher strength are obtained for the titanium diboride reinforced composite when matched to the SiC reinforced composite [7]. Reported that the mass density of Al2024/ B₄C composite reduced with the increase in weight content of B₄C, the motive is to existence of voids in Al-Cu alloy. Unreinforced Al2024 alloy exhibits ductile kind of failure; however, an increase in the content of B₄C reinforcement in soft Al2024 alloy resulted gradual change in failure type, i.e, ductile failure to brittle failure. It was explored from SEM monographs that for 5 wt.% of B₄C particles on AW2024 alloy, the tensile strength was not significant, which is owing to brittle tearing [8-9]. The effort has been made on a nickel alloy base hybrid composite with Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ reinforcement through the sand mold casting method to evaluate the microstructure and thermal properties. Reinforcement elements are scattered in the nickel alloy matrix along the length of the grain boundary, and fine precipitates are observed [10]. Investigative work on Al7075/SiC/Gr. A hybrid composite uniformly distributed reinforcement was observed throughout the matrix, which was achieved due to proper stirring. Further, Taguchi's technique was adopted by using L₁₆ OA with four levels to recognize the contribution of reinforcements on ductility and yield strength,

followed by the authentication of the experimental results by regression equations. The prepared hybrid composites represented the increase in tensile strength and hardness when linked to unreinforced material alone due to the acceptable quality bonding between the matrix alloy and the reinforcement [11-12]. Notable work carried out on Al2024/B₄C composite by varying different B₄C particle sizes in weight percentage by the stir-cast fabrication method. It was recounted that the ductility of the composite declined with the content of wt.% of B₄C particles. However, the mechanical strength of prepared composites was seen to be enhanced. Further, fracture study reveals that the existence of B₄C_p was observed with a small dimple structure resulting in ductile fracture [13]. Examination on the hardness of the fabricated hybrid composite was increased with the addition of silicon carbide for a constant 2.5% of Al₂O₃ in Al7075 alloy. However, material loss was decreased with an increase in silicon carbide in Al7075 alloy. For wear specimens, SEM images reveal that higher hard particles in wt.% on the Al7075 alloy contributed to deeper grooves and show sufficient bonding between alloy and reinforcement [14]. An attempt was been carried out on Ni-Cu alloy reinforced with Al₂O₃ & TiO₂ through sand mould casting route and confirmed that a fairly uniform distribution was seen in Ni-Cu alloy with a lower porosity level. By addition of reinforcements in Ni-Cu alloy exhibits the dendritic arrangement in the formed hybrid composite. When the normal load on Ni-Cu alloy reinforced by Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ increased wear rate also increased. An investigation on Al-Ni based alloy reinforced by two reinforcements, namely Al₂O₃ and e-glass particles, in wt.% by adopting the stir casting method. It was stated that theoretical density and experimental density show slight variations in results for all compositions. However, theoretical density results show moderately higher values than the experimental values, and the porosity level increased in the hybrid composite with a gradual increase in reinforcements [15-16]. Emphasis has been placed on the Al/Cu/Brass multilayered composite developed by Accumulative Roll Bonding (ARB) process and concluded that fracture occurred in the brass layer after the second cycle onwards, which occurs occurred to the accumulation of plastic strain in the prepared test sample during ARB cycles [17]. The effort has been made on the behavior of 7085 Al alloy at different quenching rates experimentally through microstructure characterization, thermodynamic calculation, and electrical conductivity test [18]. Investigation on Al-Cu based composite by stir casting choice was conducted to know the experimental hardness

and validated through linear regression and machine learning method [19]. Work on SiC/HfC-based ceramics was reported to further improve functional properties and to determine the chance and importance of boron particles in the SiC/HfC system [20]. Unidirectional molybdenum and tungsten fiber reinforced Silicon carbide nitride ceramic-based composite were produced by adopting the polymer infiltration technique, and reported an average of UTS is 2780 MPa for mono fiber tensile test for tungsten fiber and 1647 MPa for molybdenum fiber [21]. Based on the fact and the above research findings by various investigators, the current research article aims to develop a sound AW2024/B₄C composite through the die casting method. To estimate the hardness as well as tensile strength of the formed composite material experimentally, and also to authenticate the experimental test results by regression analysis. Standard array tables have been used to determine the optimal parameters for better hardness properties and tensile strength. Optical images study and SEM examination of fracture tensile specimens were also discussed and reported in this research article.

2. Materials and Production of Composites

In this section selection of material, fabrication, machining, heat-treatment technique used, and standard orthogonal array used were discussed.

2.1. Selection of Matrix and Reinforcement Material

The existing research study on copper-based aluminum alloy has been selected as base/matrix material, which has been procured from Fenfe Metallurgical, Bangalore, along with 3 different B₄C particle sizes as reinforcement. Figure 1 indicates the AW2024 alloy in the Ingot form as received from the Fenfe metallurgical, Bangalore. Figure 2 (a), (b), and (c) represent the SEM images of B₄C particles with an average particle size of 186.16 μm, 96.82 μm, and 69.78 μm for 100-mesh size, 200-mesh size, and 300-mesh size, respectively.



Figure 1. Ingots of AW2024 matrix alloy.




Fig. 2. SEM photograph of B₄C particles. (a) 100 mesh-size (b) 200 mesh-size (c) 300 mesh-size.

Table 1 Elemental composition of AW2024 alloy.

Element	AW	Cu	Mg	Fe	Si	Zn	V
Wt.%	92.82	4.48	0.81	0.42	0.16	0.15	0.05

Table 2: Properties of B₄C particle.

Property	Values
Density (g/cm ³)	2.55
Tensile Strength (MPa)	261
Melting Temperature (°C)	2,763
Specific gravity (g/cm ²)	2.52
Knoop Hardness	3770

2.2. Preparation of Composites

The required quantity of AW2024 matrix alloy is calculated based on the size of the casting die and composition as represented in Table 3 (a-c). The as-received AW2024 matrix alloy is cut by using a power hacksaw machine and placed inside the graphite crucible. Pre-heated crucible along with the calculated AW2024 matrix alloy is kept inside the electric furnace as shown in Figure 3. The melting furnace is kept to a pre-determined temperature of 750°C, and AW2024 alloy is melted via a heat transfer process through

the heated walls of the graphite crucible. After melting AW2024 alloy in a graphite crucible, pre-heated B₄C particles (350°C) were added into the molten AW2024 matrix alloy, and effective stirring was carried out at 550 rpm for about 8 min to attain the dispersion of boron-carbide particles uniformly throughout the AW2024 matrix. A degassing tablet is added to the molten mixture (matrix and reinforcement) to eliminate the entrapped air to prevent the blowholes and porosity, thereby enhancing the strength of the formed composites.

Table 3 Composition of prepared AW2024/B₄C composite and its notation
(a) For a mesh size of 100 B₄C

Composition/ Heat treatment condition	AW2024 + 0% B ₄ C (As Cat)	AW2024 + 1.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 3.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 5.00% B ₄ C
Non-heat-treated	S000	S110	S130	S150
1hr. ageing duration	S001	S111	S131	S151
3hrs. ageing duration	S003	S113	S133	S153
5hrs. ageing duration	S005	S115	S135	S155

(b) For a mesh size of 200 B₄C

Composition/ Heat treatment condition	AW2024 + 1.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 3.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 5.00% B ₄ C
Non-heat-treated	S210	S230	S250
1hr. ageing duration	S211	S231	S251
3hrs. ageing duration	S213	S233	S253
5hrs. ageing duration	S215	S235	S255

(c) For a mesh size of 300 B₄C

Composition/ Heat treatment condition	AW2024 + 1.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 3.00% B ₄ C	AW2024 + 5.00% B ₄ C
Non-heat-treated	S310	S330	S350
1hr. ageing duration	S311	S331	S351
3hrs. ageing duration	S313	S333	S353
5hrs. ageing duration	S315	S335	S355

The notation indicated in Table 3 (a-c) has the following illustration:




Fig. 3. Experimental setup for HMMC's: (a) Electric furnace.

(b) Poring a hybrid composite mixture

2.3 Machining of Shaped Composite Material and Heat Treatment

The cast AW2024/B₄C composites are cut to a required length and turned by considering a traditional lathe machine tool to prepare test specimens as per the acceptance standard size. Tungsten carbide cutting tools with a 1mm depth of cut and, 0.5mm/min feed rate are used to prepare the test specimen and to carry out heat treatment. The photographs of tensile, hardness, and microstructure test samples are machined as per ASTM E8-16a, IS: 1500, and IS: 7739 and are represented in Figures 4, 5, and 6, respectively.



Fig. 4. AW-2024/B₄C composite -Tensile test samples



Fig. 5. AW-2024/B₄C composite - Hardness test samples.



Fig. 6. AW-2024/B₄C composite - Microstructure test samples.


The machined test samples are exposed to the heat-treatment process in 2 different stages:

Stage1: Solutionizing

The machined test samples are heated for 24 hrs. at a constant temperature of 520 °C, and the test samples are quenched in water media till the test specimens attain room temperature.

Stage 2: Artificial Ageing

After stage 1, the test specimens were kept at a constant temperature of 175°C for a holding period of 1 hr., 3 hrs., and 5 hrs. for the particular composition. Heat treatment for the machined test specimens is illustrated schematically in Figure 7. Figure 8 (a) and (b) represent the heat-treatment furnace and water-quenching setup used for this



study.

Fig. 7. Artificial heat treatment plot for AW2024/B₄C composite.



Fig. 8. (a) Heating furnace equipment




Fig. 8. (b) Quenching setup

with the previous findings by P. Subramanya Reddy et al [3].

2.4 Methodology Adopted

In the current investigation, a design-of-experiment was used by selecting the L_9 standard array table for optimization of hardness as well as tensile strength of AW2024/ B_4C composite material. Further, analysis of variance and regression equations are generated to evaluate the contribution of each variable in percentage and also to authenticate the experimental test results. The variable and their level adopted for the present study are represented in Table 4.

Table 4: Input variables and their levels used

Sl. No.	Input variable	Levels		
		Level - A	Level - B	Level - C
1	B_4C (wt.%)	1	3	5
2	Ageing duration (hrs.)	1	3	5
3	Mesh size	100	200	300

3. Results and Discussions

In this part, a study on microstructure, hardness, tensile strength, optimization, analysis of variance, validation of experimental results using linear regression (LR) equation, and SEM images of AW2024/ B_4C composite has been deliberated.

3.1. Microstructure Study of AW2024/ B_4C Composite

Figure 9. (a) demonstrates the microstructure images of AW2024 alloy without heat treatment, and it is seen from the image that a larger grain size is associated with a larger grain boundary. The larger grain is due to the occurrence of porosity and the insufficient use of the degassing tablet during the production of AW2024 alloy. Figure 9. (b-d) shows the microstructure photograph of AW2024/ B_4C composite with different heat-treatment periods. With the increase in heat-treatment period from 1 hr. to 5 hrs., excellent bonding has been seen between AW2024 base and B_4C particle. The microstructure looks like a refined grain structure with sound bonding leading to enhanced hardness and yield strength when assessed with the AW2024 matrix. Porosity, blowholes, and voids have significantly vanished, which is attributed to the worthy precipitation of B_4C_p in the soft AW2024 matrix alloy. Due to sufficient duration of heat-treatment and pre-heated B_4C_p , in soft AW2024 alloy exhibits uniform distribution, which leads to enhanced mechanical properties. These results are in line





Fig. 9. (a-d) Microstructure photograph of AW2024/ B_4C composite for different heat treatment durations.



3.2 Hardness of prepared AW2024/ B₄C composite




Fig. 10. (a) Plot of Hardness for different AW2024/B₄C composites (100 mesh size of B₄C)




Fig. 10. (b) Plot of Hardness for different AW2024/B₄C composites (200 mesh size of B₄C)




Fig. 10. (c) Plot of Hardness for different AW2024/B₄C composites (300 mesh size of B₄C)

Evaluation of Brinell Hardness (BH) number for unreinforced and reinforced AW2024-based composites with heat treatment is displayed in Figure 10. (a-c). The BH number of AW2024/ B₄C composite increased gradually from 1 weight percentage to 5 wt.% of B₄C, this enhancement might be the existence of hard ceramic particles in the soft AW2024 matrix alloy. It is seen that the BH number of AW-2024 alloy improved with an increase in heat treatment duration from 1hr. to 3 hours. However, for 5hrs heat treatment hardness value is slightly reduced. The

percentage enhancement in hardness is 60.45%. Among various mesh sizes of B₄C particles in soft AW2024 matrix alloy, the 100 mesh size particle reinforced composite exhibits greater hardness number, which might be the fine-tuning of the grain structure of B₄C particles, which have restricted the indenter from penetrating into the prepared composite. Percentage enhancement in hardness number is seen to be 90.04% for AW2024/5 wt.% of B₄C/5 hrs. of heat treatment duration when matched with AW2024 matrix alloy without heat treatment.

3.3 AW2024/B₄C Composite - Tensile Strength




Fig. 11. (a) Tensile strength plot for different AW2024/B₄C composites (100 mesh-size of B₄C)




Fig. 11. (b) Tensile strength plot for different AW2024/B₄C composites (200 mesh-size of B₄C)




Fig. 11. (c) Tensile strength plot for different AW2024/B₄C composites (300 mesh-size of B₄C)

Figure 11. (a-c) depicts the variation of tensile strength of AW2024/boron-carbide reinforced composite material by changing wt.% of boron-carbide particles for different mesh sizes of B₄C particles. As the percentage of boron-carbide particles increases in soft AW2024 alloy, an increase in tensile strength was noticed, which might be the significant bonding between AW2024 matrix and boron-carbide reinforcement. The uniform spreading of reinforcement throughout the matrix has contributed to increasing the tensile strength. When compared, the unreinforced, reinforced AW2024 alloys show better tensile strength; the reason might be the occurrence of hard carbide particles. Compared to three different mesh sizes of B₄C particles, 100 mesh size particles on AW2024 alloy exhibit noteworthy tensile strength, which is attributed to uniform particle distribution and size of the B₄C particles. The percentage enhancement in the tensile strength is 91.91% when matched with AW2024 alloy and AW2024/5% B₄C/5 hrs. of heat-treated composite specimen. However, with an increase in mesh-size of B₄C from 100 to 300, there is not much significant fall in tensile-strength value, this may be due to the impact of the heat-treatment process, which has minimized the porosity or which has made the composite harder.

3.4 Optimization of BH Number and Tensile Strength of AW2024/B₄C Composite

It is perceived from Figure 12. (a) the optimal levels for BH number of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite is A2B3C1 i.e 3 wt.% of B₄C, 5 hours of ageing period and 100 mesh-size of B₄C. However, for the tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite, the optimum levels are A3B3C1. i.e, 5 wt.% of B₄C, 5 hours of ageing

duration, and 100 mesh-size of B₄C as seen in Figure 12. (b).




Fig. 12. (a) Optimization of the BH number of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite.




Fig. 12. (b) Optimization of Tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite.

Table 5 (a): Response / Output table for optimization for hardness of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite

Level	B ₄ C	ageing period	mesh-size
1	69.3	64.53	77.2
2	76.35	77.11	71.44
3	73.62	77.63	70.63
Delta	7.05	13.1	6.57
Rank	2	1	3

Table 5 (b): Response/output table for optimization for Tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite

Level	B ₄ C	ageing period	mesh-size
1	218.2	203.1	241
2	237	237.6	232.9
3	247.1	261.6	228.3
Delta	28.9	58.5	12.8
Rank	2	1	3

3.5 ANOVA for BH number and Tensile Strength of AW2024/B₄C Composite

Table 6: ANOVA table for the BH number of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite

Factors	Wt. % of B ₄ C (A)	Ageing Period (B)	Mesh-Size (C)	error	Total
Seq. SS	75.883	329.966	76.966	12.711	495.526
Adj. SS	75.883	329.966	76.966	12.711	495.526
Adj. MS	37.942	164.983	38.483	6.356	247.764
F - Value	5.97	25.96	6.05		
P - Value	0.143	0.037	0.142		
DF	2	2	2	2	8
% Contribution	15.31	66.58	15.53		97.43
Rank	3	1	2		

Table 7: ANOVA table for tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite

Factors	Wt.% of B ₄ C (A)	Ageing period (B)	Mesh-Size (C)	error	Total
Seq. SS	898.15	4388.65	480.2	21.59	5788.58
Adj. SS	898.15	4388.65	480.2	21.59	5788.58
Adj. MS	449.08	2194.33	240.1	10.79	2894.3
F - Value	41.61	203.32	22.25		
P - Value	0.023	0.005	0.043		
DF	2	2	2	2	8
% Contribution	15.51	75.81	8.29		99.63
Rank	2	1	3		

The percentage influence for hardness of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite is tabulated in **Table 6**, 66.58% for Ageing duration, 15.53% for mesh size, and 15.31% for wt. % of B₄C corresponds to P - P-value 0.143, which has meager influence on hardness. However, for the tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced

composite, the chief contribution is 75.81% for the ageing period, followed by 15.51% of wt.% of B₄C and 8.29% for mesh size, which are computed in **Table 7**.

3.6 Regression Analysis for BH Number and Tensile Strength of AW2024/B₄C Composite

Table 8 Assessment of experimental BH number and predicted BH number of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite using regression analysis.

Trails	Wt.% of B ₄ C (A)	Ageing duration - hrs. (B)	Mesh Size (C)	Expt. hardness (BHN) (X)	Predicted Hardness (BHN) = 66.5953 + 1.08 A + 3.27417 B - 0.03285 C (Y)	error (%)
A1	1	1	100	64.21	67.66	5.37
A2	1	3	200	70.64	70.93	0.39
A3	1	5	300	73.04	74.19	1.57
A4	3	1	200	67.81	66.54	1.87
A5	3	3	300	77.27	69.80	9.65
A6	3	5	100	83.97	82.92	1.23
A7	5	1	300	61.57	65.41	6.23
A8	5	3	100	83.41	78.53	5.83
A9	5	5	200	75.87	81.80	7.8
					Avg. Error =	4.43%

Table 9 Assessment of experimental tensile-strength and predicted tensile-strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite using regression analysis.

Trails	Wt.% of B ₄ C (A)	Ageing duration - hrs. (B)	Mesh Size (C)	Exp. Tensile strength (N/mm ²) (X)	Predicted Tensile strength (N/mm ²) = 194.139 + 6.08675 A + 13.4958 B - 0.0864517 C (Y)	Error (%)
A1	1	1	100	206.87	205.08	0.86
A2	1	3	200	220.6	223.42	1.27
A3	1	5	300	240.68	241.77	0.45
A4	3	1	200	205.65	208.60	1.43
A5	3	3	300	233.81	226.95	2.92
A6	3	5	100	271.57	271.23	0.12
A7	5	1	300	210.35	212.13	0.84
A8	5	3	100	258.271	256.41	0.71
A9	5	5	200	272.57	274.76	0.8
					Avg. Error =	1.04%

Predicted Hardness (BHN) = 66.5953 + 1.08 A + 3.27417 B - 0.03285 C.....(1)

Predicted Tensile strength (N/mm²) = 194.139 + 6.08675 A + 13.4958 B - 0.0864517 C.....(2)

The experimental hardness for AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite and tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite are validated by comparing with the predicted values from the regression equation. The percentage error for each trial is computed in the last column of **Tables 8 and 9**. It is seen that each experimental trial carried out as per the L₉ standard orthogonal

array reflects that the outcomes of experimental values are sound within the acceptable limit. The average percentage of error for hardness and tensile strength was seen to be 4.43% and 1.04%. Hence, experimental hardness test results and tensile strength results are validated. The plots of experimental test values and predicted test values for hardness and tensile strength are drawn in Figure 13 (a) and (b). Table 10 shows the summary of the model with the R-squared value.

3.7 Model Summary for Hardness and Tensile Strength AW2024/B₄C Composite

Table 10: Regression model summary

Parameter / Properties	Hardness	Tensile strength
S	2.52106	3.28523
R-Sq.	97.43%	99.63%
R-Sq. (adj.)	89.74%	98.51%




Fig. 13. (a) Graph of experimental hardness and predicted results of hardness for AW2024/B₄C composite.





Fig. 13. (b). Graph of experimental and predicted results of tensile strength for AW2024/B₄C composite.

3.7 Tensile Fracture Study of AW2024/B₄C Reinforced Composite Material.






Fig. 14. Tensile fracture SEM images for (a) AW2024/3 wt.% B₄C reinforced composite (100 mesh-size) (b) AW2024/3 wt.% B₄C reinforced composite (200 mesh-size) (c) AW2024/3 wt.% B₄C reinforced composite (300 mesh-size)

Tensile fracture test specimens of AW2024/3wt.% of B₄C composite for different mesh sizes are represented in the Figure. 14 (a-c). It was observed that voids and cracks were present for AW2024/3 wt.% B₄C reinforced composite for 100 mesh-size B₄C_p, which leads to lesser tensile strength and hardness. Related kinds of results were obtained for Al6061/1 wt.% of SiC/1 wt.% of B₄C hybrid composite [3]. Rough grain arrangement and tightly packed reinforcement were observed, which attributed to a slight increase in hardness when compared to unreinforced AW2024 alloy, as shown in Figure 14. (a). With the content of mesh-size of B₄C_p from 100 to 200 mesh size, the group of clusters was observed, which caused a greater number of grains with additional grain boundaries, which will restrict the flow of material plastically, leading to an increase in hardness and strength of the composite, as shown in Figure 14. (b). Further, an increase in mesh-size of B₄C reinforced particle from 200 to 300 mesh-size on soft AW2024 alloy exhibits a hard, dark dimple arrangement with tightly packed with the matrix alloy, leading to further enhancement of hardness and tensile-strength, causing ductile type of failure as represented in Figure 14. (c). The reason for the enhancement in hardness and higher tensile strength of the formed composite is due to the pre-heated B₄C reinforced particles, which were introduced during the casting process, reinforcement particle size, shape, effective stirring speed, and proper pouring temperature during production of the cast specimen.

4. Concluding Remarks

A complete examination has been done on AW2024 alloy armored with varying B₄C particles with 3 different mesh sizes, followed by heat treatment in 2 different stages. The following outcomes have been drawn:

- A high-quality casting process was successfully carried out by incorporating different mesh sizes of B₄C reinforced particles on soft AW2024 alloy by using stir stir-cast direction.
- With a gradual increase in Wt.% of B₄C_p in the soft AW2024 alloy, the tensile strength as well as hardness were found to increase by 47.89% and 47.38%, respectively, for 5 weight percentage of B₄C_p.
- Compared to the untreated composite, the heat-treated composite reveals potential improvement in hardness as well as the tensile-strength value, which is due to the strengthening mechanism during the heat-treatment process.
- Optimal process factors for hardness of AW2024/B₄C reinforced composite are 3 weight percentage of B₄C, 5 hours heat treatment and 100 mesh-size. However, for tensile strength, the optimal process factor is 5 wt.% of B₄C, 5 hours heat treatment, and 100 mesh size.
- The regression analysis equation shows average error was found to be 4.43% and 1.04% for hardness as well as tensile strength of AW2024/B₄C composite.
- Tensile fracture specimens show rough dimple arrangement, clustering of grains resulting ductile type of failure.

Conflicts of Interest from authors

The authors announce that they have no opposing interests that could have influenced this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank my parents for their constant support and encouragement.

Funding Declaration

Authors declare that no funding was received for this research work.

Aluminum Matrix Composite, Silicon, 13, 2003-2010.

References

- [1] V. C. Uvaraja and N. Natarajan., 2012. Optimization of Friction and Wear Behaviour in Hybrid Metal Matrix Composites Using Taguchi Technique, *J. of Minerals and Mater. Characterization and Eng. (JMMCE)*, 11, 757-768.
- [2] A. Baradeswaran, S.C. Vettivel, A. Elaya Perumal, N. Selvakumar and R. Franklin Issac., 2014. Experimental investigation on mechanical behaviour, modeling, and optimization of wear parameters of B₄C and graphite reinforced aluminium hybrid composites, *Mater. and Design*, Elsevier Ltd, 63, 620-632.
- [3] P. Subramanya Reddy, R. Kesavan and B. Vijaya Ramnath., 2017. Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Aluminum Alloy (Al2024) Reinforced with Silicon Carbide (SiC) Metal Matrix Composites, *Solid State Phe.*,10(3), 219-229.
- [4] Thella Babu Rao., 2017. An experimental investigation on mechanical and wear properties of Al7075/SiC_p composites: effect of SiC content and particle size, *J. of Tri*, 140(3), DOI:10.1115/1.4037845.0.
- [5] BalasubramaniSubramaniam, Balaji Natarajan, Balasubramanian Kaliyaperumal, and Samson Jerold Samuel Chelladurai., 2018. Investigation on mechanical properties of aluminium 7075 - boron carbide - coconut shell fly ash reinforced hybrid metal matrix composites, *Overseas Foundry*, 15, 449-456.
- [6] L. Natrayan and M. Senthil Kumar., 2019. Optimization of wear behaviour on AA6061/Al₂O₃/SiC metal matrix composite using squeeze casting technique – Statistical analysis, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 27, 306-310.
- [7] Abhijit Bhowmik, Dipankar Dey & Ajay Biswas., 2020. Comparative study of microstructure, physical and Mechanical Characterization of SiC/TiB₂ Reinforced Aluminum Matrix Composite, Silicon, 13, 2003-2010.
- [8] L. Bharath and Suneelkumar N. Kulkarni., 2022. Evaluation of UTS and compression strength of Al2024/B₄C composites by experimental method and validation through regression analysis, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 59, 25-30.
- [9] L. Bharath, M. Sreenivasa Reddy, H. N. Girisha, G. Balakumar., 2021. Influence of process parameters on tensile strength and hardness of AW2024/B₄C composite using Taguchi's technique, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 47, 2345-2350.
- [10] J. Kumaraswamy, Vijaya Kumar & G. Purushotham., 2021. Evaluation of the microstructure and thermal properties of (ASTM A 494 M grade) nickel alloy hybrid metal matrix composites processed by sand mold casting, *Int. J. of Ambient Energy*, 4899-4908, DOI: 10.1080/01430750.2021.1927836.
- [11] Basavaraj H Talikoti, H.N. Girisha and L. Bharath., 2021. Optimization of process parameter on yield strength and ductility on Al7075/SiC/Gr. Hybrid composite by using Taguchi's technique, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 47, 2370-2375.
- [12] Basavaraj H. Talikoti, H.N. Girisha and L. Bharath., 2022. Validation of hardness and tensile strength of Al-Mg alloy reinforced with silicon carbide and graphite hybrid composite by regression equation, *Mater. Today: Proc.*, 59, 562-567.
- [13] L Bharath, M Sreenivasa Reddy, H N Girisha and G Balakumar., 2020. Optimization of ductility and yield strength on Al2024/B₄C composite material using Taguchi Technique, *IOP Conf. Series: Mater. Sci. and Eng.*, 1055, DOI:10.1088/1757-899X/1055/1/012117.
- [14] M. Ravikumar, H N Reddappa, R Suresh, E R Babu & C R Nagaraja., 2022. Optimization of wear behaviour of Al7075/SiC/ Al₂O₃ MMCs Using statistical method, *Advances in Mater. and Proc. Tech.*, 4018-4035, DOI: 10.1080/2374068X.2022.2036583.

- [15] J Kumaraswamy, Anil K. C., Vidyasagar Shetty & C Shashishekar., 2023. Wear behaviour of the Ni-Cu alloy hybrid composites processed by sand mould casting, 351-367, Adv. in Mater. and Proc. Tech., DOI: 10.1080/2374068X.2022.2092684
- [16] Kumaraswamy Jayappa, Kyathasandra Chikkanna Anil and Zulfiqar A. Khan., 2023. Enhancing wear resistance in Al-7075 composites through conventional mixing and casting techniques, J. of Mater. Res. and Tech., 27, 7935-7945.
- [17] Mahmoud Esmaeil Zadeh, Laleh Ghalandari, Razieh Sani and Esmaeil Jafari., 2023. Microstructural Evaluation, Mechanical Properties, and Corrosion Behavior of the Al/Cu/Brass Multilayered Composite Produced by the ARB Process, Metals and Mater. Int., 30, 1123-1144.
- [18] Puli Cao, Chengbo Li, Daibo Zhu, Cai Zhao, Bo Xiao and XinMing Zhang., 2024. Heterogeneous Precipitation Behavior of 7085 Aluminum Alloy was Studied by High-Throughput Experiment Based on End-Quenching Technology, Metals and Mater. Int., 30, 1965-1976, DOI: 10.1007/s12540-024-01627-7.
- [19] L. Bharath, J. Kumaraswamy, T. V. Manjunath and SuneelKumar N. Kulkarni., 2024. Evaluation of microstructure and prediction of hardness of Al-Cu based composites by using artificial neural network and linear regression through machine learning technique” SPRINGER Multiscale and Multidisciplinary Modeling, Exp. and Des., DOI: 10.1007/s41939-024-00525-0.
- [20] Qingbo Wen, Zhaoju Yu, Ralf Riedel, Emanuel Ionescu., 2020. Single-source-precursor synthesis and high-temperature evolution of a boron-containing SiC/HfC ceramic nano/micro composite, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 41, 3002-3012, DOI: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2020.05.031.
- [21] Bernd Mainzer, Chaorong Lin, Martin Frie, Ralf Riedel, Johann Riesch, Alexander Feichtmayer, Maximilian Fuhr, Jurgen Almanstotter, Dietmar Koch., 2019. Novel ceramic matrix composites with tungsten and molybdenum fiber reinforcement, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 41, 3030-3036, DOI: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2019.10.049.