Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. In Press, 1–8 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2024.35153.5253



Existence of solution for a $\varphi(\chi)\text{-Kirchhoff}$ equation by Neumann condition

Abolfazl Sadeghi^a, Ghasem Alizadeh Afrouzi^{a,*}, Maryam Mirzapour^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran ^bDepartment of Mathematics Education, Farhangian University, P.O. Box 14665-889, Tehran, Iran

(Communicated by Mohammad Bagher Ghaemi)

Abstract

The present article deals with a variational method, named the Mountain Pass Theorem. We prove the existence of nontrivial weak solutions for the problem of the following form

$$\begin{cases} -(\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi) \Delta_{\varphi(\chi)} v + |v|^{\psi(\chi)-2} v = \lambda \ \eta(\chi, v) & \chi \in \Omega, \\ (\alpha - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi) |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \chi \in \ \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, $\Delta_{\varphi(\chi)} v$ is the $\varphi(\chi)$ -Laplacian operator, Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$, $\varphi(\chi), \psi(\chi) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 < \varphi(\chi) < N, \varphi(\chi) < \psi(\chi) < \varphi^*(\chi) := \frac{N\varphi(\chi)}{N - \varphi(\chi)}, \lambda > 0$ is a real parameter and $\eta(\chi, t) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}).$

Keywords: generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, weak solution, Mountain pass theorem 2020 MSC: 35J60, 35J20

1 Introduction

In this article, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -(\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi) \Delta_{\varphi(\chi)} v + |v|^{\psi(\chi)-2} v = \lambda \ \eta(\chi, v) \quad \chi \in \Omega, \\ (\alpha - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi) |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \chi \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, $\Delta_{\varphi(\chi)} \upsilon$ is the $\varphi(\chi)$ - Laplacian operator, defined as

$$\Delta_{\varphi(\chi)}\upsilon := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)-2}\nabla \upsilon) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (|\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)-2} \frac{\partial \upsilon}{\partial \chi_{i}})$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: sageghi31587@mail.com (Abolfazl Sadeghi), afrouzi@umz.ac.ir (Ghasem Alizadeh Afrouzi), m.mirzapour@cfu.ac.ir (Maryam Mirzapour)

 Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\varphi(\chi), \psi(\chi) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 < \varphi(\chi) < N$, $\varphi(\chi) < \psi(\chi) < \varphi^*(\chi) := \frac{N\varphi(\chi)}{N - \varphi(\chi)}$, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter. We define φ_i and φ_s for convenience as follows: $\varphi_i := \varphi^- = \inf_{\Omega} \varphi(\chi)$ and $\varphi_s := \varphi^+ = \sup_{\Omega} \varphi(\chi)$, for all $\varphi(\chi) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\eta(\chi, t) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ that, the function η has mentioned in the following sextet conditions.

- $\begin{aligned} (\eta_1): & |\eta(\chi,t)| \le c(1+|t|^{r(\chi)-1}), \quad \forall (\chi,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \text{ where } c > 0 \text{ and} \\ \varphi(\chi) < r(\chi) < \varphi^*(\chi), \\ (\eta_2): & \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\eta(\chi,t)}{|t|^{\varphi(\chi)-2}t} = 0, \end{aligned}$
- $(\eta_3): \text{ there exist } \theta \in \left(\psi_s, \frac{2\varphi_l^2}{\varphi_s}\right) \text{ and } T > 0 \text{ so that } 0 < \theta \hbar(\chi, t) \leq t \eta(\chi, t) \text{ for all } |t| \geq T, \chi \in \Omega \text{ where } \hbar(\chi, t) = \int_0^t \eta(\chi, s) ds.$

In addition to the conditions that, given for η , the functions $\varphi(\chi), \psi(\chi), r(\chi)$ must apply to the following condition, which we call the $(\varphi\psi r)_c$ -condition:

$$c < \varphi_{\iota} < \varphi(\chi) < \varphi_s < \psi_{\iota} < \psi(\chi) < \psi_s < 2\varphi_{\iota} < r_{\iota} < r(\chi) < r_s < \varphi^*(\chi),$$

in the above condition, c = 1 or c = 2.

Kirchhoff [29] studied an equation in 1883, marking a turning point in the emergence of a branch of differential problems known as Kirchhoff-type equations. These equations are used in vibrational physics[28, 31], elastic mechanics [42], and electrorheological fluids [1].

In recent years, the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ has been very attractive and many writers and researchers entered the field [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 17, 18, 22]. Working on problems in such spaces due to their inhomogeneity and nonlinearity has always been related to difficulties, which have made work in this field more attractive (see [11, 13, 26]). One of the instructive and very useful theorems in solving such problems is the Sobolev embedding [20].

In the way of proving our theorem, as well as inequalities, and other useful theorems such as Hölder and Poincaré's inequality, will benefit from this theorem very useful. The Sobolev embedding theorem has greatly blurred the dividing borders of Sobolev spaces and L^p spaces.

Recently, nonlocal problems have been a very interesting subject. The interest in p(x)-Kirchhoff type problems arises from their ability to model a wide range of physical phenomena where nonlocal effects and heterogeneous media are involved (see [3, 8, 9, 10, 16, 19, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41]). These problems are particularly relevant in areas such as elasticity theory, quantum mechanics, and fluid dynamics, where the properties of the material or medium may change from point to point. In the present work, we generally bring up a Kirchhoff problem containing the $\varphi(\chi)$ -Laplacian operator, which contains the expression ($\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p d\chi$).

Now we state the main result:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $(\varphi \psi r)_1$ -condition and $(\eta_1) - (\eta_3)$ hold, so our problem has at least a nontrivial weak solution, for each $\lambda > 0$.

2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we recall some important definitions and essential characteristics of the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open set. In this regard, we refer the readers to the book of Musielak [33] and the papers [15, 14, 35]. Set $C_+(\bar{\Omega}) := \{h : h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(\chi) > 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in \bar{\Omega}\}$. For each $\varphi(\chi) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ denote

$$L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega) = \left\{ \upsilon : \text{a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |\upsilon(\chi)|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi < \infty \right\}$$

which is the definition of variable exponent Lebesgue space, that by mentioned the norm (so-called Luxemburg norm) are reflexive and separable Banach spaces

$$\|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{v(\chi)}{\mu} \right|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \le 1 \right\}.$$

These spaces are similar to classical Lebesgue spaces in many aspects:

a) if $0 < |\Omega| < \infty$ and $\varphi_1(\chi), \varphi_2(\chi)$ are variable exponents so that $\varphi_1(\chi) \le \varphi_2(\chi)$ a.e. $\chi \in \Omega$ then there is a continuous embedding

$$L^{\varphi_2(\chi)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\varphi_1(\chi)}(\Omega),$$

b) the Hölder inequality holds, if $L^{\varphi'(\chi)}(\Omega)$ is a conjugate of $L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} + \frac{1}{\varphi'(\chi)} = 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} vv \, d\chi \right| \le \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_{\iota}} + \frac{1}{\varphi_{i}'} \right) \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} \|v\|_{\varphi'(\chi)}, \quad \forall v \in L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega), \ \forall v \in L^{\varphi'(\chi)}(\Omega).$$

Modular plays an essential role in manipulating the $L^{\varphi(\chi)}$ spaces and defined by the following relation, $\rho_{\varphi(\chi)} : L^{\varphi(\chi)} \to \mathbb{R};$

$$\rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(\upsilon) = \int_{\Omega} |\upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi$$

Proposition 2.1 ([21]). If $v, v_n \in L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi_s < +\infty$, then the following relations hold

- 1. $\|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}^{\varphi_l} < \rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(v) \le \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}^{\varphi_s};$
- 2. $\|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}^{\varphi_s} < \rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(v) \le \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}^{\varphi_l};$
- $3. \ \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} < 1 (respectively, = 1; > 1) \iff \rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(v) < 1 (respectively, = 1; > 1);$
- 4. $||v_n||_{\varphi(\chi)} \to 0$ (respectively, $\to +\infty$) $\iff \rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(v) = 0$ (respectively, $\to +\infty$);
- 5. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v_n v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} = 0 \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_{\varphi(\chi)}(v_n v) = 0;$ 6. For $v \neq 0$, $\|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} = \lambda \iff \rho\left(\frac{v}{\lambda}\right) = 1.$

Definition 2.2 ([20]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The Sobolev space with variable exponent $W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega) := \{ v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : v \in L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega), |\nabla v| \in L^{\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega) \},\$$

endowed with the following norm

$$|||v||| := \|v\|_{W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)} = \|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} + \|\nabla v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}$$

or equivalently

$$||v||| = \inf\left\{\mu > 0, \int_{\Omega} \left(|\frac{v}{\mu}|^{\varphi(\chi)} + |\frac{\nabla v}{\mu}|^{\varphi(\chi)} \right) d\chi \le 1 \right\}.$$

Denote by $W_0^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$. As we know, $\|\nabla v(\chi)\|_{\varphi(\chi)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_0^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.3 (Poincare inequality [20]). There exists a positive constant c so that

$$\|v\|_{\varphi(\chi)} \le c \|\nabla v\|_{\varphi(\chi)}, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega).$$

$$(2.1)$$

Proposition 2.4 (Sobolev embedding [20]). If $\varphi(\chi), \psi(\chi) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $1 \leq \psi(\chi) \leq \varphi^*(\chi)$ for each $\chi \in \overline{\Omega}$, then there exists a continuous embedding

$$W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\psi(\chi)}(\Omega).$$
 (2.2)

If $1 < \psi(\chi) < \varphi^*(\chi)$, the continuous embedding is compact.

In the sequel, we denote by $X = W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega); X^* = (W^{1,\varphi(\chi)}(\Omega))^*$, the dual space; $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the dual pair.

Proposition 2.5 ([20]). Let

$$J(\upsilon) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi, \quad \forall \upsilon \in X,$$

then $J(v) \in C^1(X, R)$ and the derivative operator J' of J is

$$\langle J'(\upsilon),\vartheta\rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)-2} \nabla \upsilon \nabla \vartheta d\chi, \ \forall \upsilon,\vartheta \in X,$$

and the following relations hold:

- 1. J is a convex functional.
- 2. $J': X \to X^*$ is a strictly monotone operator and bounded homeomorphism.
- 3. J' is a mapping of type (S_+) , it means, $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ (weakly) and $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup \langle J'(v), v_n v \rangle \leq 0$, imply $v_n \rightarrow v$ (strongly) in X.

Definition 2.6. $v \in X$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1), if

$$\begin{split} \left(\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi) - 2} \nabla v \nabla \vartheta d\chi + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\psi(\chi) - 2} v \vartheta d\chi \\ &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi, v) \vartheta d\chi, \quad \forall \vartheta \in X. \end{split}$$

The energy functional related to our problem, $J_{\lambda}: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J_{\lambda}(\upsilon) = \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla \upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\psi(\chi)} |\upsilon|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \hbar(\chi, \upsilon) d\chi, \quad \forall \upsilon \in X, \quad (2.3)$$

which is also well defind and of class C^1 in (X, \mathbb{R}) .

Now we define J'_{λ} as the derivative operator of J_{λ} in the weak sense, by the following formula,

$$\langle J_{\lambda}'(v),\vartheta\rangle = (\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)-2} \nabla v \nabla \vartheta d\chi + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{\psi(\chi)-2} v \vartheta d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi,v) \vartheta d\chi, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $v, \vartheta \in X$. A critical point of J_{λ} is clearly a weak solution of our problem.

Definition 2.7. If $J_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, J_{λ} ensures the Palais-Smale condition at level c (($\mathcal{PS})_{c}$ in short), if for each $\{v_{n}\} \subset X$ satisfying $J_{\lambda}(v_{n}) \to c$ and $J'_{\lambda}(v_{n}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ has a convergent subsequence.

3 Proof of main results

c

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that the conditions $(\eta_1) - (\eta_3)$ are true, then the functional J_{λ} holds in the $(\mathcal{PS})_c$ condition.

Proof. First, we consider the boundary condition for $\{v_n\}$, let $\{v_n\} \subset X$ be a $(\mathcal{PS})_c$ sequence related to the J_{λ} , so that,

$$J_{\lambda}(v_n) \to c \text{ and } J'_{\lambda}(v_n) \to 0, \ as \ n \to \infty.$$
 (3.1)

From (3.1) and (η_3) , we have for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} + |||v_{n}||| &\geq \theta J_{\lambda}(v_{n}) - \langle J_{\lambda}'(v_{n}), v_{n} \rangle \\ &\geq \theta \left(\alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^{2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\psi(\chi)} |v_{n}|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \hbar(\chi, v_{n}) d\chi \right) \\ &- \left(\left[\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right] \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi + \int_{\Omega} |v_{n}|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi, v_{n}) v_{n} d\chi \right) \\ &\geq \alpha \left(\frac{\theta}{\varphi_{s}} - 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi + \beta \left(\frac{-\theta}{2\varphi_{i}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\varphi_{s}} \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{n}|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\theta}{\psi_{s}} - 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} |v_{n}|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - c_{1} \lambda \int_{\Omega} d\chi \end{aligned}$$

By Poincare inequality, we have

$$c+|||v_n||| \ge \alpha \left(\frac{\theta}{\varphi_s}-1\right)|||v_n|||^{\varphi_l}+\beta \left(\frac{-\theta}{2\varphi_l^2}+\frac{1}{\varphi_s}\right)|||v_n|||^{2\varphi_l}-c_1\lambda|\Omega|.$$

By dividing the previous inequality sides on the positive value $|||v_n|||^{\varphi_l}$ and considering (η_3) and since $\varphi_l < 2\varphi_l$, we can infer that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in X, then

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } X, \tag{3.2}$$

by Sobolev embedding (2.2), we have

$$X \hookrightarrow L^{s(\chi)}(\Omega), \text{ for } 1 \le s(\chi) < \varphi^*(\chi),$$

$$(3.3)$$

is compact. From (3.2) and (3.3) we can infer that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v$$
 in $X, v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^{s(\chi)}(\Omega), v_n(\chi) \rightarrow v(\chi)$, a.e. in Ω . (3.4)

By Hölder's inequality and (3.4) we have

$$|\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{\psi(\chi)-2} v_n(v_n-v) d\chi| \le \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{\psi(\chi)-1} |v_n-v| d\chi \le \||v_n|^{\psi(\chi)-1}\|_{\frac{\psi(\chi)}{\psi(\chi)-1}} \|v_n-v\|_{\psi(\chi)} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

 ${\rm thus}$

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{\psi(\chi) - 2} v_n(v_n - v) d\chi \to 0, \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$
(3.5)

By (η_1) and (η_2) , we have that for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ so that

$$|\eta(\chi, v_n)| \le \varepsilon |v_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 1} + c_\varepsilon |v_n|^{r(\chi) - 1}.$$
(3.6)

By Sobolev embedding (2.2) and Hölder's inequality and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi, \upsilon_n)(\upsilon_n - \upsilon) d\chi \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon |\upsilon_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 1} |\upsilon_n - \upsilon| + c_{\varepsilon} |\upsilon_n|^{r(\chi) - 1} |\upsilon_n - \upsilon|) d\chi \\ &\leq \varepsilon \| |\upsilon_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 1} \|_{\frac{\varphi(\chi)}{\varphi(\chi) - 1}} \|\upsilon_n - \upsilon\|_{\varphi(\chi)} + c_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \| |\upsilon_n|^{r(\chi) - 1} \|_{\frac{r(\chi)}{r(\chi) - 1}} \|\upsilon_n - \upsilon\|_{r(\chi)} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi, \upsilon_n)(\upsilon_n - \upsilon) d\chi \to 0, \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
(3.7)

From (3.1) we have $\langle J'_{\lambda}(v_n), v_n - v \rangle \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, so we can infer that

$$\left(\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 2} \nabla v_n (\nabla v_n - \nabla v) d\chi + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{\psi(\chi) - 2} v_n (v_n - v) d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \eta(\chi, v_n) (v_n - v) d\chi \to 0.$$
(3.8)

From (3.5) and (3.7) and (3.8), we can write

$$\left(\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 2} \nabla v_n (\nabla v_n - \nabla v) d\chi \to 0, \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$
(3.9)

Since $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in X, therefore, it is necessary for the following positive sequence to converge to a non-negative value such as v_p , which means,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \to v_p \ge 0, \quad as \ n \to \infty.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [23], we have the sequence $\left\{\alpha - \beta \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi\right\}$ is bounded, when n is large enough, so, it follows from (3.9) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{\varphi(\chi) - 2} \nabla v_n (\nabla v_n - \nabla v) d\chi \to 0$$

So by the (S_+) property (see lemma 2.5), $|||v_n||| \to |||v|||$ strongly in X, that means J_{λ} ensures the $(\mathcal{PS})_c$ -condition. \Box

Lemma 3.2. Assume that η ensures $(\eta_1) - (\eta_3)$, then the functional J_{λ} ensures the Mountain pass geometry, it means,

- (A) there exist a > 0 and R > 0 such that $J_{\lambda}(v) \ge a > 0$, for each $v \in X$ so that |||v||| = R.
- (B) there exists $e \in X$ with |||e||| > R such that $J_{\lambda}(e) < 0$.

Proof. By conditions (η_1) and (η_2) , we can infer that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that

$$|\hbar(\chi,\upsilon)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\varphi(\chi)} |\upsilon|^{\varphi(\chi)} + \frac{c_{\varepsilon}}{r(\chi)} |\upsilon|^{r(\chi)}.$$
(3.10)

Let $v \in X$ be such that $|||v||| = R \in (0, 1)$. Using (2.3), (3.10), Poincare inequality and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{split} J_{\lambda}(v) &= \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^{2} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\psi(\chi)} |v|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \hbar(\chi, v) d\chi \\ &\geq \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^{2} - \lambda \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{\varphi(\chi)}}{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda c_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^{r(\chi)}}{r(\chi)} d\chi \\ &\geq \left(\alpha - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{c_{1}} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |\nabla v|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^{2} - \frac{\lambda c_{2} c_{\varepsilon}}{r_{l}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{r(\chi)} d\chi \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varphi_{s}} \left(\alpha - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{c_{1}} \right) \rho_{\varphi(\chi)} (\nabla v) - \frac{\beta}{2 \varphi_{l}^{2}} (\rho_{\varphi(\chi)} (\nabla v))^{2} - \frac{\lambda c_{2} c_{\varepsilon}}{r_{l}} \rho_{r(\chi)} (\nabla v) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\varphi_{s}} \left(\alpha - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{c_{1}} \right) ||v|||^{\varphi_{s}} - \frac{\beta}{2 \varphi_{l}^{2}} |||v|||^{2\varphi_{l}} - \frac{\lambda c_{2} c_{\varepsilon}}{r_{l}} ||v|||^{r_{l}}, \end{split}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are Sobolev embedding constants. Now, let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha c_1$. Considering $(\varphi \psi r)_c$ -condition, we deduce that

$$J_{\lambda}(v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_s}(\alpha - \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{c_1}) - \frac{\beta}{2\varphi_l^2}|||v|||^{2\varphi_l - \varphi_s} - \frac{\lambda c_2 c_{\varepsilon}}{r_l}|||v|||^{r_l - \varphi_s}\right)|||v|||^{\varphi_s}.$$

By selecting R adequately small (i.e. R is such that $\frac{1}{\varphi_s}(\alpha - \frac{\lambda\varepsilon}{c_1}) - \frac{\beta}{2\varphi_l^2}R^{2\varphi_l - \varphi_s} - \frac{\lambda c_2 c_{\varepsilon}}{r_l}R^{r_l - \varphi_s} > 0$), so that

$$J_{\lambda}(\upsilon) \geq R^{\varphi_s} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_s} (\alpha - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{c_1}) - \frac{\beta}{2\varphi_l^2} R^{2\varphi_l - \varphi_s} - \frac{\lambda c_2 c_{\varepsilon}}{r_l} R^{r_l - \varphi_s} \right) =: a > 0.$$

Therefore there is a > 0 so that for each $v \in X$ with |||v||| = R we have $J_{\lambda}(v) \ge a > 0$. Also, by (η_3) , we can infer that

$$\forall T > 0, \ \exists c_T > 0; \ \hbar(\chi, \upsilon) \ge T |\upsilon|^{\theta} - c_T, \quad \forall (\chi, \upsilon) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$
(3.11)

Let $\vartheta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), \vartheta > 0$ and m > 1. By (3.11) we have

$$\begin{split} J_{\lambda}(m\vartheta) &= \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |m\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |m\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\psi(\chi)} |m\vartheta|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \hbar(x,m\vartheta) d\chi \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |m\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varphi(\chi)} |m\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\psi(\chi)} |m\vartheta|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} (T|m\vartheta|^{\theta} - c_T) d\chi, \\ &\leq \frac{am^{\varphi_s}}{\varphi_l} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi - \frac{bm^{2\varphi_l}}{2\varphi_s^2} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\vartheta|^{\varphi(\chi)} d\chi \right)^2 + \frac{m^{\psi_s}}{\psi_l} \int_{\Omega} |\vartheta|^{\psi(\chi)} d\chi - \lambda T m^{\theta} \int_{\Omega} |\vartheta|^{\theta} d\chi + c_T |\Omega|. \end{split}$$

Since $\varphi_s < \psi_s < \theta$, we obtain $J_{\lambda}(m\vartheta) \to -\infty$ as $m \to +\infty$, then for m > 1 large enough, by selecting $e = m\vartheta$ so that ||e|| > R and $J_{\lambda}(e) < 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and J_{λ} ensures, $J_{\lambda}(0) = 0$ and the Mountain pass theorem (see [37]), thus our problem has at least a nontrivial weak solution. \Box

References

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates for the p(x)-Laplacean system, J. reine angew. Math. **584** (2005), 117–148.
- [2] G. A. Afrouzi and M. Mirzapour, *Eigenvalue problems for* p(x)-*Kirchhoff type equations*, Electronic J. Differ. Equ. **253** (2013), 1–10.
- [3] G.A. Afrouzi and M. Mirzapour, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonlocal $\vec{p}(x)$ -Laplacian problem, Taiwanese J. Math. 18 (2014), no. 1, 219–236.
- [4] G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, and N.T. Chung, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a p(x)-Kirchhoff type equation, Rendi. Semi. Mate. Univ. Padova **136** (2016), 95–109.
- [5] G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, and V.D. Rădulescu, Qualitative analysis of solutions for a class of anisotropic elliptic equations with variable exponent, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 59 (2016), no. 3, 541–557.
- [6] G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, and V.D. Radulescu, Variational analysis of anisotropic Schrödinger equations without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz-type condition, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 69 (2018), no. 9, 1–17.
- [7] M. Alimohammady and F. Fattahi, Existence of solutions to hemivariational inequalities involving the p(x)biharmonic operator, Electronic J. Differ. Equ. **2015** (2015), no. 79, 1–12.
- [8] A. Ambrosetti and P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), no. 4, 349–381.
- [9] M. Avci, On a nonlocal problem involving the generalized anisotropic p(·)-Laplace operator, Ann. Univ. Craiova-Math. Comput. Sci. Ser. 43 (2016), no. 2, 259–272.
- [10] M. Avci, R.A. Ayazoglu, and B. Cekic, Solutions of an anisotropic nonlocal problem involving variable exponent, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 2 (2013), no. 3, 325–338.
- [11] A. Bensedik, On existence results for an anisotropic elliptic equation of Kirchhoff-type by a monotonicity method, Funk. Ekvacioj 57 (2014), no. 3, 489–502.
- [12] J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, Existence of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian problems on a bounded domain, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **306** (2005), no. 2, 604–618.
- [13] B. Cheng, A new result on multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for the nonhomogenous Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type problem in \mathbb{R}^N , Mediterr. J. Math. **13** (2016), no. 3, 1099–1116.
- [14] N. Chung, Multiplicity results for a class of p(x)-Kirchhoff type equations with combined nonlinearities, Electronic J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 2012 (2012), no. 42, 1–13.
- [15] N.T. Chung, Multiple solutions for a p(x)-Kirchhoff-type equation with sign-changing nonlinearities, Complex Variabl. Elliptic Equ. 58 (2013), no. 12, 1637–1646.
- [16] D.G. Costa and O.H. Miyagaki, Nontrivial solutions for perturbations of the p-Laplacian on unbounded domains, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 193 (1995), no. 3, 737–755.
- [17] G. Dai and R. Hao, Existence of solutions for a p(x)-Kirchhoff-type equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **359** (2009), no. 1, 275–284.
- [18] G. Dai and R. Ma, Solutions for a p(x)-Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary data, Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl. **12** (2011), no. 5, 2666-2680.
- [19] M. Dreher, The Kirchhoff equation for the p-Laplacian, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 64 (2006), no. 2, 217–238.
- [20] X. Fan, On nonlocal p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problems, Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Meth. Appl. 72 (2010), no. 7-8, 3314–3323.

- [21] X.L. Fan and Q.H. Zhang, Existence of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problem, Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Meth. Appl. **52** (2003), no. 8, 1843–1852.
- [22] W. Guo, J. Yang, and J. Zhang, Existence results of nontrivial solutions for a new p(x)-biharmonic problem with weight function, AIMS Math. 7 (2022), no. 5, 8491–8509.
- [23] M.K. Hamdani, A. Harrabi, F. Mtiri, and D.D. Repovs, *Existence and multiplicity results for a new* p(x)-Kirchhoff type problem, Nonlinear Anal. **190** (2020), 111598.
- [24] S. Heidarkhani, Infinitely many solutions for systems of two-point Kirchhoff-type boundary value problems, Ann. Polonici Math. 107 (2013), no.2, 133–152.
- [25] S. Heidarkhani, J. Henderson, Infinitely many solutions for nonlocal elliptic, Electronic J. Differ. Equ. 2012 (2012), no. 69, 1–15.
- [26] S. Heidarkhani, S. Khademloo, and A. Solimaninia, Multiple solutions for a perturbed fourth-order Kirchhoff type elliptic problem, Portug. Math. 71 (2014), no. 1, 39–61.
- [27] Y. Huang, Z. Liu, and Y. Wu, On a biharmonic equation with steep potential well and indefinite potential, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16 (2016), no. 4, 699–717.
- [28] N. Kikuchi and J.T. Oden, Contact Problems in Elasticity: A Study of Variational Inequalities and Finite Element Methods, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1988.
- [29] G. Kirchhoff and K. Hensel, Vorlesungen über mathematische Physik, vol. 1, Druck und Verlag von BG Teubner, 1883.
- [30] S. Liang, H. Pu, and V.D. Rădulescu, High perturbations of critical fractional Kirchhoff equations with logarithmic nonlinearity, Appl. Math. Lett. 116 (2021), 107027.
- [31] J.L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, Vol. 30, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 1978, pp. 284–346.
- [32] M. Mirzapour, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Neumann boundary value problems involving nonlocal p(x)-Laplacian equations, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 14 (2023), no. 8, 237–247.
- [33] J. Musielak, Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces, Lecture notes in Mathematics, vol. 1034, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983.
- [34] P. Pucci, M. Xiang, and B. Zhang, Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p-Laplacian in R^N, Cal. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 54 (2015), no. 3, 2785–2806.
- [35] S. Samko, E. Shargorodsky, and B. Vakulov, Weighted Sobolev theorem with variable exponent for spatial and spherical potential operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 325 (2007), no. 1, 745–751.
- [36] X.H. Tang, Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), no. 1, 407-415.
- [37] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, vol. 24, Springer Science & Business Media, 1997.
- [38] M. Xiang, B. Zhang, and M. Ferrara, Existence of solutions for Kirchhoff type problem involving the non-local fractional p-Laplacian, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 424 (2015), no. 2, 1021–1041.
- [39] Q.L. Xie, X.P. Wu, and C.L. Tang, Existence of solutions for Kirchhoff type equations, Electronic J. Differ. Equ. 2015 (2015), no. 47, 1–8.
- [40] A. Zang, p(x)-Laplacian equations satisfying Cerami condition, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **337** (2008), no. 1, 547–555.
- [41] Z. Zhang and Y. Song, High perturbations of a new Kirchhoff problem involving the p-Laplace operator, Boundary Value Prob. 2021 (2021), no. 1, 1–12.
- [42] V.V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, Math. USSR-Izvestiya 29 (1987), no. 1, 33–66.