Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2022) No. 1, 57-73 ISSN: 2008-6822 (electronic) http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2020.20205.2136



Ulam's stability of impulsive sequential coupled system of mixed order derivatives

Akbar Zada^a, Sadeeq Alam^a, Usman Riaz^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Peshawar, 25000, Pakistan

(Communicated by Hamid Khodaei)

Abstract

This manuscript is devoted to establishing Hyers–Ulam stability for a class of non-linear impulsive coupled sequential fractional differential equations with multi point boundary conditions on a closed interval [0,T] with Caputo fractional derivative having non-instantaneous impulses. Sufficient conditions are introduced that guarantee the existence of a unique solution to the proposed problem. Furthermore, Hyers–Ulam stability of the proposed model is also presented and an example is provided to authenticate the theoretical results.

Keywords: Caputo fractional derivative, boundary conditions, fixed point theorem, Hyers–Ulam stability 2010 MSC: 26A33, 34A08, 34B27

2010 MSC: 26A33, 34A08, 34B27.

1. Introduction

Fractional order derivatives are the generalized forms of integer order derivatives. The idea about the fractional order derivative was introduced at the end of sixteenth century (1695) when Leibniz used the notation $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}$ for n^{th} order derivative. By writing a letter to him, L'Hospital asked what we can say about $n = \frac{1}{2}$? Leibniz answered in such words, "An apparent Paradox, a day will come to get benefits of this notion" and this question becomes the foundation of fractional calculus. In that time many mathematicians like Fourier and Laplace contributed in the development of fractional calculus. After that when Riemann and Liouville introduced Riemann-Liouville derivative which is a fundamental concept in fractional calculus, then fractional calculus became the most interested area for researchers. Fractional order derivative is global operator, which is used as a tool for modeling

^{*}Corresponding author

Email addresses: akbarzada@uop.edu.pk (Akbar Zada), sadeeq_alam@uop.edu.pk (Sadeeq Alam), uroaz513@gmail.com, usmanriazstd15@uop.edu.pk (Usman Riaz)

different processes and physical phenomenon like mathematical biology [15], electro-chemistry [12], control theory [22], dynamical process [19], image and signal processing [17] etc. For more applications of fractional order differential equations, we refer the reader to [1, 8, 14, 16, 25, 27, 28, 34].

The most preferable research area in the field of Fractional Differential Equations (FDE's) which received great attention from the researchers is the theory regarding the existence of solutions. Many researchers developed some interesting results about the existence of solutions of different boundary value problems (BVP's), using different the approach of fixed point [2, 5, 7, 18]. From the literature, it has been observed that most of the time, the exact solution of nonlinear differential equations is a tough job, in such situation different approximation techniques were introduced. The difference between exact and approximate solutions is now a days dealing with the help of Hyers–Ulam (HU) type stabilities, which was first initiated in 1940 by Ulam [20] and then extended by Hyers in the next year, in the context of Banach spaces. Many researchers investigated HU type stabilities for different problems with different approaches, [3, 6, 11, 13, 23, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35].

Wang et al. [24], investigated the existence and HU stability of solutions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}p(\mathsf{t}) - \mathcal{F}_{1}(\mathsf{t})p(\mathsf{t}) = f(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})), \quad \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}, \mathsf{t} \neq \mathsf{t}_{k}, \\ {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}p(\mathsf{t}) - \mathcal{F}_{2}(\mathsf{t})q(\mathsf{t}) = g(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})), \quad \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathsf{t} \neq \mathsf{t}_{k}, \\ \Delta p(\mathsf{t})|_{\mathsf{t}=\mathsf{t}_{k}} = I_{k}(p(\mathsf{t})), \quad \Delta q(\mathsf{t})|_{\mathsf{t}=\mathsf{t}_{k}} = I_{k}(q(\mathsf{t})), \\ p(\mathsf{t})|_{\mathsf{t}=\mathsf{t}_{k}} + \phi(p) = p_{0}, \quad q(\mathsf{t})|_{\mathsf{t}=\mathsf{t}_{k}} + \varphi(q) = q_{0}, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}$, ${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ denotes the Caputo derivative of order α and β . Influenced by the above discussion, in this article, we present existence and stability analysis of sequential coupled FDE with non-instantaneous impulses of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{D}+\lambda)p(\mathsf{t}) = f(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})), \quad \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{t}_{k}, s_{k}], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{D}+\mu)q(\mathsf{t}) = g(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})), \quad \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{t}_{k}, s_{k}], \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ p(\mathsf{t}) = \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t})), \quad q(\mathsf{t}) = \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathsf{t}, q(\mathsf{t})), \quad \mathsf{t} \in (s_{k-1}, \mathsf{t}_{k}], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p(0) = 0, \quad p(s_{k}) = 0, \quad q(0) = 0, \quad q(s_{k}) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where ${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}$, ${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}$ and \mathfrak{D} denotes Caputo derivatives of order α , β and ordinary derivative, respectively. $0 = \mathbf{t}_{0} < s_{0} < \mathbf{t}_{1} < s_{1} < \cdots < \mathbf{t}_{m} < s_{m} = T$ for a pre-fixed number T > 0 and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{R}_{+}$. The nonlinear continuous functions are defined as; $f, g : [0, T] \times \mathcal{R}^{2} \to \mathcal{R} \ \forall \mathbf{t} \in [0, T] = \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k}, \mathcal{N}_{k} : (s_{k-1}, \mathbf{t}_{k}] \times \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ are non-instantaneous impulses such that $\mathcal{M}_{k}, \mathcal{N}_{k}$ are continuous for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

The rest of the paper have the pattern as: Section 2 is devoted basic notions. In Section 3, the solution of the proposed system is investigated. HU stability is analyzed in Section 4. Finally, an example is provided in section 5.

2. Preliminaries and Notions

Here we present the basic notations. Endowing the norms as $||p||_{\mathbf{E}_1} = \sup \{|p(\mathbf{t})| \text{ for all } \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{J}\}$ and $||q||_{\mathbf{E}_2} = \sup \{|q(\mathbf{t})| \text{ for all } \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{J}\}$, where \mathbf{E}_1 and \mathbf{E}_2 are Banach spaces, respectively. Their product $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1 \times \mathbf{E}_2$ is also Banach space with norm $||(p,q)||_{\mathbf{E}} = ||p||_{\mathbf{E}_1} + ||q||_{\mathbf{E}_2}$.

Let $\mathcal{PC}[\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E}]$ denotes the space of piecewise continuous functions define as

$$\mathcal{PC}[\mathcal{J},\mathbf{E}] = \{f: \mathcal{J} imes \mathbf{E}
ightarrow \mathcal{R}_+, \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}\}$$

with norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{PC}} = \sup\{|f(\mathsf{t})|, \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

We recall the following definitions from [26].

Definition 2.1. [9] The fractional order integral of order $\alpha > 0$ for a function $p \in L^1([0,T], \mathcal{R}_+)$ in the sense of Caputo, where the lower limit is zero is defined by

$$\Im^{\alpha} p(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\mathsf{t}} (\mathsf{t} - s)^{\alpha - 1} p(s) ds \quad \mathsf{t} > 0,$$

provided that the integral on right side exists, where Γ is Euler Gamma function defined as

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \mathsf{t}^{\alpha-1} e^{-\mathsf{t}} d\mathsf{t}$$

Definition 2.2. [9] The fractional order derivative of order $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+$ in the sense of Caputo for a function $p: [0,T] \to \mathcal{R}$ is defined as

$${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}p(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} (\mathsf{t}-s)^{n-\alpha-1} p^{n}(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α .

Definition 2.3. [10] The sequential fractional order derivative for a function p is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha} p(\mathsf{t}) = \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha_2} \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha_3} \dots \mathfrak{D}^{\alpha_m} p(\mathsf{t}),$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_m)$ is any multi-index and the operator \mathfrak{D}^{α} can either be Caputo or Riemann-Liouville or any other kind of integro-differential operator.

Lemma 2.4. [9] For any $\alpha > 0$, the solution of Caputo fractional differential equation ${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}u(t) = 0$ is of the form

$$u(\mathbf{t}) = a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{t} + a_2 \mathbf{t}^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} \mathbf{t}^{n-1},$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Lemma 2.5. [9] For any $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\alpha}(^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}u(\mathsf{t})) = u(\mathsf{t}) + a_{0} + a_{1}\mathsf{t} + a_{2}\mathsf{t}^{2} + \dots + a_{n-1}\mathsf{t}^{n-1},$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{R}, \{i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1\}$ and $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Theorem 2.6. (Altman [4])

Let $\mathcal{B}_r \neq \emptyset$ be closed convex subset of Banach space \mathbf{E} . Consider \mathbb{F} , \mathbb{G} be two operators such that

- $\mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(\widetilde{p},\widetilde{q}) \in \mathcal{B}_r$, where $(p,q), (\widetilde{p},\widetilde{q}) \in \mathcal{B}_r$.
- The operator \mathbb{F} is contractive.

• The operator G is completely continuous.

Then the equation $(p,q) = \mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(p,q), (p,q) \in \mathbf{E}$ has a solution $(p,q) \in \mathcal{B}_r$.

Definition 2.7. (Urs [21]) The coupled impulsive FDE (1.1) is said to be HU stable if there exist $\mathcal{V}_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4) > 0$ such that, for $\wp_i(i = 1, 2) > 0$ and for every solution $(\overline{p}, \overline{q}) \in \mathbf{E}$ of the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &|^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{D}+\lambda)\overline{p}(\mathsf{t}) - f(\mathsf{t},\overline{p}(\mathsf{t}),\overline{q}(\mathsf{t}))| \leq \wp_{1}, \quad \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{t}_{k},s_{k}], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ &|\overline{p}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t},\overline{p}(\mathsf{t}))| \leq \wp_{1}, \quad \mathsf{t} \in (s_{k-1},\mathsf{t}_{k}], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ &|^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{D}+\mu)\overline{q}(\mathsf{t}) - g(\mathsf{t},\overline{p}(\mathsf{t}),\overline{q}(\mathsf{t}))| \leq \wp_{2}, \quad \mathsf{t} \in (\mathsf{t}_{k},s_{k}], \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ &|\overline{q}(\mathsf{t}) - \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathsf{t},\overline{q}(\mathsf{t}))| \leq \wp_{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.1)$$

there exists a solution $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}$ with

$$\begin{cases} \|p - \overline{p}\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_1 \wp_1 + \mathcal{V}_2 \wp_2, \\ \|q - \overline{q}\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_3 \wp_1 + \mathcal{V}_4 \wp_2. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.8. If η_i be the (real or complex) eigenvalues of a matrix $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$ for i = 1, 2, 3..., n, then the spectral radius $\rho(\mathbb{Q})$ is defined by

$$\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \max\{|\eta_i|, for i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Further, the system corresponding to the matrix \mathbb{Q} will converges to zero if $\rho(\mathbb{Q}) < 1$.

Theorem 2.9. (Urs[21], Theorem 4) Consider **E** be a Banach space with $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 : \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}$ be two operators such that

$$\begin{cases} \|\mathcal{Z}_1(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_1(\overline{p},\overline{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_1 \|p - p^*\| + \mathcal{V}_2 \|q - q^*\| \\ \|\mathcal{Z}_2(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_2(\overline{p},\overline{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_3 \|p - p^*\| + \mathcal{V}_4 \|q - q^*\| \\ \forall (p,q), (p^*,q^*) \in \mathbf{E} \end{cases}$$

and if the system corresponding to the matrix

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{V}_3 & \mathcal{V}_3 \\ \mathcal{V}_3 & \mathcal{V}_3 \end{array} \right]$$

converges to zero, then the fixed points corresponding to operational system (1.1) are HU stable.

3. Existence theory of the proposed problem (1.1)

In this section, we present existence, uniqueness and at least one solution of (1.1).

Lemma 3.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $h_1, h_2 : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{R}$ are given continuous functions, a pair (p, q) is a solution of the linear impulsive coupled system

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{D}+\lambda)p(\mathsf{t}) = h_{1}(\mathsf{t}), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}, \\ {}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\beta}(\mathfrak{D}+\mu)q(\mathsf{t}) = h_{2}(\mathsf{t}), \quad 0 < \beta < 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad \mathsf{t} \in \mathcal{J}, \\ p(\mathsf{t}) = \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t})), \quad q(\mathsf{t}) = \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathsf{t}, q(\mathsf{t})), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ p(0) = 0, \quad p(s_{k}) = 0, \quad q(0) = 0, \quad q(s_{k}) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

if and only if (p,q) satisfies the following fractional integral equations.

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_{1}(s) ds + A^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} h_{1}(s) ds, & \mathbf{t} \in [0, s_{0}], \\ \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}), & \mathbf{t} \in (s_{k-1}, \mathbf{t}_{k}], & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \int_{\mathbf{t}_{k}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_{1}(s) ds + B_{k}^{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_{1}(s) ds \\ +\delta_{k}^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k}), & \mathbf{t} \in (\mathbf{t}_{k}, s_{k}], & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)
$$q(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} h_{2}(s) ds + A^{\mu} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\beta(s_{0}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} h_{2}(s) ds, & \mathbf{t} \in [0, s_{0}], \\ \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathbf{t}); & \mathbf{t} \in (s_{k-1}, \mathbf{t}_{k}], & k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \int_{\mathbf{t}_{k}}^{t} e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} h_{2}(s) ds + B_{k}^{\mu} \int_{\mathbf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\mu(s_{k}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} h_{2}(s) ds \\ +\delta_{k}^{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k}), & \mathbf{t} \in (\mathbf{t}_{k}, s_{k}], & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

where

$$\begin{split} A^{\gamma} =& \frac{1-e^{-\gamma \mathbf{t}}}{e^{-\gamma s_0}-1}, \\ B^{\gamma}_k =& \frac{1-e^{-\gamma(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}k)}}{e^{-\gamma(s_k-\mathbf{t}_k)}-1} \qquad and \\ \delta^{\gamma}_k =& \frac{1-e^{-\gamma(\mathbf{t}-s_k)}}{1-e^{-\gamma(s_k-\mathbf{t}_k)}}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Let $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}$ is a solution of the problem (3.1). To show that $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}$ satisfies the fractional integral equations (3.2), (3.3) we proceed in the following manner.

For $\mathbf{t} \in [0, s_0]$, we consider

$${}^{c}\mathfrak{D}^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{D}+\lambda)p(\mathsf{t}) = h_{1}(\mathsf{t}). \tag{3.4}$$

Using Lemma 2.4 and ordinary integration, we obtain

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + c_0 \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda \mathbf{t}}}{\lambda}\right) + d_0 e^{-\lambda \mathbf{t}}.$$
(3.5)

For obtaining the arbitrary constants c_0 and d_0 , we apply the boundary conditions $p(0) = p(s_0) = 0$ on (3.5), we get

$$c_0 = \frac{\lambda}{-1 + e^{-\lambda s_0}} \int_0^{s_0} e^{-\lambda(s_0 - s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds \quad and \quad d_0 = 0.$$

Substituting the above c_0 and d_0 values in equation (3.5), we get

$$p(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + A^{\lambda} \int_0^{s_0} e^{-\lambda(s_0-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, s_0],$$
(3.6)

where

$$A^{\lambda} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{-\lambda s_0} - 1}$$

Now if $\mathbf{t} \in (s_0, \mathbf{t}_1]$ then $p(\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathbf{t})$. For $\mathbf{t} \in (\mathbf{t}_1, s_1]$, (3.4) gives

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathbf{t}_1}^t e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + c_1 \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_1)}}{\lambda}\right) + d_1 e^{-\lambda \mathbf{t}}.$$
(3.7)

For obtaining the arbitrary constants c_1 and d_1 , we apply the impulsive and boundary conditions $p(t_1) = \mathcal{N}_1(t_1)$ and $p(s_1) = 0$ respectively on (3.7), we have

$$c_{1} = \frac{\lambda}{e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-\mathsf{t}_{1})}-1} \int_{\mathsf{t}_{1}}^{s_{1}} e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} h_{1}(s) ds + \frac{\lambda(e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-\mathsf{t}_{1})}-1)}{e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-\mathsf{t}_{1})}-1} \mathcal{N}_{1}(\mathsf{t}_{1})$$

and

$$d_1 = e^{\lambda \mathbf{t}_1} \mathcal{N}_1(\mathbf{t}_1).$$

By substituting the values of c_1 and d_1 in (3.7), we get

$$p(\mathsf{t}) = \int_{\mathsf{t}_1}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + B_1^{\lambda} \int_{\mathsf{t}_1}^{s_1} e^{-\lambda(s_1-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + \delta_1^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_1(\mathsf{t}_1),$$

where

$$B_{1}^{\lambda} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(t-t_{1})}}{e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-t_{1})} - 1} \quad and$$
$$\delta_{1}^{\lambda} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(t-s_{1})}}{1 - e^{-\lambda(s_{1}-t_{1})}}.$$

In general: (Repeating the same steps). For $t \in (s_{k-1}, t_k]$, we have

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{t}). \tag{3.8}$$

For $\mathbf{t} \in (\mathbf{t}_k, s_k]$ the solution of (3.7) with boundary condition $p(\mathbf{t}_k) = \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{t}_k)$ and $p(s_k) = 0$ gives

$$p(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + B_k^{\lambda} \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\lambda(s_k-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} h_1(s) ds + \delta_k^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{t}_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$
(3.9)

where

$$B_{k}^{\lambda} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(t-t_{k})}}{e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-t_{k})} - 1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ and}$$

$$\delta_{k}^{\lambda} = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(t-s_{k})}}{1 - e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-t_{k})}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence, we obtained (3.2), from (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9). In similar way, we can obtain (3.3). \Box

The following assumptions will be helpful for our results.

(**H**₁) $f, g: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{R}^2 \to \mathcal{R}^+$ are continuous functions, for all $(p,q), (\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{q}) \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{J}$, there exist $\mathcal{L}_f, \mathcal{L}_g > 0$ such that

$$|f(\mathbf{t}, p(\mathbf{t}), q(\mathbf{t})) - f(\mathbf{t}, \widetilde{p}(\mathbf{t}), \widetilde{q}(\mathbf{t}))| \le \mathcal{L}_f |(p - \widetilde{p}, q - \widetilde{q})|,$$

$$|g(\mathbf{t}, p(\mathbf{t}), q(\mathbf{t})) - g(\mathbf{t}, \widetilde{p}(\mathbf{t}), \widetilde{q}(\mathbf{t}))| \le \mathcal{L}_g |(p - \widetilde{p}, q - \widetilde{q})|.$$

 (\mathbf{H}_2) $f, g: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{R}^2 \to \mathcal{R}^+$ are continuous functions, for all $(p, q) \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$\begin{split} |f(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t}),q(\mathsf{t}))| &\leq \phi_f(\mathsf{t}) + \widetilde{\phi}_f(\mathsf{t})|(p,q)|, \\ |g(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t}),q(\mathsf{t}))| &\leq \varphi_g(\mathsf{t}) + \widetilde{\varphi}_g(\mathsf{t})|(p,q)|. \\ \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} I^{\alpha} \phi_f(s) ds &\leq \omega_1 \phi_f^* \ , \ \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} I^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}_f(s) ds &\leq \omega_2 \widetilde{\phi}_f^*, \\ \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} I^{\beta} \phi_g(s) ds &\leq \omega_3 \phi_g^* \ \text{ and } \ \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} I^{\beta} \widetilde{\phi}_g(s) ds &\leq \omega_4 \widetilde{\phi}_g^*. \end{split}$$

(**H**₃) $\mathcal{N}_k, \mathcal{M}_k : [s_{k-1}, \mathbf{t}_k] \times \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ are continuous for all k = 1, 2, ..., m and there exist constants $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} > 0$ such that for any $(p, q), (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in \mathbf{E}$

$$|\mathcal{N}_k(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t})) - \mathcal{N}_k(\mathsf{t}, \widetilde{p}(\mathsf{t}))| \le \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}|p - \widetilde{p}| \quad and \quad |\mathcal{M}_k(\mathsf{t}, q(\mathsf{t})) - \mathcal{M}_k(\mathsf{t}, \widetilde{q}(\mathsf{t}))| \le \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}|q - \widetilde{q}|.$$

(**H**₄) $\mathcal{N}_k, \mathcal{M}_k : [s_{k-1}, \mathbf{t}_k] \times \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ are continuous for all k = 1, 2, ..., m and there are $a_1, b_1 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that for any $(p, q) \in \mathcal{E}$

$$|\mathcal{N}_k(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}))| \le a_1(\mathsf{t}) + b_1(\mathsf{t})|p(\mathsf{t})| \text{ and } |\mathcal{M}_k(\mathsf{t}, q(\mathsf{t}))| \le a_2(\mathsf{t}) + b_2(\mathsf{t})|q(\mathsf{t})|,$$

where $a_1^* = \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} a_1(\mathsf{t}), b_1^* = \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} b_1(\mathsf{t}), a_2^* = \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} a_2(\mathsf{t}) \text{ and } b_2^* = \sup_{\mathsf{t}\in\mathcal{J}} b_2(\mathsf{t}).$

Consider a closed ball $\mathcal{B}_r = \{(p,q) \in \mathbf{E} : ||(p,q)|| \le r \text{ with } ||p|| \le \frac{r}{2}, ||q|| \le \frac{r}{2}\} \subset \mathbf{E}$, where

$$\frac{\psi^{\lambda}\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\psi^{\mu}\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}+a_{1}^{*}+c_{1}^{*}+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|a_{1}^{*}+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|c_{1}^{*}}{1-\psi^{\lambda}\omega_{2}\phi_{f}^{*}-\psi^{\mu}\omega_{4}\phi_{g}^{*}-\frac{b_{1}^{*}+d_{1}^{*}+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|b_{1}^{*}+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|d_{1}^{*}}{2}} \leq r$$

We define two operators $\mathbb{F} = (\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ on closed ball \mathcal{B}_r as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_1 p(\mathbf{t}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s, p(s), q(s)) ds + A^{\lambda} \int_0^{s_0} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda(s_0-s)} f(s, p(s), q(s)) ds \\ + \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{t}, p(\mathbf{t})) + \delta_k^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_k(\mathbf{t}_k, p(\mathbf{t}_k)), \\ \mathbb{F}_2 q(\mathbf{t}) = \int_0^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} g(s, p(s), q(s)) ds + A^{\mu} \int_0^{s_0} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} e^{-\mu(s_0-s)} g(s, p(s), q(s)) ds \\ + \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbf{t}, p(\mathbf{t})) + \delta_k^{\mu} \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbf{t}_k, p(\mathbf{t}_k)), \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{G}_1(p,q)(\mathsf{t}) = \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + B_k^{\lambda} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\lambda(s_k-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds, \\ \mathbb{G}_2(p,q)(\mathsf{t}) = \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + B_k^{\mu} \int_{\mathsf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\mu(s_k-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds. \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

Theorem 3.2. Under the assumptions, $(\mathbf{H}_1) - (\mathbf{H}_4)$, the coupled BVP(1.1) has at least one solution in **E**.

Proof. For any $(p,q) \in \mathcal{B}_r$, we have

$$\|\mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \|\mathbb{F}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} + \|\mathbb{G}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \|\mathbb{F}_1(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} + \|\mathbb{F}_2(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} + \|\mathbb{G}_1(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} + \|\mathbb{G}_2(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}}.$$
(3.12)

From (3.10), we get

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{F}_{1}(p,q)(\mathsf{t})| &\leq |\int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds| + |A^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \Im^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds| \\ &+ |\mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t}))| + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}_{k},p(\mathsf{t}_{k}))| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} |f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds + |A^{\lambda}| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} |f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ &+ |\mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t}))| + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}| |\mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}_{k},p(\mathsf{t}_{k}))| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} ds \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} \Im^{\alpha} \phi_{f}(s) ds + \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} ds \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} \Im^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}_{f}(s) ds |(p,q)| \\ &+ |A^{\lambda}| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} ds \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \Im^{\alpha} \phi_{f}(s) ds |(p,q)| \\ &+ |A^{\lambda}| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} ds \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \Im^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}_{f}(s) ds |(p,q)| \\ &+ (1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|)(a_{1}(\mathsf{t})+b_{1}(\mathsf{t})|p(\mathsf{t})|). \end{split}$$

Taking $\sup_{t>0}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{F}_{1}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} &\leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}\|(p,q)\|) + (1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|)(a_{1}^{*}+b_{1}^{*}\|p\|) \\ &+|A^{\lambda}| \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}\|(p,q)\|) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}r) + |A^{\lambda}| \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}r) \\ &+ (1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|)(a_{1}^{*}+b_{1}^{*}\frac{r}{2}). \end{split}$$
(3.13)

On the same way, we can obtain

$$\|\mathbb{F}_{2}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\mu t}}{\mu}\right) (\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}+\omega_{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*}r) + |A^{\mu}| \left(\frac{1-e^{-\mu s_{0}}}{\mu}\right) (\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}+\omega_{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*}r) + (1+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|)(c_{1}^{*}+d_{1}^{*}\frac{r}{2}).$$

$$(3.14)$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\mathbb{G}_{1}(p,q)(\mathsf{t})| &\leq |\int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds| + |B_{k}^{\lambda} \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} |f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds + |B_{k}^{\lambda}| \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} |f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} ds \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \Im^{\alpha} \phi_{f}(s) ds + \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} ds \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} \Im^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}_{f}(s) ds |(p,q)| \\ &+ |B_{k}^{\lambda}| \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} ds \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} \Im^{\alpha} \phi_{f}(s) ds + |B_{k}^{\lambda}| \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} ds \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} \Im^{\alpha} \widetilde{\phi}_{f}(s) ds |(p,q)|. \end{split}$$

Taking $\sup_{t>0}$, we get

$$\|\mathbb{G}_{1}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}\|(p,q)\|) + |B_{k}^{\lambda}| \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}\|(p,q)\|) \\ \leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}r) + |B_{k}^{\lambda}| \left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})}}{\lambda}\right) (\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}+\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}r).$$
(3.15)

Similarly

$$\|\mathbb{G}_{2}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \left(\frac{1-e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{k})}}{\mu}\right)(\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}+\omega_{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*}r) + |B_{k}^{\mu}|\left(\frac{1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})}}{\mu}\right)(\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}+\omega_{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*}r).$$
(3.16)

Using (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) in (3.12), we get

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \\ \leq & \left[\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t}) + |A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}}) + (1-e^{-\lambda(t-t_{k})}) + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-t_{k})})}{\lambda} \omega_{1} \phi_{f}^{*} \right. \\ & + \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t}) + |A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}}) + (1-e^{-\mu(t-t_{k})}) + |B_{k}^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-t_{k})})}{\mu} \omega_{3} \phi_{g}^{*} \\ & + a_{1}^{*} + c_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|a_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\mu}|c_{1}^{*} \right] \\ & + \left[\left(\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t}) + |A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}}) + (1-e^{-\lambda(t-t_{k})}) + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-t_{k})})}{\lambda} \omega_{2} \widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*} \right. \\ & + \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t}) + |A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}}) + (1-e^{-\mu(t-t_{k})}) + |B_{k}^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-t_{k})})}{\mu} \omega_{4} \widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*} \\ & + \frac{b_{1}^{*} + d_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|b_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\mu}|d_{1}^{*}}{2} \right] r \\ & \leq \psi^{\lambda} \omega_{1} \phi_{f}^{*} + \psi^{\mu} \omega_{3} \phi_{g}^{*} + a_{1}^{*} + c_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|a_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\mu}|c_{1}^{*} \\ & + \left(\psi^{\lambda} \omega_{2} \phi_{f}^{*} + \psi^{\mu} \omega_{4} \phi_{g}^{*} + \frac{b_{1}^{*} + d_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|b_{1}^{*} + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|b_{1$$

Which implies that

$$\|\mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \le r,$$

where

$$\psi^{\gamma} = \frac{(1 - e^{-\gamma t}) + |A^{\gamma}|(1 - e^{-\gamma s_0}) + (1 - e^{-\gamma (t - t_k)}) + |B_k^{\gamma}|(1 - e^{-\gamma (s_k - t_k)})}{\gamma}.$$

Hence, this implies that $\mathbb{F}(p,q) + \mathbb{G}(p,q) \in \mathcal{B}_r$. We need to show that \mathbb{F} is contractive. For this, let (p,q) and $(\bar{p},\bar{q}) \in \mathbf{E}$, we get

$$\begin{split} &|\mathbb{F}_{1}(p,q) - \mathbb{F}_{1}(\bar{p},\bar{q})| \\ \leq \left| \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \Im^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) - \Im^{\alpha} f(s,\bar{p}(s),\bar{q}(s)) ds \right| \\ &+ |A^{\lambda}| \left| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) - \Im^{\alpha} f(s,\bar{p}(s),\bar{q}(s)) ds \right| \\ &+ \left| \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t},p(\mathbf{t})) - \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t},\bar{p}(\mathbf{t})) \right| + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}| \left| \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k},p(\mathbf{t}_{k})) - \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k},\bar{p}(\mathbf{t}_{k})) \right| \\ \leq \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \Im^{\alpha} \left| f(s,p(s),q(s)) - f(s,\bar{p}(s),\bar{q}(s)) \right| ds \\ &+ |A^{\lambda}| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} \Im^{\alpha} \left| f(s,p(s),q(s)) - f(s,\bar{p}(s),\bar{q}(s)) \right| ds \\ &+ \left| \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t},p(\mathbf{t})) - \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t},\bar{p}(\mathbf{t})) \right| + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}| \left| \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k},p(\mathbf{t}_{k})) - \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathbf{t}_{k},\bar{p}(\mathbf{t}_{k})) \right| \\ \leq \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \int_{0}^{t} \Im^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{f} ds |(p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q})| \\ &+ |A^{\lambda}| \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} ds \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \Im^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{f} ds |(p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q})| + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|)|p-\bar{p}|. \end{split}$$

Applying $\sup_{t>0}$, we have

$$\|\mathbb{F}_{1}(p,q) - \mathbb{F}_{1}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{f}(1-e^{-\lambda t})t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{f}|A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})t^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda}\right] \left\|(p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q})\right\| + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|)\|p-\bar{p}\|.$$

$$(3.17)$$

Similarly

$$\|\mathbb{F}_{2}(p,q) - \mathbb{F}_{2}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{g}(1-e^{-\mu t})t^{\beta+1}}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{g}|A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}})t^{\beta+1}}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu}\right] \left\|(p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q})\right\| + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|)\|q-\bar{q}\|.$$
(3.18)

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{F}(p,q) - \mathbb{F}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} &\leq \left[\mathcal{L}_{f} \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t}) + |A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} t^{\alpha+1} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|) \right. \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{g} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t}) + |A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}})}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu} t^{\beta+1} \right] \|p-\bar{p}\| \\ &+ \left[\mathcal{L}_{f} \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t}) + |A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} t^{\alpha+1} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|) \right. \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{g} \frac{(1-e^{-\mu t}) + |A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}})}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu} t^{\beta+1} \right] \|q-\bar{q}\| \\ &\leq \varrho^{*} \|p-\bar{p}\| + \varrho^{**} \|q-\bar{q}\|. \end{split}$$

Which implies that

$$\|\mathbb{F}(p,q) - \mathbb{F}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \le \varrho \|(p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q})\|,$$

where $0 < \rho = \max{\{\rho^*, \rho^{**}\}} < 1$. Therefore, \mathbb{F} is contractive.

Next, in order to prove the continuity and compactness of operator \mathbb{G} , we consider a sequence $\{X_n = (p_n, q_n)\}$ in \mathcal{B}_r with $(p_n, q_n) \to (p, q)$ as $n \to \infty$ in \mathcal{B}_r . Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} & \|\mathbb{G}(p_n,q_n)(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{G}(p,q)(\mathbf{t})\| \\ \leq & \|\mathbb{G}_1(p_n,q_n)(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{G}_1(p,q)(\mathbf{t})\| + \|\mathbb{G}_2(p_n,q_n)(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{G}_2(p,q)(\mathbf{t})\| \\ \leq & \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} |f(s,p_n(s),q_n(s)) - f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ & + |B_k^{\lambda}| \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\lambda(s_k-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} |f(s,p_n(s),q_n(s)) - f(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ & + \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} |g(s,p_n(s),q_n(s)) - g(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ & + |B_k^{\mu}| \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\mu(s_k-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} |g(s,p_n(s),q_n(s)) - g(s,p(s),q(s))| ds \\ & \leq \left[|B_k^{\lambda}| \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\lambda(s_k-s)} ds \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_f ds + |B_k^{\mu}| \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} e^{-\mu(s_k-s)} ds \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{s_k} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} \mathcal{L}_g ds \\ & + \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-s)} ds \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_f ds + \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathbf{t}-s)} ds \int_{\mathbf{t}_k}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} \mathcal{L}_g ds \right] |(p_n - p, q_n - q)|. \end{split}$$

Now applying $\sup_{t>0}$, we get

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathbb{G}(p_{n},q_{n})(\mathsf{t})-\mathbb{G}(p,q)(\mathsf{t})\|_{\mathcal{PC}}\\ \leq & \left[\mathcal{L}_{f}\frac{|B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} \right.\\ & \left.+\mathcal{L}_{g}\frac{|B_{k}^{\mu}|(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})^{\beta+1}(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})^{\beta+1}(1-e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu}\right]\|(p_{n}-p,q_{n}-q)\| \end{split}$$

This implies that, $\|\mathbb{G}(p_n, q_n)(t) - \mathbb{G}(p, q)(t)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, the operator $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2)$ is continuous.

Here, we have to show that \mathbb{G} is uniformly bounded on \mathcal{B}_r . From (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbb{G}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} &\leq \|\mathbb{G}_{1}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} + \|\mathbb{G}_{2}(p,q)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \\ &\leq \left[\omega_{1}\phi_{f}^{*}\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+|B_{k}^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\lambda} \\ &+\omega_{3}\phi_{g}^{*}\frac{(1-e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+|B_{k}^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\mu}\right] \\ &+ \left[\omega_{2}\widetilde{\phi}_{f}^{*}\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+|B_{k}^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\lambda} \\ &+\omega_{4}\widetilde{\phi}_{g}^{*}\frac{(1-e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})+|B_{k}^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-\mathsf{t}_{k})})}{\mu}\right]r \end{split}$$

Thus, \mathbb{G} is uniformly bounded operator on \mathcal{B}_r .

Now for equi-continuity, take $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ with $\tau_2 < \tau_1$ and for any $(p,q) \in \mathcal{B}_r \subset \mathbf{E}$, where \mathcal{B}_r is clearly bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{G}_{1}(p,q)(\tau_{1}) - \mathbb{G}_{1}(p,q)(\tau_{2}) \right| \\ \leq & \left| \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\tau_{1}} e^{-\lambda(\tau_{1}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds - \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\tau_{2}} e^{-\lambda(\tau_{2}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|\mathbb{G}_1(p,q)(\tau_1) - \mathbb{G}_1(p,q)(\tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \to 0$ as $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$. On the same way, we have $\|\mathbb{G}_2(p,q)(\tau_1) - \mathbb{G}_2(p,q)(\tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \to 0$ as $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$. Hence $\|\mathbb{G}(p,q)(\tau_1) - \mathbb{G}(p,q)(\tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \to 0$ as $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$. Therefore, \mathbb{G} is relatively compact on \mathcal{B}_r . By *Arzelä-Ascolli* theorem, \mathbb{G} is compact and hence completely continuous operator. So there exist at least one solution of coupled BVP (1.1). \Box

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that the assumptions $(H_1) - (H_2)$ holds and if $\vartheta^* < 1$. Then the coupled problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. Define the operator $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2) : \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}$, i.e. $\mathcal{Z}(p,q)(\mathbf{t}) = (\mathcal{Z}_1(p,q)(\mathbf{t}), \mathcal{Z}_2(p,q)(\mathbf{t}))$, for each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{J}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{1}(p,q)(\mathsf{t}) &= \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + A^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\lambda(s_{0}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds \\ &+ B_{k}^{\lambda} \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\lambda(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\alpha} f(s,p(s),q(s)) ds \\ &+ \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t})) + \delta_{k}^{\lambda} \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}_{k},p(\mathsf{t}_{k})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}_{2}(p,q)(\mathsf{t}) &= \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + A^{\mu} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} e^{-\mu(s_{0}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds \\ &+ B_{k}^{\mu} \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{s_{k}} e^{-\mu(s_{k}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds + \int_{\mathsf{t}_{k}}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-\mu(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\beta} g(s,p(s),q(s)) ds \\ &+ \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t},p(\mathsf{t})) + \delta_{k}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}_{k}(\mathsf{t}_{k},p(\mathsf{t}_{k})). \end{split}$$

In view of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathcal{Z}_{1}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{1}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \\ \leq & \mathcal{L}_{f} \bigg[\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})t^{\alpha + 1} + (t - t_{k})^{\alpha + 1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(t - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} \\ &+ \frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1 - e^{-\lambda s_{0}})t^{\alpha + 1} + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k} - t_{k})^{\alpha + 1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(s_{k} - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} \bigg] \big\| (p - \bar{p}, q - \bar{q}) \big\| \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1 + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|) \| p - \bar{p} \| \\ \leq & \vartheta_{1}^{*} \big\| (p - \bar{p}, q - \bar{q}) \big\| \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathcal{Z}_{2}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{2}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}}\|\\ \leq & \mathcal{L}_{g} \bigg[\frac{(1-e^{-\mu t})t^{\beta+1} + (t-t_{k})^{\beta+1}(1-e^{-\mu(t-t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu} \\ &+ \frac{|A^{\mu}|(1-e^{-\mu s_{0}})t^{\beta+1} + |B_{k}^{\mu}|(s_{k}-t_{k})^{\beta+1}(1-e^{-\mu(s_{k}-t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta+2)\mu} \bigg] \big\| (p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q}) \big\| \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\mu}|)\|q-\bar{q}\| \\ \leq & \vartheta_{2}^{*} \big\| (p-\bar{p},q-\bar{q}) \big\|. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{Z}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}(\bar{p},\bar{q})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \le \vartheta^* \|(p,q) - (\bar{p},\bar{q})\|,$$

where $\vartheta^* = \max\{\vartheta_1^*, \vartheta_2^*\}$. This implies that \mathcal{Z} is contractive operator. Therefore, (1.1) has a unique solution. \Box

4. Hyers–Ulam stability analysis

In this portion, we analyze HU stability for the oupled system (1.1).

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that the assumption (\mathbf{H}_1) to (\mathbf{H}_4) holds and $\vartheta^* < 1$ along with the condition that the system corresponding to the matrix \mathbb{Q} is converging to zero. Then the solution of (1.1) is *HU* stable.

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{Z}_{1}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{1}(p^{*},q^{*})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \\ \leq & \mathcal{L}_{f} \bigg[\frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} \\ & + \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + (\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} \bigg] \big(\|p-p^{*}\| + \|q-q^{*}\| \big) \\ & + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|) \|p-p^{*}\| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left[\mathcal{L}_{f} \left(\frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1}+|B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} + \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1}+(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(t-t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1+|\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|) \right] \|p-p^{*}\| + \mathcal{L}_{f} \left(\frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1-e^{-\lambda s_{0}})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1}+|B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(s_{k}-\mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} + \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1}+(\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1-e^{-\lambda(t-t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)\lambda} \right) \|q-q^{*}\| \\ \leq \mathcal{V}_{1}\|p-p^{*}\| + \mathcal{V}_{2}\|q-q^{*}\|,$$

$$(4.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{1} = \mathcal{L}_{f} \left(\frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1 - e^{-\lambda s_{0}})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k} - \mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(s_{k} - \mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} + \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}(1 + |\delta_{k}^{\lambda}|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{2} = \mathcal{L}_{f} \left(\frac{|A^{\lambda}|(1 - e^{-\lambda s_{0}})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + |B_{k}^{\lambda}|(s_{k} - \mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(s_{k} - \mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} + \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})\mathbf{t}^{\alpha+1} + (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_{k})^{\alpha+1}(1 - e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_{k})})}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)\lambda} \right).$$

In the same fashion, we can obtain

$$\|\mathcal{Z}_{2}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{2}(p^{*},q^{*})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_{3}\|p - p^{*}\| + \mathcal{V}_{4}\|q - q^{*}\|.$$
(4.2)

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{3} = \mathcal{L}_{g} \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\mu t})t^{\beta+1} + (t - t_{k})^{\beta+1}(1 - e^{-\mu(t - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)\mu} + \frac{|A^{\mu}|(1 - e^{-\mu s_{0}})t^{\beta+1} + |B_{k}^{\mu}|(s_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta+1}(1 - e^{-\mu(s_{k} - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)\mu} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{4} = \mathcal{L}_{g} \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\mu t})t^{\beta + 1} + (t - t_{k})^{\beta + 1}(1 - e^{-\mu(t - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)\mu} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{|A^{\mu}|(1 - e^{-\mu s_{0}})t^{\beta + 1} + |B_{k}^{\mu}|(s_{k} - t_{k})^{\beta + 1}(1 - e^{-\mu(s_{k} - t_{k})})}{\Gamma(\beta + 2)\mu} \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(1 + |\delta_{k}^{\mu}|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from the above two equations (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Z}_{1}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{1}(p^{*},q^{*})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_{1}\|p - p^{*}\| + \mathcal{V}_{2}\|q - q^{*}\|\\ \|\mathcal{Z}_{2}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}_{2}(p^{*},q^{*})\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \leq \mathcal{V}_{3}\|p - p^{*}\| + \mathcal{V}_{4}\|q - q^{*}\|. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities, we get

$$\|\mathcal{Z}(p,q) - \mathcal{Z}(p^*,q^*)\|_{\mathcal{PC}} \le \|(p,q) - (p^*,q^*)\|_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathcal{V}_1 & \mathcal{V}_2 \\ \mathcal{V}_3 & \mathcal{V}_4 \end{array}
ight).$$

With the help of Definition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, we conclude that coupled BVP (1.1) is Hyers–Ulam stable. \Box

5. Example

In this section, we are illustrating our main result by an example.

Example 5.1. Consider the BVP

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{D}+2)p(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{e^{-\mathsf{t}}\sin|p(\mathsf{t})| + |q(\mathsf{t})|}{20 + t^{2}}, \quad \mathsf{t} \in (0,1) \cup (2,3), \\ {}^{c}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{2}{3}}(\mathcal{D}+1)q(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{1 + |p(\mathsf{t})| + \cos|q(\mathsf{t})|}{30 + e^{\mathsf{t}} + \mathsf{t}^{2}}, \quad \mathsf{t} \in (0,1) \cup (2,3), \\ p(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{|p(\mathsf{t})|}{(5 + \mathsf{t}^{2})(1 + |p(\mathsf{t})|)}, \quad (1,2], \\ q(\mathsf{t}) = \frac{1 + |q(\mathsf{t})|}{(9 + \mathsf{t}^{3})(2 + 3|q(\mathsf{t})|)}, \quad (1,2], \\ p(0) = p(3) = 0, \quad q(0) = q(3) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

From the above system (5.1), we see that $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{2}{3}$, $\lambda = 2$, $\mu = 1$ and the nonlinear functions $f(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})) = \frac{e^{-\mathsf{t}} \sin |p(\mathsf{t})| + |q(\mathsf{t})|}{20 + t^2}$ and $g(\mathsf{t}, p(\mathsf{t}), q(\mathsf{t})) = \frac{1 + |p(\mathsf{t})| + \cos |q(\mathsf{t})|}{30 + e^{\mathsf{t}} + t^2}$.

By Lemma 3.1, we get the following integral equations

$$p(\mathsf{t}) = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-2(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-s} \sin|p(s)|+|q(s)|}{20+s^{2}} ds + A^{2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2(1-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-s} \sin|p(s)|+|q(s)|}{20+s^{2}} ds; \quad \mathsf{t} \in [0,1) \\ \frac{|p(\mathsf{t})|}{(5+t^{2})(1+|p(\mathsf{t})|)}; \quad \mathsf{t} \in (1,2] \\ \int_{2}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-2(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-s} \sin|p(s)|+|q(s)|}{20+s^{2}} ds + B_{1}^{2} \int_{2}^{3} e^{-2(3-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{-s} \sin|p(s)|+|q(s)|}{20+s^{2}} ds \\ + \delta_{1}^{2} \frac{|p(2)|}{(5+2^{2})(1+|p(2)|)}; \quad \mathsf{t} \in (2,3), \end{cases}$$

$$(5.2)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} e^{-(\mathsf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1+|p(s)|+\cos|q(s)|}{30+e^{s}+s^{2}} ds + A \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(1-s)} \mathfrak{I}^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1+|p(s)|+\cos|q(s)|}{30+e^{s}+s^{2}} ds; \quad \mathsf{t} \in [0,1)$$

$$q(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+|q(\mathbf{t})|}{(9+t^3)(2+3|q(\mathbf{t})|)}; & \mathbf{t} \in (1,2] \\ \int_2^{\mathbf{t}} e^{-(\mathbf{t}-s)} \mathfrak{I}_3^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1+|p(s)|+\cos|q(s)|}{30+e^s+s^2} ds + B_1 \int_2^3 e^{-(3-s)} \mathfrak{I}_3^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1+|p(s)|+\cos|q(s)|}{30+e^s+s^2} ds \\ +\delta_1 \frac{1+|q(2)|}{(9+2^3)(2+3|q(2)|)}; & \mathbf{t} \in (2,3]. \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

where

$$A^{2} = \frac{1 - e^{-2t}}{e^{-2} - 1}, \qquad A = \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{e^{-1} - 1}$$
$$B_{1}^{2} = \frac{1 - e^{-2(t-2)}}{e^{-2(3-2)} - 1}, \qquad B_{1} = \frac{1 - e^{-(t-2)}}{e^{-(3-2)} - 1}$$
$$\delta_{1}^{2} = \frac{1 - e^{-2(t-3)}}{1 - e^{-2(3-2)}} \text{ and } \delta_{1} = \frac{1 - e^{-(t-3)}}{1 - e^{-(3-2)}}$$

For Theorem 3.3, we find $\mathcal{L}_f = \frac{1}{20}$, $\mathcal{L}_g = \frac{1}{30}$, $\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\mathcal{L}_M = \frac{1}{9}$. We easily get $\vartheta^* = 0.2876$, which shows that (5.1) has a unique solution. By using Theorem 4.1, we find $\mathcal{V}_1 = 0.5366$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = -0.0054$, $\mathcal{V}_3 = -0.1639$ and $\mathcal{V}_4 = 0.5928$. On calculation, we get the eigenvalues as 0.60572, 0.56133, which shows that the matrix \mathbb{Q} converges to 0, and by applying Theorem 4.1, the solution of the coupled BVP (5.1) is HU stable.

Conclusion

With the help of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and Banach contraction principle, we presented sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solution of proposed BVP(1.1). Likewise under specific assumptions and conditions, we gave the HU stability of mentioned coupled BVP(1.1).

Conflict of interests

The author says publicly that there is no contending interest concerning the paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions that have helped to improve the present paper.

References

- N. Ahmad, Z. Ali, K. Shah, A. Zada and G. Rahman, Analysis of implicit type nonlinear dynamical problem of impulsive fractional differential equations, Complexity 2018 (2018) 1–15.
- B. Ahmad and S. Sivasundaram, On four point nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear integro-differential equations of fractional order, Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2010) 480–487.
- [3] Z. Ali, A. Zada and K. Shah, On Ulam's stability for a coupled systems of nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 42(5) (2019) 2681–2699.
- [4] M. Altman, A fixed point theorem for completely continuous operators in banach spaces, Bull. Acad. polon. Sci. 3 (1955) 409–413.
- [5] M. El-Shahed and Nieto, Non trivial solutions for a nonlinear multi-point boundary value problem of fractional order, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010) 3438–3443.
- [6] S. M. Jung, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order, Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006) 854–858.
- [7] R. A. Khan and K. Shah, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to fractional order multi-point boundary value problems, Commun. Appl. Anal. 19 (2015) 515–526.
- [8] A. Khan, M. I. Syam, A. Zada and H. Khan, Stability analysis of nonlinear fractional differential equations with Caputo and Riemann-Liouville derivatives, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133(264) (2018) 1–9.
- [9] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equation, North-Holl and Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B. V, Amsterdam (2006).
- [10] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equation, Wiley, New York (1993).
- [11] M. Obloza, Hyers stability of the linear differential equation, Rocznik NaukDydakt, Prace Mat. 13 (1993) 259–270.
- [12] K. B. Oldham, Fractional differential equations in electrochemistry, Advances in Engineering software. 41 (2010) 9–12.
- [13] U. Riaz, A. Zada, Z. Ali, M. Ahmad, J. Xu and Z. Fu Analysis of nonlinear coupled systems of impulsive fractional differential equations with Hadamard derivatives, Math. Probl. Eng. 2019 (2019) 1–20.
- [14] U. Riaz, A. Zada, Z. Ali, Y. Cui and J. Xu, Analysis of coupled systems of implicit impulsive fractional differential equations involving Hadamard derivatives, Adv. Difference Equ. 2019(226) (2019) 1–27.
- [15] F. A. Rihan, Numerical Modeling of Fractional Order Biological Systems, Abs. Appl. Anal. (2013).
- [16] R. Rizwan, A. Zada and X. Wang, Stability analysis of non linear implicit fractional Langevin equation with noninstantaneous impulses, Adv. Difference Equ. 2019(85) (2019) 1–31.
- [17] J. Sabatier, O. P. Agrawal and J. A. T. Machado, Advances in Fractional Calculus, Dordrecht: Springer. (2007).

- [18] K. Shah and R. A. Khan, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to a coupled system of nonlinear fractional order differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions, Differ. Equ. Appl. 7(2) (2015) 245–262.
- [19] V. E. Tarasov, Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of particles, Fields and Media, Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing. (2010).
- [20] S. M. Ulam, A Collection of the Mathematical Problems, Interscience, New York. (1960).
- [21] C. Urs, Coupled fixed point theorem and application to periodic boundary value problem, Miskol. Math. Notes 14 (2013) 323–333.
- [22] B. M. Vintagre, I. Podlybni, A. Hernandez and V. Feliu, Some approximations of fractional order operators used in control theory and applications, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 3(3) (2000) 231–248.
- [23] J. Wang, L. Lv and W. Zhou, Ulam stability and data dependence for fractional differential equations with Caputo derivative, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 63 (2011) 1–10.
- [24] J. Wang, K. Shah and A. Ali, Existence and Hyers-Ulam stability of fractional non-linear impulsive switched coupled evolution equation, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 41(6) (2018) 2392–2402.
- [25] J. Wang, A. Zada and H. Waheed, Stability analysis of a coupled system of nonlinear implicit fractional antiperiodic boundary value problem, Math. Meth. App. Sci. 42(18) (2019) 6706–6732.
- [26] J. Wang and Y. Zhang, On the concept and existence of solutions for fractional impulsive systems with Hadamard derivatives, Appl. Math. Lett. 39 (2014) 85–90.
- [27] A. Zada and S. Ali, Stability Analysis of multi-point boundary value problem for sequential fractional differential equations with noninstantaneous impulses, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 19 (7) (2018) 763–774.
- [28] A. Zada and S. Ali, Stability of integral Caputo-type boundary value problem with noninstantaneous impulses, Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math. 2019(55) (2019) 1–18.
- [29] A. Zada, W. Ali and S. Farina, Hyers-Ulam stability of nonlinear differential equations with fractional integrable impulses, Math. Meth. App. Sci. 40(15) (2017) 5502–5514.
- [30] A. Zada, S. Ali and Y. Li, Ulam-type stability for a class of implicit fractional differential equations with noninstantaneous integral impulses and boundary condition, Adv. Difference Equ. 2017(317) (2017) 1–26.
- [31] A. Zada, W. Ali and C. Park, Ulam's type stability of higher order nonlinear delay differential equations via integral inequality of Grönwall-Bellman-Bihari's type, Appl. Math. Comput. 350 (2019) 60–65.
- [32] A. Zada, S. Faisal and Y. Li, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of first order impulsive delay differential equations, J. Funct. Spaces 2016 (2016) 1–6.
- [33] A. Zada, F. U. Khan, U. Riaz and T. Li, Hyers-Ulam stability of linear summation equations, Punjab Univ. j. math. 49(1) (2017) 19-24.
- [34] A. Zada and U. Riaz, Kallman-Rota type inequality for discrete evolution families of bounded linear operators, Fract. Differ. Calc. 7(2) (2017) 311–324.
- [35] A. Zada, U. Riaz and F. Khan, Hyers-Ulam stability of impulsive integral equations, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 12(3) (2019) 453–467.