

# Fuzzy Aboodh transform for higher-order derivatives

Samer Thaaban Abaas Alshibley<sup>a</sup>, Ameera Nema Alkiffai<sup>b</sup>, Athraa Neamah Albukhuttar<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

(Communicated by Madjid Eshaghi Gordji)

---

## Abstract

The strongly generalized differentiability notion is used to study the fuzzy Aboodh transform formula on the fuzzy  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order differential in this paper. It is also employed in an analytic technique for fuzzy fifth-order differential equations, and the related theorems and properties are demonstrated in detail. Solving a few instances demonstrates the process.

*Keywords:* Fuzzy fifth-order differential equation, Fuzzy  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order differential equation, Fuzzy number, Fuzzy Aboodh transform, Strongly generalized differentiable

---

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the field of fuzzy differential equations (FDEs) has exploded in popularity. Chang and Zadeh [10] were the first for introducing the fuzzy derivative concepts, which was followed by Dubios and Prade [11], who applied this extension principle but in their method. Puri and Ralescu [20], Goetschel and Voxman [13] have addressed several ways. The concept of FDEs was used for the analysis of fuzzy dynamical issues by Kandel [15] with Kandel and Byatt [16]. Kaleva [14], Seikkala [21], Ouyang and Wu [19], Kloeden [17], and Menda [18], as well as other researchers, thoroughly investigated the FDE while the starting of value problem concept (Cauchy problem), see Bede et al. 2006 [8]. Abbasbandy and Allahviranloo [1], 2004 [2], Allahviranloo [5], and Ghanbari [12] presented numerical methods for solving fuzzy differential equations. Bede and Gal [9] developed the term strongly generalized differentiable. Salahshour [22] investigated the existence and the uniqueness theorem of solutions to  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order fuzzy differential equations under  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order generalized differentiability. The H-derivative is defined for a smaller class of fuzzy valued functions than the

---

*Email addresses:* [samir.alshebly@uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:samir.alshebly@uokufa.edu.iq) (Samer Thaaban Abaas Alshibley),  
[ameeran.alkiffai@uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:ameeran.alkiffai@uokufa.edu.iq) (Ameera Nema Alkiffai), [athraan.kadhim@uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:athraan.kadhim@uokufa.edu.iq) (Athraa Neamah Albukhuttar)

strongly generalized derivative, thus fuzzy differential equations can have solutions with a diminishing length of support. As a result, we apply the concept of differentiability in this study. In Allahviranloo and Barkhordari [4], Laplace transform method on fuzzy  $n^{th}$ -order derivative solved fuzzy  $2^{th}$ -order differential equations (FTDEs), equivalent fuzzy  $n^{th}$ -order, boundary value issues and partial differential equations as well.

### 2. Basic concepts

This section introduces several terminology keys and basic ideas.

**Definition 2.1.** [24] *The mapping  $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is fuzzy number if satisfies*

- i.  $\mathcal{H}$  is upper semi-continuous.*
- ii.  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy convex, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}(\varsigma t + (1 - \varsigma)t) \geq \min\{\mathcal{H}(t), \mathcal{H}(\dagger)\}$ , for all  $t, \dagger \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\varsigma \in [0, 1]$*
- iii.  $\mathcal{H}$  is normal i.e.,  $\exists x_0 \in \mathcal{R}$  for which  $\mathcal{H}(x) = 1$ .*
- iv.  $Supp \mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathcal{R}; \mathcal{H}(x) > 0\}$ , and  $cl(Supp(\mathcal{H}))$  is compact.*

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  are fuzzy numbers so the distance between fuzzy numbers is determined by the Hausdorff,  $\Gamma : \mathcal{R}_f \times \mathcal{R}_f \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_f$  be all the fuzzy numbers set on  $\mathcal{R}$ :*

$\Gamma(\eta, \zeta) = \sup_{\varsigma \in [0, 1]} \max\{|\underline{\eta}(\varsigma) - \underline{\zeta}(\varsigma)|, |\overline{\eta}(\varsigma) - \overline{\zeta}(\varsigma)|\}$ , where  $\eta = (\underline{\eta}(\varsigma) - \overline{\eta}(\varsigma))$ ,  $\zeta = (\underline{\zeta}(\varsigma), \overline{\zeta}(\varsigma))$  and  $(\mathcal{R}_f, \Gamma)$  is a complete metric space and the following characteristics are well known:

- $\Gamma(\eta \oplus \vartheta, \zeta \oplus \vartheta) = \Gamma(\eta, \zeta), \forall \eta, \zeta, \vartheta \in \mathcal{R}_f.$
- $\Gamma(\varsigma \odot \eta, \kappa \odot \zeta) = |\varsigma| \Gamma(\eta, \zeta), \forall \eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{R}_f, \varsigma \in \mathcal{R}.$
- $\Gamma(\eta \oplus \vartheta, \zeta \oplus \nu) \leq \Gamma(\eta, \zeta) + \Gamma(\vartheta, \nu), \forall \eta, \zeta, \vartheta, \nu \in \mathcal{R}_f.$

**Definition 2.3.** [8] *Assume that  $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{R}_f$ . Where there is  $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_f$  such that  $\psi = \phi + \gamma$  then  $\psi$  is known the  $H$ -differential of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  and it is represented by  $\psi \ominus \phi$ .*

Note that in this work, the sign  $\ominus$  always meant the  $\mathcal{H}$ -difference as well as  $\psi \ominus \phi \neq \psi + (-1)\phi$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [22] *Let  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  be a fuzzy valued function on  $[e, r]$ . Suppose that  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)$  are improper Riemman-integrable on  $[e, r]$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is an improper on  $[e, r]$ , and*

$$(\int_e^r \mathcal{H}(y, \varsigma) dy) = (\int_e^r \underline{\mathcal{H}}(y, \varsigma) dy), (\int_e^r \mathcal{H}(y, \varsigma) dy) = (\int_e^r \overline{\mathcal{H}}(y, \varsigma) dy)$$

### 3. Generalization of fuzzy aboodh transform

**Theorem 3.1.** [25] *Let  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  be a fuzzy valued function on  $[e, \infty)$  embodied by  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)$ . For any fixed  $\varsigma \in [0, 1]$ , let  $\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)$  are Riemann-integrals on  $[e, r]$ . For every  $r \geq e$ , if two positive functions exist  $\underline{\theta}(\varsigma)$  and  $\overline{\theta}(\varsigma)$  such that  $\int_0^r |\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)| dx \leq \underline{\theta}(\varsigma)$  and  $\int_0^r |\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)| dx \leq \overline{\theta}(\varsigma)$ , for every  $r \geq e$ , then  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is said to be improper fuzzy Riemann-Liouville integrals function on  $[e, \infty)$ , i.e.*

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(x) dx = [\int_0^\infty \underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma), \int_0^\infty \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma) dx]$$

**Definition 3.2.** [23] *A function  $\mathcal{H} : (e, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_F$  and  $x_0 \in (e, r)$ . We say that a mapping  $\mathcal{H}$  is strongly generalized differentiable of the  $n^{th}$  order at  $x_0$ . If  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}$  have been strongly generalized differentiable and there exists an element  $\mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0) \in \mathcal{R}_F, \forall s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

- i.  $\forall \tau > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0), \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau)$   
 where  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau)}{\tau} = \mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0)$  or
- ii.  $\forall \tau > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau), \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)$   
 where  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau)}{-\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)}{-\tau} = \mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0)$  or
- iii.  $\forall \tau > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0), \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)$   
 where  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0)}{-\tau} = \mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0)$  or
- iv.  $\forall \tau > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau), \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau)$   
 where  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 + \tau)}{-\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0) \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(s-1)}(x_0 - \tau)}{\tau} = \mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0)$  or

**Theorem 3.3.** [7] Let  $\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}'(x), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x), \dots, \mathcal{H}^{(n-1)}(x)$  are differentiable fuzzy-valued functions. Moreover, we denote  $\varsigma$ -cut representation of fuzzy-valued function  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  such that:

$\mathcal{H}(x) = [\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma), \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)]$  for each  $\varsigma \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} [\underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(x, \varsigma), \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(x, \varsigma)] & \text{if number of (ii) - differentiable is even,} \\ [\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(x, \varsigma), \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}(x, \varsigma)] & \text{if number of (ii) - differentiable is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** [6] Let  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is the primitive of  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  be an integrable fuzzy-valued function. Then:

- a.  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (i)-differentiable and  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}'(x)] = s\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}(0)$ .
- b.  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (ii)-differentiable and  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}'(x)] = (-\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}(0)) \ominus (-s\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)])$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** [3] Let  $\mathcal{H}(x)e^{-sx}, \mathcal{H}'(x)e^{-sx}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'(2)e^{-sx}$  are continuous and integrable Riemann functions on  $[0, \text{infy})$  so  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is continuous fuzzy valued function. Thus:

- a. If  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  are (i)-differentiable, then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)] = \{s^2\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \mathcal{H}(0)\} \ominus \frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}'(0)$ .
- b. If  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (i)-differentiable and  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  is (ii)-differentiable, then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)] = (-\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}'(0)) \ominus \{-s^2\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus (-\mathcal{H}(0))\}$ .
- c. If  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (ii)-differentiable and  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  is (i)-differentiable, then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)] = \{-\mathcal{H}(0) \ominus (-s^2\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)])\} \ominus \frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}'(0)$ .
- d. If  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (ii)-differentiable and  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  is (ii)-differentiable, then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)] = (-\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}'(0)) \ominus \{(\mathcal{H}(0)) \ominus s^2\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)]\}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{H}(x)e^{-sx}, \mathcal{H}'(x)e^{-sx}, \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)e^{-sx}, \dots, \mathcal{H}^{(n-1)}(x)e^{-sx}$  are exist, continuous and integrable Riemann functions on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is continuous fuzzy valued function. If  $\mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x)$  is strongly generalized differentiable of the  $n^{th}$  order such that, there exists an element  $\mathcal{H}^{(s)}(x_0) \in \mathcal{R}_F, \forall s = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Then fuzzy Aboodh transform of  $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}(x)$  is given by,

$$\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(n)}(x)] = \{ \{ \{ \dots \{ \prod_{\mathbb{K}=1}^n \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \prod_{\mathbb{K}=2}^n \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(1) \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{\mathbb{K}=3}^n \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(2) \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus \prod_{\mathbb{K}=4}^n \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(3) \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{\mathbb{K}=5}^n \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(4) \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \dots \} \ominus \mathbb{B}(n) \mathbb{E}(n-1) \mathcal{H}^{(n-2)}(0) \} \ominus \mathbb{E}(n) \mathcal{H}^{(n-1)}(0) \},$$

where

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ bei - differentiable,} \\ \ominus(-s) & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ beii - differentiable.} \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ bei - differentiable,} \\ \ominus(\frac{1}{-s}) & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ beii - differentiable.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof .** Let  $n = 1, \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}'(x)] = \mathbb{B}(1) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \mathbb{E}(1) \mathcal{H}(0)$ , where

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ bei - differentiable,} \\ \ominus(-s) & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ beii - differentiable.} \end{cases} \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s} & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ bei - differentiable,} \\ \ominus(\frac{1}{-s}) & \text{if } \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \text{ beii - differentiable.} \end{cases}$$

1. if  $\mathcal{H}$  is (i)-differentiable then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}'(x)] = s \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}(0)$ .

2. if  $\mathcal{H}$  is (ii)-differentiable then  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}'(x)] = -\frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}(0) \ominus -s \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)]$ .

Suppose that  $n = \mathbb{K}$  is true,

$$\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(x)] = \{ \{ \{ \dots \{ \prod_{i=1}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \prod_{i=2}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(1) \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=3}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(2) \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=4}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(3) \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=5}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(4) \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \dots \} \ominus \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}-1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-2)}(0) \} \ominus \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-1)}(0).$$

Let  $n = \mathbb{K} + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(x)] &= \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(x)] \ominus \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(0) \\ &= \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \{ \{ \{ \dots \{ \prod_{i=1}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \prod_{i=2}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(1) \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=3}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(2) \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=4}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(3) \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=5}^{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(4) \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \dots \} \ominus \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}-1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-2)}(0) \} \\ &\ominus \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-1)}(0) \} \ominus \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(0) = \{ \{ \{ \dots \{ \prod_{i=1}^{\mathbb{K}+1} \mathbb{B}(i) \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \prod_{i=2}^{\mathbb{K}+1} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(1) \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=3}^{\mathbb{K}+1} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(2) \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=4}^{\mathbb{K}+1} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(3) \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \prod_{i=5}^{\mathbb{K}+1} \mathbb{B}(i) \mathbb{E}(4) \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \dots \} \\ &\ominus \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K}) \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}-1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-2)}(0) \} \ominus \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K}) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K}-1)}(0) \ominus \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{K} + 1) \mathcal{H}^{(\mathbb{K})}(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 4. Illustrative example

**Example:** Consider the following fifth-order FIVP

$$\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x) = \beta, \mathcal{H}(0, \varsigma) = \mathcal{H}'(0, \varsigma), \mathcal{H}^{(1)}(0, \varsigma), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma), \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) = (\varsigma - 1, 1 - \varsigma)$$

$$\beta = (\varsigma - 1, 1 - \varsigma), 0 \leq \varsigma \leq .1$$

Solution: Apply fuzzy Aboodh transform on both sides, to get  $\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] = \widehat{A}[\beta]$ .

1. If  $\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}'(x), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{(4)}(x)$  are (i)-differentiable

$$\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] = \{ \{ \{ \{ s^5 \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus s^3 \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus s^2 \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus s \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0)$$

$$\{ \{ \{ \{ s^5 \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus s^3 \mathcal{H}(0) \} \ominus s^2 \mathcal{H}'(0) \} \ominus s \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \} \ominus \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \} \ominus \frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0) = A[\beta]$$

$$s^5 \widehat{A}[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3 \underline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2 \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) - s \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - \frac{1}{s} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) = A[\underline{\beta}]$$

$$s^5 \widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3 \overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) - s \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - \frac{1}{s} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) = A[\overline{\beta}]$$

$$s^5 \widehat{A}[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3(\varsigma - 1) - s^2(\varsigma - 1) - s(\varsigma - 1) - (\varsigma - 1) - \frac{1}{s}(\varsigma - 1) = \frac{(\varsigma - 1)}{s^2}$$

$$s^5 \widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3(1 - \varsigma) - s^2(1 - \varsigma) - s(1 - \varsigma) - (1 - \varsigma) - \frac{1}{s}(1 - \varsigma) = \frac{(1 - \varsigma)}{s^2}$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (\varsigma - 1)(1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \frac{1}{120}x^5).$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (1 - \varsigma)(1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \frac{1}{120}x^5).$$

2. If  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is (i)-differentiable but  $\mathcal{H}'(x), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{(4)}(x)$  are (ii)-differentiable

$$\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] = -\frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \ominus \left\{ -s \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \ominus \left\{ s^2 \mathcal{H}'(0) \ominus \left\{ s^5 \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus s^3 \mathcal{H}(0) \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{s} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) - \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - s \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - s^2 \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) + s^5 \widehat{A}[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3 \underline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) = A[\underline{\beta}]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) - \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - s \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - s^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) + s^5 \widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^3 \overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) = A[\overline{\beta}]$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + 1\right) + (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + 1\right) + (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right).$$

3. If  $\mathcal{H}'(x)$  is (i)-differentiable but  $\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{(4)}(x)$  are (ii)-differentiable

$$\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] = -\frac{1}{s} \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \ominus \left\{ -s \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \left\{ s^3 \mathcal{H}(0) \ominus s^5 \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \ominus \right\} \right\} \ominus -s^2 \mathcal{H}'(0) \right\} \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{s} \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) - \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - s \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - s^3 \underline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5 \widehat{A}[\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) = A[\underline{\beta}]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s} \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}(0, \varsigma) - \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}(0, \varsigma) - s \underline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}(0, \varsigma) - s^3 \overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5 \widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s^2 \underline{\mathcal{H}}'(0, \varsigma) = A[\overline{\beta}]$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + 1\right) + (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) = (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + 1\right) + (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right).$$

4. If  $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x)$  is (i)-differentiable but  $\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}'(x), \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(x)$  are (ii)-differentiable.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] &= -\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \left\{ -s^2\mathcal{H}'(0) \ominus \left\{ s^3\mathcal{H}(0) \ominus s^5\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \right\} \right\} \ominus s\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \right\} \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{s}\overline{\mathcal{H}^{(4)}}(0, \varsigma) - \overline{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2\overline{\mathcal{H}'(0, \varsigma)} - s^3\overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5\widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s\overline{\mathcal{H}^2}(0, \varsigma) = A[\underline{\beta}] \\ &- \frac{1}{s}\underline{\mathcal{H}^{(4)}}(0, \varsigma) - \overline{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2\underline{\mathcal{H}'(0, \varsigma)} - s^3\overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5\widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - s\overline{\mathcal{H}^2}(0, \varsigma) = A[\overline{\beta}] \\ \underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) &= (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{6}x^3\right) + (1 - \varsigma)\left(x + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) &= (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{6}x^3\right) + (\varsigma - 1)\left(x + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right). \end{aligned}$$

5. If  $\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(x)$  is (i)-differentiable but  $\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}'(x), \mathcal{H}^{(2)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{(4)}(x)$  are (ii)-differentiable.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}^{(5)}(x)] &= -\frac{1}{s}\mathcal{H}^{(4)}(0) \ominus \left\{ \left\{ s\mathcal{H}^{(2)}(0) \ominus \left\{ -s^2\mathcal{H}'(0) \ominus \left\{ s^3\mathcal{H}(0) \ominus s^5\widehat{A}[\mathcal{H}(x)] \right\} \right\} \right\} \ominus -\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(0) \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{s}\overline{\mathcal{H}^{(4)}}(0, \varsigma) - s\overline{\mathcal{H}^{(2)}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2\overline{\mathcal{H}'(0, \varsigma)} - s^3\overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5\widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - \overline{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}}(0, \varsigma) = A[\underline{\beta}] \\ &- \frac{1}{s}\underline{\mathcal{H}^{(4)}}(0, \varsigma) - s\overline{\mathcal{H}^{(2)}}(0, \varsigma) - s^2\underline{\mathcal{H}'(0, \varsigma)} - s^3\overline{\mathcal{H}}(0, \varsigma) + s^5\widehat{A}[\overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, \varsigma)] - \underline{\mathcal{H}^{(3)}}(0, \varsigma) = A[\overline{\beta}] \\ \underline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) &= (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right) + (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right) \\ \overline{\mathcal{H}}(x, k) &= (1 - \varsigma)\left(\frac{1}{120}x^5 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}x^2\right) + (\varsigma - 1)\left(\frac{1}{6}x^3 + x + \frac{1}{24}x^4\right). \end{aligned}$$

Other case are solved by the same way.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper presents the general formula for the fuzzy Aboodh transform, which is used to solve fuzzy  $n^{th}$ -order differential equations and we explained the using of the concept of strongly generalized differential equations. We used a fifth-order numerical example to demonstrate efficiency and quality of the method.

## References

- [1] S. Abbasbandy and T. Allahviranloo, *Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equation by Tailor method*, J. Comput. Method Appl. Math. 2 (2002) 113–124.
- [2] S. Abbasbandy, T. Allahviranloo, O. Lopez-Pouso and J. Nieto, *Numerical methods for fuzzy differential inclusions*, J. Comput. Method Appl. Math. 48(10–11) (2004) 1633–1641.
- [3] A. Alkiffai, S. Alshibley and A. Albukhuttar, *Fuzzy aboodh transform for 2rd and 3rd -Order fuzzy differential equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ. (1) (2021).
- [4] T. Allahviranloo and B. Ahmadi, *Fuzzy laplace transforms*, Soft Comput. (2010) 235–243.
- [5] T. Allahviranloo, *Difference methods for fuzzy partial differential equations*, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. 2(3) (2002) 233–242.
- [6] S. Alshibley, A. Alkiffai and A. Albukhuttar, *Solving a circuit system using fuzzy aboodh transform*, Turk. J. Comput. Math. Educ. 12(12) (2021) 3317–3323.
- [7] M. Barkhord, N. Kiani and N. Mikaeilvand, *Laplace transform formula on fuzzy nth-order derivative and its application in fuzzy ordinary differential equations*, Soft Comput. 18 (2014) 2461–2469.
- [8] B. Bede, I. Rudas and A. Bencsik, *First order linear fuzzy differential equations under generalized differentiability*, Inf. Sci. 177 (2006) 1648–1662.

- [9] B. Bede and S.G. Gal, *Generalizations of the differentiability of fuzzy-number-valued functions with applications to fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 151 (2005) 581–599.
- [10] S.L. Chang and L. Zadeh, *On fuzzy mapping and control*, IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.: Syst. SMC-2(1) (1972) 30–34.
- [11] D. Dubios and H. Prade, *Towards fuzzy differential calculus*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 8(3) (1982) 225–233.
- [12] M. Ghanbari, *Numerical solution of fuzzy initial value problems under generalized differentiability by HPM*, Int. J. Ind. Math. 1(1) (2009) 19–39.
- [13] R. Goetschel and W. Voxman, *Elementery calculus*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 18 (1986) 31–43.
- [14] O. Kaleva, *Fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Set Syst. 24 (1987) 301–317.
- [15] A. Kandel, *Fuzzy dynamical systems and the nature of their solutions*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. (1980) 93–122.
- [16] A. Kandel and W.J. Byatt, *Fuzzy differential equations*, Proc. Int. Conf. Cybernet. Soc. Tokyo. 1978, pp. 1213–12160.
- [17] P. Kloeden, *Remarks on Peano-like theorems for fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 44 (1991) 161–164.
- [18] M. Ma, M. Friedman and A. Kandel, *Numerical solution of fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 105 (1999) 133–138.
- [19] H. Ouyang and Y. Wu, *On fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 32 (1989) 321–325.
- [20] M.L. Puri and D. Ralescu, *Differential for fuzzy function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 91(2) (1983) 552–558.
- [21] S. Seikkala, *On the fuzzy initial value problem*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 24 (1987) 319–330.
- [22] S. Salahshour, *Nth-order fuzzy differential equations under generalized differentiability*, J. Fuzzy Set Val. Anal. 14 (2011).
- [23] L. Stefanini, *A generalization of Hukuhara difference and division for interval and fuzzy arithmetic*, Fuzzy Set Syst. 161 (2010) 1564–1584.
- [24] H.C. Wu, *The fuzzy Riemann integral and its numerical integration*, Fuzzy Set Syst. 110 (2000) 1–25.
- [25] H.C. Wu, *The improper fuzzy Riemann integral and its numerical integration*, Inform Sci. 111(109) (1999).